

INTERNET-DRAFT
Intended Status: Experimental
Expires: 21 Jan 2017

J. M. Schanck
Security Innovation & U. Waterloo
W. Whyte
Security Innovation
Z. Zhang
Security Innovation
22 July 2016

Quantum-Safe Hybrid (QSH) Ciphersuite for
Transport Layer Security (TLS) version 1.2
draft-whyte-qsh-tls12-02.txt

Abstract

This document describes the Quantum-Safe Hybrid ciphersuite, a new cipher suite providing modular design for quantum-safe cryptography to be adopted in the handshake for the Transport Layer Security (TLS) protocol version 1.2. In particular, it specifies the use of the NTRUEncrypt encryption scheme in a TLS handshake.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/lid-abstracts.html>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 21 Jan, 2017.

INTERNET DRAFT

Quantum-safe handshake for TLS

21 July 2016

Table of Contents

| | | |
|----------------------|--|--------------------|
| 1. | Introduction | 3 |
| 2. | Modular design for quantum-safe hybrid handshake | 4 |
| 3. | Data Structures and Computations | 6 |
| 3.1. | Data structures for Quantum-safe Crypto Schemes | 7 |
| 3.2. | Client Hello Extensions | 8 |
| 3.3. | Server Hello Extension | 10 |
| 3.4. | Server Key Exchange | 11 |
| 3.5. | Client Key Exchange | 13 |
| 4. | Cipher Suites | 14 |
| 5. | Specific information for Quantum Safe Scheme | 14 |
| 5.1. | NTRUEncrypt | 14 |
| 5.2. | LWE | 15 |
| 5.3. | HFE | 15 |
| 6. | Security Considerations | 15 |
| 6.1. | Security, Authenticity and Forward Secrecy | 15 |
| 6.2. | Quantum Security and Quantum Forward Secrecy | 15 |
| 6.3. | Quantum Authenticity | 15 |
| 7. | IANA Considerations | 16 |
| 8. | Acknowledgements | 16 |
| 9. | References | 16 |
| 9.1. | Normative References | 16 |
| 8.2. | Informative References | 17 |
| | Authors' Addresses | 18 |
| | Copyright Notice | 19 |

INTERNET DRAFT

Quantum-safe handshake for TLS

21 July 2016

1. Introduction

Quantum computers pose a significant threat to modern cryptography. Two most widely adopted public key cryptosystems, namely, RSA [[PKCS1](#)] and Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC) [[SECG](#)], will be broken by general purpose quantum computers. RSA is adopted in TLS from Version 1.0 and to TLS Version 1.2 [[RFC2246](#)], [[RFC4346](#)], [[RFC5246](#)], [[TLS1.3](#)]. ECC is enabled in [RFC 4492](#) [[RFC4492](#)] and adopted in TLS version 1.2 [[RFC5246](#)]. On the other hand, there exist several quantum-safe cryptosystems, such as the NTRUEncrypt cryptosystem [[EESS1](#)], that deliver similar performance, yet are conjectured to be robust against quantum computers.

This document describes a modular design that allows one or many quantum-safe cryptosystems to be adopted in the handshake protocol, applicable to TLS Version 1.0 to Version 1.3 [[RFC2246](#)], [[RFC4346](#)], [[RFC5246](#)], [[TLS1.3](#)]. It uses a hybrid approach that combines a classical handshake mechanism with key encapsulation mechanisms instantiated with quantum-safe encryption schemes. The modular design provides quantum-safe features to TLS with an introduction of only one new cipher suite. Yet, it allows the flexibility to include new and advanced quantum-safe encryption schemes at present and in the future.

The remainder of this document is organized as follows. [Section 2](#) provides an overview of the modular design of quantum-safe handshake for TLS. [Section 3](#) specifies various data structures needed for a quantum safe handshake, their encoding in TLS messages, and the processing of those messages. [Section 4](#) defines new TLS_QSH cipher suites. [Section 5](#) provides specific information for quantum safe encryption schemes. [Section 6](#) discusses security considerations. [Section 7](#) describes IANA considerations for the name spaces created by this document. [Section 8](#) gives acknowledgements.

