Network Working Group Internet-Draft

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: June 13, 2008

E. Wilde UC Berkelev A. Vaha-Sipila Nokia Dec 11, 2007

URI Scheme for GSM Short Message Service draft-wilde-sms-uri-14

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with Section 6 of BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

This Internet-Draft will expire on June 13, 2008.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

Abstract

This memo specifies the Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) scheme "sms" for specifying one or more recipients for an SMS message. SMS messages are two-way paging messages that can be sent from and received by a mobile phone or a suitably equipped networked device.

T	ah	1 🗖	٥f	Con	1 + 4	n 1	l c
10	่นม	TC	υı	CUI	וענ	7 I I I	_ >

<u>1</u> . Int	roduction	. <u>3</u>
<u>1.1</u> .	What is GSM?	
<u>1.2</u> .	What is SMS?	. <u>3</u>
<u>1.3</u> .	The "sms" URI Scheme	. <u>7</u>
2. URI	Scheme Registration	. <u>10</u>
<u>2.1</u> .	URI Scheme Name	<u> 10</u>
<u>2.2</u> .	Status	. <u>10</u>
<u>2.3</u> .	URI Scheme Syntax	. <u>10</u>
<u>2.4</u> .	URI Scheme Semantics	<u> 10</u>
<u>2.5</u> .	Encoding Considerations	. <u>11</u>
<u>2.6</u> .	Applications/Protocols that use this URI Scheme Name	. <u>11</u>
<u>2.7</u> .	Interoperability Considerations	. <u>11</u>
<u>2.8</u> .	Security Considerations	. <u>11</u>
<u>2.9</u> .	Contact	. <u>11</u>
<u>3</u> . Sec	curity Considerations	. <u>11</u>
<u>4</u> . IAN	NA Considerations	. <u>13</u>
<u>5</u> . Cha	ange Log	<u> 13</u>
<u>5.1</u> .	From -13 to -14	. 13
<u>5.2</u> .	From -12 to -13	. <u>14</u>
<u>5.3</u> .	From -11 to -12	. 14
<u>5.4</u> .	From -10 to -11	. <u>15</u>
<u>5.5</u> .	From -09 to -10	. 15
<u>5.6</u> .	From -08 to -09	. 15
<u>5.7</u> .	From -07 to -08	. 15
<u>5.8</u> .	From -06 to -07	. 15
<u>5.9</u> .	From -05 to -06	. 15
<u>5.10</u> .	. From -04 to -05	. 15
<u>5.11</u> .	. From -03 to -04	. 15
<u>5.12</u> .	. From -02 to -03	<u> 16</u>
<u>5.13</u> .	. From -01 to -02	<u> 16</u>
<u>5.14</u> .	. From -00 to -01	<u> 16</u>
<u>5.15</u> .	Change Log of <u>draft-wilde-sms-service</u>	. 16
Ref	erences	<u> 18</u>
6.1.	Normative References	. 18
6.2.	Non-Normative References	. 19
Appendi	<u>ix A</u> . Where to send Comments	. 19
Appendi		
	s' Addresses	. 19
Intelle	ectual Property and Copyright Statements	. 21

1. Introduction

Compliant software MUST follow this specification. The capitalized key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

1.1. What is GSM?

GSM (Global System for Mobile Communications) is a digital mobile phone standard which is used extensively in many parts of the world. First named after its frequency band around 900 MHz, GSM-900 has provided the basis for several other networks utilizing GSM technology, in particular GSM networks operating in the frequency bands around 1800 MHz and 1900 MHz. When referring to "GSM" in this document, we mean any of these GSM-based networks that operate a short message service.

1.2. What is SMS?

The Short Message Service (SMS) [SMS] is an integral part of the GSM network technology. It has been very successful and currently is a major source of revenue for many GSM operators. SMS as a service is so successful that other Global Switched Telephone Network (GSTN) technologies have adapted it as well, in particular the Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN). Because of this development, this memo uses the term "SMS client" to refer to user agents who are able to send and/or receive SMS messages.

1.2.1. SMS content

GSM SMS messages are alphanumeric paging messages that can be sent to and from SMS clients. SMS messages have a maximum length of 160 characters (7-bit characters from the GSM character set [SMS-CHAR]), or 140 octets. Other character sets (such as UCS-2 16-bit characters, resulting in 70 character messages) MAY also be supported [SMS-CHAR], but are defined as being optional by the SMS specification. Consequently, applications handling SMS messages as part of a chain of character processing applications MUST make sure that character sets are correctly mapped to and from the character set used for SMS messages.

