

Network Working Group  
INTERNET-DRAFT

Rob Weltman  
Netscape Communications Corp.  
Tim Howes  
Netscape Communications Corp.  
April 22, 1999

LDAP Proxied Authorization Control  
draft-weltman-ldapv3-proxy-03.txt

## Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of [Section 10 of RFC2026](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

## Abstract

This document defines support for the Proxied Authorization Control. Controls are an LDAP protocol version 3 extension, to allow passing arbitrary control information along with a standard request to a server, and to receive arbitrary information back with a standard result. The Proxied Authorization Control allows a connection with sufficient privileges to assume the identity of another entry for the duration of an LDAP request.

## 1. Introduction

Version 3 of the LDAP protocol provides a means of supplying arbitrary additional information along with a request to an LDAP server, and receiving arbitrary additional response information. The Control protocol extension is described in [1], section 4.1.12. This document defines support for proxied authorization using the Control

mechanism.

The key words "MUST", "SHOULD", and "MAY" used in this document are to be interpreted as described in [2].

Expires 10/99

[Page 1]

---

PROXIED AUTHORIZATION CONTROL

April, 1999

## [2.](#) Publishing support for the Proxied Authorization Control

Support for the Proxied Authorization Control is indicated by the presence of the OID "2.16.840.1.113730.3.4.12" in the supportedExtensions attribute of a server's root DSE.

## [3.](#) Proxied Authorization Control

This control may be included in any bind, unbind, search, compare, abandon, modify, delete, or modrdn request message as part of the controls field of the LDAPMessage, as defined in [1].

```
proxyAuthControl ::= SEQUENCE {
    controlType      2.16.840.1.113730.3.4.12,
    criticality      BOOLEAN DEFAULT FALSE,
    controlValue     proxyAuthValue
}
```

The criticality MUST be included and MUST be TRUE.

The controlValue contains the BER encoding of a DN used for evaluating the requested rights:

```
proxyAuthValue ::= SEQUENCE {
    proxyDN LDAPDN
}
```

It is represented as a Sequence in order to allow future extensions. Implementations MUST return the error code unsupportedCriticalExtension in the event of unrecognized additional elements in the sequence

## [4.](#) Permission to execute as proxy

An LDAP server supporting the Proxied Authorization Control may

choose to honor or not honor a particular request. If the control is supported but a particular request is denied, the server MUST return the error code `insufficientAccessRights`. A typical implementation will evaluate if the requester has proxy access rights at the base DN of the request. If the requester has proxy access rights, and if the proxy DN corresponds to a valid entry in the directory managed by the server, the request will be honored. If the request is honored, it will be executed as if submitted by the proxy identity.

During evaluation of a search request, an entry which would have been returned for the search if submitted by the proxy identity directly may not be returned if the server finds that the requester does not have proxy rights to the entry, even if the entry is within the scope

Expires 4/99

[Page 2

PROXIED AUTHORIZATION CONTROL

April, 1999

of a search request under a base DN which does imply such rights. This means that fewer results, or no results, may be returned compared to the case where the proxy identity issued the request directly. An example of such a case may be a system with fine-grained access control, where the proxy right requester has proxy rights at the top of a search tree, but not at or below a point or points within the tree.

## 5. Security Considerations

The Proxied Authorization Control method is subject to standard LDAP security considerations. The control may be passed over a secure as well as over an insecure channel. No additional confidential information is passed in the control.

Note that the server is responsible for determining if a proxied authorization request is to be honored.

## 6. Copyright

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (date). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other

Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## 7. Bibliography

Expires 4/99

[Page 3

PROXIED AUTHORIZATION CONTROL

April, 1999

- [1] M. Wahl, T. Howes, S. Kille, "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (v3)", Internet Draft [draft-ietf-asid-ldapv3-protocol-06.txt](#), July 1997.
- [2] Bradner, Scott, "Key Words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [draft-bradner-key-words-03.txt](#), January, 1997.

## 8. Author's Addresses

Rob Weltman  
Netscape Communications Corp.  
MV-068  
501 E. Middlefield Rd.  
Mountain View, CA 94043  
USA  
+1 650 937-3301  
rweltman@netscape.com

Tim Howes  
Netscape Communications Corp.  
MV-068  
501 E. Middlefield Rd.

Mountain View, CA 94043  
USA  
+1 650 937-3419  
howes@netscape.com

## 9. Changes from [draft-weltman-ldapv3-proxy-02.txt](#)

### 9.1 Renamed Control

The Control is now called Proxied Authorization Control, rather than Proxied Authentication Control, to reflect that no authentication occurs as a consequence of processing the Control.

### 9.2 Control envelope

Rather than containing an LDAPDN as the Control value, the Control contains a Sequence (which contains an LDAPDN). This is to provide for future extensions.