This is followed by the lists of normative and informative references cited in this document, the authors' contact information, and

statements on intellectual property rights and copyrights.

Implementation of this specification requires familiarity with TLS [RFC2246], [RFC4346], [RFC5246], [TLS1.3], TLS extensions [RFC4366], and knowledge of the corresponding quantum-safe cryptosystem.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

Well-known abbreviations and acronyms can be found at RFC Editor Abbreviations List [REAL].

2. Modular design for quantum-safe hybrid handshake

This document introduces a modular approach to including new quantum-safe key exchange algorithms within TLS, while maintaining the assurance that comes from the use of already established cipher suites. It allows the TLS premaster secret to be agreed using both an established classical cipher suite and a quantum-safe key encapsulation mechanism.

```
Client                                     Server
-----                                     -----
ClientHello                               ----->
                                           ServerHello
                                           Certificate*
                                           ServerKeyExchange*
                                           CertificateRequest*+
                                           ServerHelloDone
<-----
Certificate*+
ClientKeyExchange
CertificateVerify*+
[ChangeCipherSpec]
Finished                               ----->
                                           [ChangeCipherSpec]
<-----                                     Finished

Application Data                       <-----> Application Data
```

* message is not sent under some conditions

+ message is not sent unless client authentication

is desired

Figure 1: Message flow in a full TLS 1.2 handshake

Figure 1 shows all messages involved in the TLS key establishment protocol (aka full handshake). The addition of quantum-safe cryptography has direct impact only on the ClientHello, the ServerHello, the ServerKeyExchange, and the ClientKeyExchange. In the rest of this document, we describe each quantum-safe key exchange data structure in greater detail in terms of the content and processing of these messages.

The authentication is provided by classical cryptography. The introduction of quantum-safe encryption schemes delivers forward secrecy against quantum attackers. The additional cryptographic data exchanged between the client and the server is shown in Figure 2.

```
Client                                     Server
-----                                     -----
ClientHelloExtension
(SerPKReq|
QSHSchemeIDList|
[CliPKList])          ----->
                                     ServerHelloExtension
                                     ([SerPKList])
                                     ServerKeyExchange
                                     ([SerCipherList])
ClientKeyExchange
([CliCipherList])    <-----
                                     ClassicS|SerS|Clis
ClassicS|SerS|Clis   <----->   ClassicS|SerS|Clis
```

[struct]: struct is optional

Figure 2: Additional cryptographic data in handshake

As usual, the ClientHello message includes the list of classical cipher suites the client wishes to negotiate (e.g., TLS_ECDH_ECDSA_WITH_NULL_SHA), as well as a new cipher suite

identifier TLS_QSH (short for TLS with Quantum Safe Hybrid handshake). This new identifier SHOULD appear first in the list of cipher suites.

The ClientHelloExtension field MAY have three additional fields:

- o SerPKReq: a request for server's public key; also indicates the number of server's public keys the client wishes to receive
- o QSHSchemeIDList: a list of distinct QSHSchemeIDs from the client, each ID represents a quantum safe encryption scheme/parameter set supported by the client
- o CliPKList: a list of client's public keys [CPK1]|[CPK2]|... each corresponding to a distinct QSHScheme in QSHSchemeIDList

Note: The client does not need to provide public keys for all QSSchemes from the list. The client indicates that it does not wish to contribute a public key for certain QSScheme by omitting the corresponding public key field.

The ServerHelloExtension field MAY have one additional field:

- o SerPKList: a list of Server's public keys [SPK1]|[SPK2]|... each corresponding to a QSHScheme in QSHSchemeIDList

Note: SerPKList is a list of public key structures QSHPK. For each structure, there is a QSHSchemeID field identifying the corresponding encryption scheme. This QSHSchemeID MUST exist in QSHSchemeIDList.