While the 160 character variety for SMS messages is by far the most widely used one, there are numerous other content types for SMS messages, such as small bitmaps ("operator logos") and simple formats for musical notes ("ring tones"). However, these formats are proprietary and are not considered in this memo.

SMS messages are very limited in length (140 octets), and the first versions of the SMS specification did not specify any standardized methods for concatenating SMS messages. As a consequence, several proprietary methods were invented, but the current SMS specification does specify message concatenation. In order to deal with this situation, SMS clients composing messages SHOULD use the standard concatenation method based on the header in the TP-User Data field as specified in the SMS specification [SMS]. When sending a message to an SMS recipient whose support for concatenated messages is unknown, the SMS client MAY opt to use the backwards-compatible (text-based) concatenation method defined in the SMS specification [SMS]. Proprietary concatenation methods SHOULD NOT be used except in closed systems, where the capabilities of the recipient(s) are always known.

1.2.2. SMS infrastructure

SMS messages can be transmitted over an SMS client's network interface using the signaling channels of the underlying GSTN infrastructure, so there is no delay for call setup. Alternatively, SMS messages MAY be submitted through other front-ends (for example Web-based services), which makes it possible for SMS clients to run on computers which are not directly connected to a GSTN network supporting SMS.

SMS messages sent with the GSTN SMS service MUST be sent as class 1 SMS messages, if the client is able to specify the message class.

<u>1.2.2.1</u>. SMS Centers

For delivery within GSTN networks, SMS messages are stored by an entity called SMS Center (SMSC), and sent to the recipient when the subscriber connects to the network. The number of a cooperative SMSC must be known to the SMS sender (i.e., the entity submitting the SMS message to a GSTN infrastructure) when sending the message (usually, the SMSC's number is configured in the SMS client and specific for the network operator to which the sender has subscribed). In most situations, the SMSC number is part of the sending SMS client's configuration. However, in some special cases (such as when the SMS recipient only accepts messages from a certain SMSC), it may be necessary to send the SMS message over a specific SMSC. The scheme specified in this memo does not support the specification of SMSC numbers, so in case of scenarios where messages have to be sent through a certain SMSC, there must be some other context establishing this requirement, or message delivery may fail.

Short messages can be mobile terminated (MT) or mobile originated (MO). MT messages are the ones that arrive at SMS clients; MO messages are sent by SMS clients. Networks may support either, both,

or none of these. For the purpose of this memo, it is important that the sending SMS client is allowed to submit MO messages, and that the receiver is allowed to receive MT messages.

The exact setup of message submission and delivery is not subject of this memo, it may incorporate additional hops in addition to the pure SMS transport. For example, the sending SMS client may use a Webbased service to submit the SMS message, and the receiving SMS client may be set up to forward the SMS to an email account. For the purpose of this memo, it is important that the receiver can be addressed by a GSTN number, and that the sender can submit an SMS message using this number.

1.2.3. Uniform Resource Identifiers

One of the core specifications for identifying resources on the Internet is RFC 3986 [RFC3986], specifying the syntax and semantics of a Uniform Resource Identifier (URI). The most important notion of URIs are "schemes", which define a framework within which resources can be uniquely identified and addressed. URIs enable users to access resources, and are used for very diverse schemes such as access protocols (HTTP, FTP), broadcast media (TV channels [RFC2838]), messaging (email [RFC2368]), and even telephone numbers (voice [RFC3966]).

URIS often are mentioned together with Uniform Resource Names (URNs) and/or Uniform Resource Locators (URLs), and it often is unclear how to separate these concepts. For the purpose of this memo, only the term URI will be used, referring to the most fundamental concept. The World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) has issued a note [uri-clarification] discussing the topic of URIs, URNs, and URLs in detail.

1.2.4. SMS Messages and the Internet

One of the important reasons for the universal access of the Web is the ability to access all information through a unique interface. This kind of integration makes it easy to provide information as well as to consume it. One aspect of this integration is the support of user agents (in the case of the Web, commonly referred to as browsers) for multiple content formats (such as HTML, GIF, JPEG) and access schemes (such as HTTP, HTTPS, FTP).

