

HTTPbis  
Internet-Draft  
Updates: [6265](#) (if approved)  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: April 10, 2016

M. West  
Google, Inc  
October 8, 2015

**Deprecate modification of 'secure' cookies from non-secure origins  
draft-west-leave-secure-cookies-alone-01**

Abstract

This document updates [RFC6265](#) by removing the ability for a non-secure origin to set cookies with a 'secure' flag, and to overwrite cookies whose 'secure' flag is set. This deprecation improves the isolation between HTTP and HTTPS origins, and reduces the risk of malicious interference.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 10, 2016.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2015 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in [Section 4](#).e of

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Terminology and notation . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Recommendations . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">5.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">5.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">Appendix A.</a>	Acknowledgements . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
	Author's Address . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>

## [1.](#) Introduction

[Section 8.5](#) and [Section 8.6 of \[RFC6265\]](#) spell out some of the drawbacks of cookies' implementation: due to historical accident, non-secure origins can set cookies which will be delivered to secure origins in a manner indistinguishable from cookies set by that origin itself. This enables a number of attacks, which have been recently spelled out in some detail in [\[COOKIE-INTEGRITY\]](#).

We can mitigate the risk of these attacks by making it more difficult for non-secure origins to influence the state of secure origins. Accordingly, this document recommends the deprecation and removal of non-secure origins' ability to write cookies with a 'secure' flag, and their ability to overwrite cookies whose 'secure' flag is set.

## [2.](#) Terminology and notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [\[RFC2119\]](#).

The "scheme" component of a URI is defined in [Section 3 of \[RFC3986\]](#).

## [3.](#) Recommendations

This document updates [Section 5.3 of \[RFC6265\]](#) as follows:

1. After step 8 of the current algorithm, which sets the cookie's "secure-only-flag", execute the following step:
  1. If the "scheme" component of the "request-uri" does not denote a "secure" protocol (as defined by the user agent),

West

Expires April 10, 2016

[Page 2]

and the cookie's "secure-only-flag" is "true", then abort these steps and ignore the newly created cookie entirely.

2. Before step 3 of step 11 of the current algorithm, execute the following step:
  1. If the "scheme" component of the "request-uri" does not denote a "secure" protocol (as defined by the user agent), and the "old-cookie"'s "secure-only-flag" is set, then abort these steps and ignore the newly create cookie entirely.

#### **4. Security Considerations**

This specification increases a site's confidence that secure cookies it sets will remain unmodified by insecure pages on hosts which it domain-matches. Ideally, sites would use HSTS as described in [RFC6797] to defend more robustly against the dangers of non-secure transport in general, but until adoption of that protection becomes ubiquitous, this deprecation this document recommends will mitigate a number of risks.

#### **5. References**

##### **5.1. Normative References**

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/[RFC2119](#), March 1997, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC3986] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, [RFC 3986](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3986, January 2005, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3986>>.
- [RFC6265] Barth, A., "HTTP State Management Mechanism", [RFC 6265](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6265, April 2011, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6265>>.

##### **5.2. Informative References**

- [COOKIE-INTEGRITY] Zheng, X., Jiang, J., Liang, J., Duan, H., Chen, S., Wan, T., and N. Weaver, "Cookies Lack Integrity: Real-World Implications", n.d., <<https://www.usenix.org/system/files/conference/usenixsecurity15/sec15-paper-zheng.pdf>>.



[RFC6797] Hodges, J., Jackson, C., and A. Barth, "HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS)", [RFC 6797](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6797, November 2012, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6797>>.

## **[Appendix A](#). Acknowledgements**

Richard Barnes encouraged a formalization of the deprecation proposal. [[COOKIE-INTEGRITY](#)] was a useful exploration of the issues [[RFC6265](#)] described.

### Author's Address

Mike West  
Google, Inc

Email: [mkwst@google.com](mailto:mkwst@google.com)  
URI: <https://mikewest.org/>