The ServerKeyExchange message MAY contain an additional list of ciphertexts:

- o SerCipherList: a list of ciphertexts [Encrypt_CPK1(SerS1)]|[Encrypt_CPK2(SerS2)]|... where the server-contributed secret keying material is SerS = SerS1|SerS2|..., and CPKi is selected from CliPKList.

At least one of SerPKList and SerCipherList MUST have at least one entry.

Additionally, the ServerKeyExchange contains an indication of the classical cipher suite selected, and the ServerKeyExchange material appropriate to that cipher suite.

If SerPKList was provided, the ClientKeyExchange message MUST contain an additional list of ciphertexts:

- o CliCipherList:
 - a list of ciphertexts
 - [Encrypt_SPK1(CliS1)]|[Encrypt_SPK2(CliS2)]|...
 - where the client-contributed secret keying material is CliS = CliS1|CliS2|... , and SPKi is from SerPKList.

The client MUST use all public keys from SerPKList.

Additionally, the ClientKeyExchange contains the ServerKeyExchange material appropriate to the selected classical cipher suite.

SerS and CliS cannot be both NULL.

The final premaster secret negotiated by the client and the server is the concatenation of the classical premaster secret, SerS, and CliS in that order.

A 48 bytes fixed length master secret is derived from the premaster secret at the end of the handshake, using a pseudo random function specified by the classical cipher suite (see [Section 8.1. RFC 5246 \[RFC5246\]](#)).

[3.](#) Data Structures and Computations

This section specifies the data structures and computations used by TLS_QSH cipher suite specified in Sections [2](#). The presentation language used here is the same as that used in TLS [\[RFC2246\]](#),

[\[RFC4346\]](#), [\[RFC5246\]](#). Since this specification extends TLS, these descriptions should be merged with those in the TLS specification and any others that extend TLS. This means that enum types may not specify all possible values, and structures with multiple formats chosen with a select() clause may not indicate all possible cases.

[3.1.](#) Data structures for Quantum-safe Crypto Schemes

```

enum {
    ntru_eess439 (0x0101),
    ntru_eess593 (0x0102),
    ntru_eess743 (0x0103),
    reserved     (0x0102..0x01FF),
    lwe_XXX      (0x0201),
    reserved     (0x0202..0x02FF),
    hfe_XXX      (0x0301),
    reserved     (0x0302..0x03FF),
    reserved     (0x0400..0xFEFF),
    (0xFFFF)
} QSHSchemeID;

```

ntru_eess439, etc: Indicates parameter set to be used for the NTRUEncrypt encryption scheme. The name of the parameter sets defined here are those specified in [[EESS1](#)].

lwe_XXX, etc: Indicates parameters for Learning With Error (LWE) encryption scheme. The name of the parameters defined here are not specified in this document.

hfe_XXX, etc: Indicates parameters for Hidden Field Equation (HFE) encryption scheme. The name of the parameters defined here are not specified in this document.

The QSHSchemes name space is maintained by IANA [IANA]. See [Section 6](#) for information on how new schemes are added.

The server implementation SHOULD support all of the above QSHSchemes, and client implementation SHALL support at least one of them.

```

struct {
    QSHSchemeID  id,
    opaque       pubKey<1..2^16-1>
} QSHPK;

struct {
    QSHPK        keys<1..2^24-1>
} QSHPKList;

```

The structure of public keys exchanged by the client and the server,

namely, QSHPK, has two fields: QSHSchemeID specifies the corresponding quantum safe encryption scheme, and an opaque encodes the actual public key data following the specification of the corresponding quantum safe encryption scheme. Any entity that reserves a new quantum safe encryption scheme identifier MUST specify how the keys and ciphertexts for that scheme are encoded. See [Section 5](#) for definitions of the encodings of the schemes specified in this document.