The "mailto" scheme has proven to be very useful and popular, because most user agents support it by providing an email composition facility when the user selects (e.g., clicks on) the URI. Similarly, the "sms" scheme can be supported by user agents by providing an SMS message composition facility when the user selects the URI. In cases

where the user agent does not provide a built-in SMS message composition facility, the scheme could still be supported by opening a Web page which provides such a service. The specific Web page to be used could be configured by the user, so that each user could use the SMS message composition service of his choice.

The goal of this memo is to specify the "sms" URI scheme, so that user agents (such as Web browsers and email clients) can start to support it. The "sms" URI scheme identifies SMS message endpoints as resources. When "sms" URIs are dereferenced, implementations MAY create a message and present it to be edited before being sent, or they MAY invoke additional services to provide the functionality necessary for composing a message and sending it to the SMS message endpoint. In either case, simply activating a link with an "sms" URI SHOULD NOT cause a message to be sent without prior user confirmation.

1.2.4.1. SMS Messages and the Web

SMS messages can provide an alternative to "mailto" URIS [RFC2368], or "tel" or "fax" URIS [RFC3966]. When a "sms" URI is activated, the user agent MAY start a program for sending an SMS message, just as "mailto" may open a mail client. Unfortunately, most browsers do not support the external handling of internally unsupported URI schemes in the same generalized way as most of them support external handling of content for media types which they do not support internally. Ideally, user agents should implement generic URI parsers and provide a way to associate unsupported schemes with external applications (or Web-based services).

The recipient of an SMS message need not be a mobile phone. It can be a server that can process SMS messages, either by gatewaying them to another messaging system (such as regular electronic mail), or by parsing them for supplementary services.

SMS messages can be used to transport almost any kind of data (even though there is a very tight size limit), but the only standardized data formats are character-based messages in different character encodings. SMS messages have a maximum length of 160 characters (when using 7-bit characters from the SMS character set), or 140 octets. However, SMS messages can be concatenated to form longer messages. It is up to the user agent to decide whether to limit the length of the message, and how to indicate this limit in its user interface, if necessary. There is one exception to this, see Section 1.3.5.

1.2.4.2. SMS Messages and Forms

The Hypertext Markup Language (HTML) [HTML401] provides a way to collect information from a user and pass it to a server for processing. This functionality is known as "HTML forms". A filled-in form is usually sent to the destination using the Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) or email. However, SMS messages can also be used as the transport mechanism for these forms. As SMS transport is "out-of-band" as far as normal HTTP over TCP/IP is concerned, this provides a way to fill in forms offline, and send the data without making a TCP connection to the server, as the set-up time, cost, and overhead for a TCP connection are large compared to an SMS message. Also, depending on the network configuration, the sender's telephone number may be included in the SMS message, thus providing a weak form of authentication.

1.3. The "sms" URI Scheme

Syntax definitions are given using the Augmented BNF (ABNF) for syntax specifications [RFC4234].

1.3.1. Applicability

This URI scheme provides information that can be used for sending an SMS message to certain recipient(s). The functionality is comparable to that of the "mailto" URI, which (as per RFC 2368 [RFC2368]) can also be used with a comma-separated list of email addresses.

The notation for phone numbers is taken from [RFC3966]. Refer to this document for information on why this particular format was chosen.

How the SMS message is sent to the SMSC or other intermediaries is outside the scope of this specification. SMS messages can be sent over the GSM air interface, by using a modem and a suitable protocol, or by accessing services over other protocols, such as a Web-based service for sending SMS messages. Also, SMS message service options like deferred delivery and delivery notification requests are not within the scope of this document. Such services MAY be requested from the network by the user agent if necessary.

SMS messages sent as a result of this URI MUST be sent as class 1 SMS messages, if the user agent is able to specify the message class.

1.3.2. Formal Definition

The URI scheme's keywords specified in the following syntax description are case-insensitive. The syntax of an "sms" URI is

formally described as follows, where the URI base syntax is taken from RFC 3986 [RFC3986]:

Some illustrative examples using this syntax are given in Section 1.3.4.

The syntax definition for <phonedigit> is taken from $\overline{RFC 3966}$ [$\overline{RFC3966}$].

The syntax definition for <query> is taken from $\underline{RFC 3986}$ [$\underline{RFC3986}$], please refer to that document.

The <sms-body> is used to define the body of the SMS message to be composed. It consists of percent-encoded UTF-8 characters.