The QSHPKList is a list of QSHPKs.

```
struct {
    QSHSchemeID    id,
    opaque         encryptedKey<1..2^16-1>
} QSHCipher;

struct {
    QSHCipher      encryptedKeys<1..2^24-1>
} QSHCipherList;
```

The structure of ciphertext exchanged by the client and the server, namely QSHCipher, has two fields: QSHSchemeID specifies the corresponding quantum safe encryption scheme, and an opaque encodes the actual ciphertext following the specification of the corresponding quantum safe encryption scheme.

The QSHCipherList is a list of ciphertexts.

[3.2.](#) Client Hello Extensions

This section specifies a TLS extension that can be included with the ClientHello message as described in [RFC 4366](#) [[RFC4366](#)].

When these extensions are sent:

The extensions MUST be sent along with any ClientHello message that proposes TLS_QSH cipher suites.

Meaning of these extensions:

These extensions allow a client to send a request for server's public key, a list that enumerates QSHSchemeIDs for supported quantum safe cryptosystems, and/or public keys corresponding to QSHSchemeIDs.

Note: QSHSchemeID MUST be distinct in QSHSchemeIDList. For each QSHSchemeID there MUST be at most one public key CPKi.

Structure of the extension:

The general structure of TLS extensions is described in [[RFC4366](#)], and this specification adds a new type to ExtensionType.

```
enum { quantum-safe-hybrid(0x18)} ExtensionType;
```

quantum-safe-hybrid (Supported TLS_QSH Extension): Indicates the list of QSHSchemeIDs supported by the client. For this extension, the opaque extension_data field may contain SrvPkReq, QSHSchemeIDList and CliPKList.

```
struct {
    select (CipherSuite) {
        case TLS_QSH:
            QSHSchemeIDList qshSchemeIDList,
            QSHPKList        cliPKList,
            SerPkReqType     serPkReq,
    } ClientHelloExtension;
```

SerPKReqType is defined as follows:

```
struct {
    int    min,
    int    max
} SerPkReqType;
```

serPKReq.min MUST not be greater than serPKReq.max. Either field MUST not be greater than the maximum number that is supported by the protocol (this may due to the size of the extensions and size of the encoded public keys, etc). If the serPKReq.min equal serPKReq.max, the client requires specifically serPKReq.min number of public keys. When both field are zero, the client do not wish to receive any public keys. When $0 \leq \text{serPKReq.min} < \text{serPKReq.max}$, the client lets the server to decide the number of public keys (between serPKReq.min and serPKReq.max).

Items in qshSchemeIDList are ordered according to the client's preferences (favorite choice first).

As an example, a client that only supports ntru_eess439 (0x0101) and ntru_eess593 (0x0102) and prefers to use ntru_eess439 would encode its qshSchemeIDList as follows:

```
04 01 01 01 02
```

The client MAY append a list of public keys corresponding to each crypto system. If serPKReq is (0,0), the client MUST list all public

keys corresponding to each qshSchemeID form qshSchemeIDList.

```
00 18 | extension length | 00 04 01 01 01 02 | CliPKList length
      | CPK1 | CPK2 | CPK3 | ... | 00 |
```

Note: the extension type value appearing in these examples is tentative.

Actions of the sender:

A client that proposes TLS_QSH cipher suites in its ClientHello message appends these extensions (along with any others), indicating whether the client wishes the server to contribute its quantum-safe public key, enumerating the supported quantum-safe crypto systems, and/or the public key corresponding to each crypto system.

Actions of the receiver:

A server that receives a ClientHello with a TLS_QSH cipher suite MUST check the extension field to use the client's enumerated capabilities to guide its selection of an appropriate cipher suite. The TLS_QSH cipher suite must be negotiated only if the server can successfully complete the handshake while using the listed quantum-safe cryptosystems from the client.

The server will carry out a classic handshake with the client using a classical cipher suite (other than TLS_QSH) indicated by the client. The server will also select a (list of) supported QSHScheme(s), indexed by QSHSchemeID(s). If server's public key(s) is required, the server will generate public/private keys corresponding to these QSHSchemeIDs.

If a server does not understand the Extension, does not understand the list of quantum-safe encryption schemes, or is unable to complete the TLS_QSH handshake while restricting itself to the enumerated cryptosystems, it MUST NOT negotiate the use of a TLS_QSH cipher suite. Depending on what other cipher suites are proposed by the client and supported by the server, this may result in a fatal handshake failure alert due to the lack of common cipher suites.

3.3. Server Hello Extension

This section specifies a TLS extension that can be included with the ServerHello message as described in [RFC 4366](#) [[RFC4366](#)].

When this extension is sent:

The extensions MUST be sent along with any ServerHello message that

accepts TLS_QSH cipher suites.

Meaning of this extension:

This extension allows a server to notify the client the ID(s) and public key(s) for the quantum-safe encryption scheme(s) it chooses from the QSHSchemeIDList.

Structure of this extension:

```
struct {
    select (CipherSuite) {
        case TLS_QSH:
            QSHPKList      serPKList
    } ServerHelloExtension;
```

Actions of the sender:

The server selects a number of QSHSchemeIDs in response to a ClientHelloExtension message. The selection is based on client's preference and ReqSerPK field. The QSHSchemeIDs selected MUST exist in the received QSHSchemeIDList. For each scheme, the server sets QSHPK.id to the QSHSchemeID that it selects. If the server is willing/requested to contribute its public key, the server will generate a pair of public/private keys, and set QSHPK.pubKey to this the public key; otherwise this field will be empty. The server form the SerPKList with the list of QSHPK.

Note: if the server sends no public keys in the Server Hello Extension, it MUST send at least one ciphertext in the EncryptedSerS at the Server Key Exchange message.

Actions of the receiver:

A client that receives a ServerHello message containing an extension will extract the agreed QSHSchemeIDs and the server's public keys from serPKList. The client-generated secrets will be encrypted with server's ephemeral public keys as described in [Section 3.5](#).

3.4. Server Key Exchange

When this message is sent:

This message is sent in all implementations of this cipher suite.

Meaning of this message:

This message is used to send classical key exchange information to

the client. It MAY also be used to send key material (encrypted by one or many of the client's public keys) to the client.

Structure of this message:

The TLS ServerKeyExchange message is extended as follows.

```
struct {
    select (KeyExchangeAlgorithm) {
        case TLS_QSH:
            QSHCipherList      encryptedSerS,
            CipherSuite         classical_ciphersuite,
            ServerKeyExchange   classical_exchange
    } exchange_keys;
} ServerKeyExchange;
```

Actions of the sender:

The server sets the CipherSuite field to the classical cipher suite. This MUST be one of the next preferable cipher suites other than TLS_QSH that was received in the ClientHello.

The server sets classical_exchange to have the contents appropriate for the indicated classical cipher suite.

If a number of client's public keys CPK_1, \dots, CPK_n were received in the Client Hello Extension, the server:

1. Selects $k \leq n$ of these public keys.
2. For each of the public keys CPK_i , generates a secret $SerS_i$. The length in bytes of $SerS_i$ MUST be the lesser of (a) 48, the length of the classical master secret, and (b) the maximum plaintext input length for the corresponding encryption scheme (see [Section 5](#)).
3. Encrypts the $SerS_i$ with PK_i .
4. Creates a `QSHCipherList` structure containing the encrypted secrets.

Note: since it is required that each of the public keys in the `ClientHelloExtension` is for a distinct quantum-safe encryption scheme, the `QSHCipherList` unambiguously identifies which client public key corresponds to which server-generated ciphertext.

The server-contributed keying material is:

$$SerS = SerS_1 | SerS_2 | \dots | SerS_k$$

Actions of the receiver:

The client processes the `ServerKeyExchange` with `KeyExchangeAlgorithm` as in a classical handshake. If `EncryptedSerS` is not NULL, the client decrypts each ciphertext in `encryptedSerS` using the client's secret key identified by QSHIDs from `SerPKList` (received from `ServerHelloExtension`) and obtains `SerS`. Otherwise, the client sets `SerS` to NULL.