Implementations MUST make sure that the <sms-body> characters are converted to a suitable character encoding before sending, the most popular being the 7-bit SMS character encoding, another variant (though not as universally supported as 7-bit SMS) is the UCS-2 character encoding (both specified in [SMS-CHAR]). Implementations MAY choose to discard (or convert) characters in the <sms-body> that are not supported by the SMS character set they are using to send the SMS message. If they do discard or convert characters, they MUST notify the user.

User agents SHOULD support multiple recipients, and SHOULD make it clear to users what the entire list of recipients is, before committing the user to sending all the messages.

1.3.3. Processing an "sms" URI

The following list describes the steps for processing an "sms" URI:

1. The <sms-number> of the first <sms-recipient> is extracted. It is the phone number of the final recipient and it MUST be written in international form with country code, unless the number only works from inside a certain geographical area or a network. Note that some numbers may work from several networks but not from the whole world - these SHOULD be written in international form.

According to RFC 3966 [RFC3966], all international numbers MUST begin with a "+" character. Hyphens, dots, and parentheses (referred to as "visual separators" in RFC 3966) are used only to improve readability and MUST NOT convey any other meaning.

- 2. The <sms-body> is extracted, if present.
- 3. The user agent should provide some means for message composition, either by implementing this itself, or by accessing a service providing it. Message composition SHOULD start with the body extracted from the <sms-body>, if present.
- 4. After message composition, a user agent SHOULD try to send the message first using the the default delivery method employed by that user agent. If that fails, the user agent MAY try another delivery method.
- 5. If the URI consists of a comma-separated list of recipients (i.e., contains multiple <sms-recipient> parts), all of them are processed in this manner. Exactly the same message SHOULD be sent to all of the listed recipients, which means that the message resulting from the message composition step for the first recipient is used unaltered for all other recipients as well.

1.3.4. Examples of Use

sms:+12024561111

This indicates an SMS message capable recipient at the given telephone number. The message is sent using the user agent's default SMS delivery method.

sms:+12024561111,+15102061079

This indicates SMS message capable recipients at the given telephone numbers. The identical message should be sent to both recipients using the user agent's default SMS delivery method.

sms:+12024561111?body=hello%20there

In this case, a message (initially being set to "hello there", which may be modified by the user before sending) will be sent via SMS using the user agent's default SMS delivery method.

1.3.5. Using "sms" URIs in HTML Forms

When using a "sms" type URI as an action URI for HTML form submission [HTML401], the form contents MUST be packaged in the SMS message just

as they are packaged when using a "mailto" URI [RFC2368], using the "application/x-www-form-urlencoded" media type, effectively packaging all form data into URI compliant syntax [RFC3986]. The SMS message MUST NOT contain any HTTP headers, only the form data. The media type is implicit. It MUST NOT be transferred in the SMS message.

The character encoding used for form submissions MUST be UTF-8 [RFC3629]. It should be noted, however, that user agents MUST percent-encode form submissions before sending them (this encoding is specified by the URI syntax [RFC3986]).

The user agent SHOULD inform the user about the possible security hazards involved when submitting the form (it is probably being sent as plain text over an air interface).

If the form submission is longer than the maximum SMS message size, the user agent MAY either concatenate SMS messages, if it is able to do so, or it MAY refuse to send the message. The user agent MUST NOT send out partial form submissions.

2. URI Scheme Registration

2.1. URI Scheme Name

sms

2.2. Status

Provisional

2.3. URI Scheme Syntax

See Section 1.3.

2.4. URI Scheme Semantics

The "sms" defines a way how a message may be composed which is then transmitted using the SMS message transmission method. This scheme can thus be compared to be "mailto" URI scheme [RFC2368]. See Section 1.3.3 for the details of operation.

2.5. Encoding Considerations

The optional body field of "sms" URIs may contain a message text, but this text uses percent-encoded UTF-8 characters and thus can always be represented using URI characters. See <u>Section 1.3</u> for the details of encoding.

2.6. Applications/Protocols that use this URI Scheme Name

The "sms" URI scheme is intended to be used in a similar way as the "mailto" URI scheme [RFC2368]. By using "sms" URIs, authors can embed information into documents which can be used as a starting point for initiating message composition. Whether the client is sending the message itself (for example over a GSM air interface) or redirecting the user to a third party for message composition (such as a Web service for sending SMS messages) is outside of the scope of the URI scheme definition.