[3.5](#). Client Key Exchange

When this message is sent:

This message is sent in all key exchange algorithms.

Meaning of the message:

This message is used to convey ephemeral data relating to the key

exchange belonging to the client (such as its ephemeral ECDH public key). It is also used to send client's quantum-safe keying material to the server.

Structure of this message:

The TLS ClientKeyExchange message is extended as follows.

```
struct {
    select (KeyExchangeAlgorithm) {
        case QSH:
            QSHcipher          encryptedCliS,
            ClientKeyExchange classical_exchange
    } exchange_keys;
} ClientKeyExchange;
```

Actions of the sender:

The client sets `classical_exchange` to have the contents appropriate for the indicated classical cipher suite.

If a number of server's public keys `SPK1, ... SPKk` were received in the Server Hello Extension, the client:

1. For each of the public keys `SPKi`, generates a secret `CliSi`. The length in bytes of `CliSi` should be the lesser of (a) 48, the length of the classical master secret, and (b) the maximum plaintext input length for the corresponding encryption scheme (see [Section 5](#)).

2. Encrypts the `CliSi` with `SPKi`.

3. Creates a `QSHCipherList` structure containing the encrypted secrets.

Note: since it is required that each of the public keys in the Client Hello Extension is for a distinct quantum-safe encryption scheme, the `QSHCipherList` unambiguously identifies which server public key corresponds to which client-generated ciphertext.

The client-contributed keying material is:

$Clis = Clis1|Clis2|...|Clisk.$

The final premaster secret negotiated by the client and the server is the concatenation of the classical premaster secret, SerS, and Clis in that order. A 48 bytes fixed length master secret is derived from the premaster secret at the end of the handshake, using a pseudo random function specified by the classical cipher suite (see [Section 8.1. RFC 5246 \[RFC5246\]](#)).

Actions of the receiver:

The server processes the ClientKeyExchange with KeyExchangeAlgorithm as in a classical handshake. If EncryptedClis is received, the server decrypts the ciphertext(s) with the appropriate private secret key(s) and obtains Clis. Otherwise, the server sets Clis to NULL.

The final premaster secret negotiated by the client and the server is the concatenation of the classical premaster secret, SerS, and Clis in that order. A 48 bytes fixed length master secret is derived from the premaster secret at the end of the handshake, using a pseudo random function specified by the classical cipher suite (see [Section 8.1. RFC 5246 \[RFC5246\]](#)).

[4.](#) Cipher Suites

CipherSuite TLS_QSH = { 0x66 0x26 }

Implementations that support this cipher suite MUST support at least one classical cipher suite.

[5.](#) Specific information for Quantum Safe Scheme

[5.1](#) NTRUEncrypt

NTRUEncrypt parameter sets are identified by the values ntru_eess439

(0x0101), ntru_eess593 (0x0102), ntru_eess743 (0x0103) assigned in this document.

For each of these parameter sets, the public key and ciphertext are

Ring Elements as defined in [[EESS1](#)]. The encoded public key and ciphertext are the result of encoding the relevant Ring Element with RE2BSP as defined in [[EESS1](#)].

For each parameter set the the maximum plaintext input length in bytes is as follows. This is used when determining the length of the client/server-generated secrets Cl_iS_i and $SerS_i$ as specified in sections [3.4](#) and [3.5](#).

| | |
|---------|-----|
| eess439 | 65 |
| eess593 | 86 |
| eess743 | 106 |

[5.2.](#) [LWE](#)

Encoding not defined in this document.

[5.3.](#) [HFE](#)

Encoding not defined in this document.

[6.](#) [Security Considerations](#)

[6.1.](#) [Security, Authenticity and Forward Secrecy](#)

Security, authenticity and forward secrecy against classical computers are inherent from classical handshake mechanism.

[6.2.](#) [Quantum Security and Quantum Forward Secrecy](#)

The proposed handshake mechanism provides quantum security and quantum forward secrecy.

Quantum resistant feature of QSHSchemes ensures a quantum attacker will not learn $SerS$ and/or Cl_iS_i . A quantum attacker may learn classic handshake information. Given an input X , the leftover hash lemma [[LHL](#)] ensures that one can extract Y bits that are almost uniformly distributed, where Y is asymptotic to the min-entropy of X . An adversary who has some partial knowledge about X , will have almost no knowledge about Y . This guarantees the attacker will not learn the final premaster secret so long as $SerS$ and/or Cl_iS_i have enough entropy and remain secret. This also guarantees the premaster secret is secure even if the client's and/or the server's long term keys are compromised.

[6.3.](#) [Quantum Authenticity](#)

The proposed approach relies on the classical cipher suite for authenticity. Thus, an attacker with quantum computing capability will be able to break the authenticity.

[7.](#) IANA Considerations

This document describes a new name spaces for use with the TLS protocol:

- o QSHSchemeID

Any additional assignments require IETF Consensus action [[RFC2434](#)].

[8.](#) Acknowledgements

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).

[9.](#) References

[9.1.](#) Normative References

- [EES1] Consortium for Efficient Embedded Security, "Efficient Embedded Security Standard #1: Implementation Aspects of NTRUEncrypt", March 2015.
<<https://github.com/NTRUOpenSourceProject/ntru-crypto/raw/master/doc/EES1-2015v3.0.pdf>>
- [FIPS180] NIST, "Secure Hash Standard", FIPS 180-2, 2002.
- [FIPS186] NIST, "Digital Signature Standard", FIPS 186-2, 2000.
- [LHL] Impagliazzo, R., Levin, L., and Luby, M., "Pseudo-random generation from one-way functions", 1989.
- [PKCS1] RSA Laboratories, "PKCS#1: RSA Encryption Standard version 1.5", PKCS 1, November 1993
- [REAL] "RFC Editor Abbreviations List", September 2013,
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc-style-guide/abbrev.expansion.txt/>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key Words for Use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC2246] Dierks, T. and C. Allen, "The TLS Protocol Version 1.0",

INTERNET DRAFT

Quantum-safe handshake for TLS

21 July 2016

- [RFC2434] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", [RFC 2434](#), October 1998.
- [RFC4346] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.1", [RFC 4346](#), April 2006.
- [RFC4366] Blake-Wilson, S., Nysrom, M., Hopwood, D., Mikkelsen, J., and T. Wright, "Transport Layer Security (TLS) Extensions", [RFC 4366](#), April 2006.
- [RFC4492] Blake-Wilson, S., Bolyard, N., Gupta, V., Hawk, C., and B. Moeller, "Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC) Cipher Suites for Transport Layer Security (TLS)", [RFC 4492](#), May 2006.
- [RFC5246] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2", [RFC 5246](#), August 2008.
- [TLS1.3] E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.3", [draft-ietf-tls-tls13-05](#), March 2015.

[8.2.](#) Informative References

- [RFC5990] Randall, J., Kaliski, B., Brainard, J. and Turner S., "Use of the RSA-KEM Key Transport Algorithm in the Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", [RFC 5990](#), September 2010.
- [RFC5859] Krawczyk, H., Eronen, P., "HMAC-based Extract-and-Expand Key Derivation Function (HKDF)", [RFC 5859](#), May 2010.

INTERNET DRAFT

Quantum-safe handshake for TLS

21 July 2016

Authors' Addresses

John M. Schanck
Security Innovation, US
and
University of Waterloo, Canada
jschanck@securityinnovation.com

William Whyte
Security Innovation, US
wwhyte@securityinnovation.com

Zhenfei Zhang
Security Innovation, US
zzhang@securityinnovation.com

Copyright Notice

IETF Trust Legal Provisions of 28-dec-2009, [Section 6.b\(i\)](#), paragraph 2: Copyright (c) 2015 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

IETF Trust Legal Provisions of 28-dec-2009, [Section 6.b\(ii\)](#), paragraph 3: This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