2.7. Interoperability Considerations

No interoperability issues have been identified.

2.8. Security Considerations

See <u>Section 3</u>.

2.9. Contact

Erik Wilde
School of Information
UC Berkeley
Berkeley, CA 94720-4600
U.S.A.
tel:+1-510-6432252
mailto:dret@berkeley.edu

3. Security Considerations

SMS messages are transported without any provisions for privacy or integrity, so SMS users should be aware of these inherent security problems of SMS messages. Unlike electronic mail, where additional mechanisms exist to layer security features on top of the basic infrastructure, there currently is no such framework for SMS messages.

SMS messages very often are delivered almost instantaneously (if the receiving SMS client is online), but there is no guarantee for when

SMS messages will be delivered. In particular, SMS messages between different network operators sometimes take a long time to be delivered (hours or even days) or are not delivered at all, so applications SHOULD NOT make any assumptions about the reliability and performance of SMS message transmission.

In most networks, sending SMS messages is not a free service. Therefore, SMS clients MUST make sure that any action that incurs costs is acknowledged by the end user, unless explicitly instructed otherwise by the end user. If an SMS client has different ways of submitting an SMS message (such as a Web service and a phone line), then the end user MUST have a way to control which way is chosen.

SMS clients often are limited devices (typically mobile phones), and the sending SMS client SHOULD NOT make any assumptions about the receiving SMS client supporting any non-standard services, such as proprietary message concatenation or proprietary content types. However, if the sending SMS client has prior knowledge about the receiving SMS client, then he MAY use this knowledge to compose non-standard SMS messages.

There are certain special SMS messages defined in the SMS specification [SMS] that can be used, for example, to turn on indicators on the phone display, or to send data to certain communication ports (comparable to UDP ports) on the device. Certain proprietary systems (for example, the Wireless Application Protocol [WAP]) define configuration messages that may be used to reconfigure the devices remotely. Any SMS client SHOULD make sure that malicious use of such messages is not possible, for example by filtering out certain SMS User Data headers. Gateways that accept SMS messages e.g. in e-mail messages or Web forms and pass them on to an SMSC, SHOULD implement this kind of "firewalling" approach as well.

Because the narrow bandwidth of the SMS communications channel, there should also be checks in place for excessively long concatenated messages. As an example, it may take two minutes to transfer thirty concatenated text messages.

Unchecked input from a user MUST NOT be used to populate any other fields in a SMS message other than the User Data field (not including the User Data Header field). All other parts, including the User Data Header, of the short message should only be generated by trusted means.

By including "sms" URIs in unsolicited messages (a.k.a. "spam") or other types of advertising, the originator of the "sms" URIs may attempt to reveal an individual's phone number and/or to link the identity (i.e., e-mail address) used for messaging with the identity (i.e., phone number) used for the mobile phone. This attempt to collect information may be a privacy issue, and user agents may make users aware of that risk before composing or sending SMS messages. Users agents which do not provide any feedback about this privacy issue make users more vulnerable to this kind of attack.

A user agent SHOULD NOT send out SMS messages without the knowledge of the user, because of associated risks, which include sending masses of SMS messages to a subscriber without his consent, and the costs involved in sending an SMS message.

The user agent SHOULD have some mechanism that the user can use to filter out unwanted destinations for SMS messages. The user agent SHOULD also have some means of restricting the number of SMS messages being sent as the result of activating one "sms" URI.

As suggested functionality, the user agent MAY offer a possibility for the user to filter out those phone numbers that are expressed in local format, as most premium-rate numbers are expressed in local format, and because determining the correct local context (and hence the validity of the number to this specific user) may be very difficult.

When using "sms" URIs as targets of forms (as described in <u>Section 1.3.5</u>), the user agent SHOULD inform the user about the possible security hazards involved when submitting the form (it is probably being sent as plain text over an air interface).

4. IANA Considerations

Upon publication of this memo as an RFC, IANA has registered the SMS URI scheme, using the template in Section 2, in accordance with RFC 4234 [RFC4234].

5. Change Log

This section will not be part of the final RFC text, it serves as a container to collect the history of the individual draft versions. Please remove this section before publication as RFC

5.1. From -13 to -14

o Updated abstract of <u>Section 2</u> to the new abstract of the complete document (mentioning of gateway functionality removed).

- o Removed the "smsc" qualifier which allowed the specification of an SMSC to be used for SMS message delivery.
- o Removed the section about interfacing between a user agent processing an "sms" URI, and a Web-based service for SMS composition and sending.
- o Replaced "gstn-phone" with "sms-number" in <u>Section 1.3.3</u> (inconsistency from earlier syntax revision).
- o Replaced all quotes around ABNF symbols within text with <...> to clearly identify them as ABNF.
- o Updated all references to their complete XML version.
- o Added Section 4.

5.2. From -12 to -13

- o Updated $[\underline{SMS}]$ to point to the latest version of the SMS spec (3GPP TS 23.040 V7.0.1).
- o Removed support for telematic interworking from the draft. This feature of SMS messaging is hard to understand, poorly supported by clients as well as network operators, and for these reasons would have been likely to see poor adoption in implementations anyway.
- o Added some text making it more clear that all recipients SHOULD be sent the exact same message.
- o Several small editorial changes.

5.3. From -11 to -12

- o Integrated <u>draft-wilde-sms-service-11</u> and <u>draft-wilde-sms-uri-registration-00</u> into this draft. This draft is now self-contained.
- o Changed the phone number notation to use the definition from $\overline{\text{RFC}}$ 3966 [RFC3966] rather than $\overline{\text{RFC}}$ 3601 (RFC 3966 is a simpler notation disallowing some of the special characters allowed by $\overline{\text{RFC}}$ 3601).
- o Rephrased various parts of <u>Section 3</u>.
- o Removed Section "Author/Change Controller".

o RFC 2806 (tel URI scheme) has been obsoleted by [RFC3966].

5.4. From -10 to -11

- o RFC 2234 (ABNF) has been obsoleted by [RFC4234].
- o Updated reference information for [SMS] and [SMS-CHAR].
- o Minor textual changes.

5.5. From -09 to -10

- o Added security consideration about filtering local format phone numbers.
- o Changed IPR clause from <u>RFC 3667</u> to <u>RFC 3978</u> (updated version of <u>RFC 3667</u>).

5.6. From -08 to -09

o Fixed syntax error in hier-part and sms-recipient non-terminals, which allowed sms-recipients to be concatenated without comma separation.

5.7. From -07 to -08

o URIs are now defined by RFC 3986 [RFC3986], so the text (including the syntax definitions) and the references have been updated.

5.8. From -06 to -07

o Changed IPR clause from $\underline{\sf RFC}$ 2026 to $\underline{\sf RFC}$ 3667 (updated version of $\underline{\sf RFC}$ 2026).

5.9. From -05 to -06

o Updated reference from draft-allocchio-gstn to RFC 3601.

5.10. From -04 to -05

o Updated reference to SMS spec to the version referenced in the SMS service draft.

5.11. From -03 to -04

o Updated reference to draft-allocchio-gstn (to revision -05).

5.12. From -02 to -03

- o Changed ordering of "change Log" section (descending to ascending).
- o Clarified the wording at the beginning of <u>Section 1.3.2</u> about only the keywords of the scheme being case-insensitive.
- o Changed "sms-body" to be a URI query string.
- o Added some text describing "sms" URIs as addressing resources.

5.13. From -01 to -02

o Changed the sms-body field to percent-encoded UTF-8 characters.

5.14. From -00 to -01

- o Added the "sms-body" field and its processing rules.
- o Added section about using "sms" URIs as query strings for SMS Web services.
- o Fixed typo in ABNF (said "global-phone" instead of "gstn-phone").
- o Added some explanatory text about form submissions using email telematic interworking.
- o Added some text about character encoding in form submissions.

<u>5.15</u>. Change Log of <u>draft-wilde-sms-service</u>

This section contains the change log of <u>draft-wilde-sms-service-11</u> before it was incorporated into this document at version <u>draft-wilde-sms-uri-12</u>.

5.15.1. From -10 to -11

- o RFC 2234 (ABNF) has been obsoleted by [RFC4234].
- o Added new security issue in <u>Section 3</u>.
- o Updated reference information for [SMS] and [SMS-CHAR].
- o Minor textual changes.

5.15.2. From -09 to -10

o Changed IPR clause from <u>RFC 3667</u> to <u>RFC 3978</u> (updated version of <u>RFC 3667</u>).

5.15.3. From -08 to -09

o No changes, re-release for alignment with draft-wilde-sms-uri.

5.15.4. From -07 to -08

o No changes, re-release for alignment with draft-wilde-sms-uri.

5.15.5. From -06 to -07

o Changed IPR clause from <u>RFC 2026</u> to <u>RFC 3667</u> (updated version of <u>RFC 2026</u>).

5.15.6. From -05 to -06

o Updated reference from draft-allocchio-gstn-05 to RFC 3601.

5.15.7. From -04 to -05

o No changes, re-release for alignment with draft-wilde-sms-uri.

5.15.8. From -03 to -04

o Updated reference to draft-allocchio-gstn (to revision -05).

5.15.9. From -02 to -03

- o Changed ordering of "change Log" section (descending to ascending).
- o Fixed some spelling errors.

5.15.10. From -01 to -02

- o Removed address specification for X.400 SMS from ABNF (surprisingly not part of the SMS spec).
- o Added some explanatory text about character set mapping for SMS messages.
- o Added text requiring the use of message class 1 for sending SMS messages.

5.15.11. From -00 to -01

- o Added a number of new security considerations.
- o Added the "PID" qualif-type1 keyword and the section about "SMS Telematic Interworking" (removed in -13, available as Section
 1.2.3 in -12).

References

6.1. Normative References

- [HTML401] Raggett, D., Le Hors, A., and I. Jacobs, "HTML 4.01 Specification", W3C REC-html401, December 1999, http://www.w3.org/TR/html401/>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [RFC2822] Resnick, P., "Internet Message Format", <u>RFC 2822</u>, April 2001.
- [RFC3629] Yergeau, F., "UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646", STD 63, RFC 3629, November 2003.
- [RFC3966] Schulzrinne, H., "The tel URI for Telephone Numbers", RFC 3966, December 2004.
- [RFC3986] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, RFC 3986, January 2005.
- [RFC4234] Crocker, D., Ed. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", <u>RFC 4234</u>, October 2005.
- [RFC4395] Hansen, T., Hardie, T., and L. Masinter, "Guidelines and Registration Procedures for New URI Schemes", <u>BCP 115</u>, <u>RFC 4395</u>, February 2006.
- [SMS] European Telecommunications Standards Institute, "3GPP TS 23.040 V7.0.1 (2007-03): 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Core Network and Terminals; Technical realization of the Short Message Service (SMS) (Release 7)", March 2007, http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/Specs/archive/23_series/23.040/23040-701.zip.

[SMS-CHAR]

European Telecommunications Standards Institute, "TS 100 900 (GSM 03.38 version 7.2.0 Release 1998): Digital Cellular Telecommunications System (Phase 2+); Alphabets and language-specific information", July 1999, http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/Specs/archive/03_series/03.38/0338-720.zip.

6.2. Non-Normative References

[RFC2368] Hoffmann, P., Masinter, L., and J. Zawinski, "The mailto URL scheme", <u>RFC 2368</u>, June 1998.

[RFC2629] Rose, M., "Writing I-Ds and RFCs using XML", RFC 2629, June 1999.

[RFC2838] Zigmond, D. and M. Vickers, "Uniform Resource Identifiers for Television Broadcasts", <u>RFC 2838</u>, May 2000.

[WAP] WAP Forum, "Wireless Application Protocol - Architecture Specification (WAP-210-WAPArch-20010712)", July 2001.

[uri-clarification]

World Wide Web Consortium, "URIS, URLs, and URNs: Clarifications and Recommendations 1.0", W3C uriclarification, September 2001, http://www.w3.org/TR/uri-clarification/>.

Appendix A. Where to send Comments

Please send all comments and questions concerning this document to Erik Wilde.

Appendix B. Acknowledgements

This document has been prepared using the IETF document DTD described in RFC 2629 [RFC2629].

Thanks to Claudio Allocchio, Lisa Dusseault, Larry Masinter, Alfred Hoenes, Graham Klyne, Derek Atkins, Michael Patton, and Vijay Gurbani for their comments.

Authors' Addresses

Erik Wilde UC Berkeley Berkeley, CA 94720-4600 U.S.A.

Phone: +1-510-6432253 Email: dret@berkeley.edu

URI: http://dret.net/netdret/

Antti Vaha-Sipila

Nokia

Email: antti.vaha-sipila@nokia.com

URI: http://www.iki.fi/avs/

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$ 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in $\frac{BCP}{8}$ and $\frac{BCP}{9}$.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at http://www.ietf.org/ipr.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).