

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: January 16, 2014

S. Wilkinson
YFS
B. Kaduk
MIT
July 15, 2013

rxgk: GSSAPI based security class for RX
draft-wilkinson-afs3-rxgk-07

Abstract

rxgk is a security class for the RX RPC protocol. It uses the GSSAPI framework to provide an authentication service that provides authentication, confidentiality and integrity protection for the rxgk security class. This document provides a general description of rxgk and how to integrate it into generic RX applications. Application specific behaviour will be described, as necessary, in future documents.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 16, 2014.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2013 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

Internet-Draft rxgk: GSSAPI based security class for RX

July 2013

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
1.1.	Requirements Language	3
2.	Time Representation	3
3.	Encryption Framework	4
3.1.	Key Usage Values	4
4.	Security Levels	4
5.	Token Format	5
6.	Key Negotiation	5
6.1.	RPC Interface	6
6.2.	GSS Negotiation Loop	8
6.3.	Returned Information	11
7.	Combining Tokens	13
7.1.	Overview	13
7.2.	Key Combination Algorithm	14
7.3.	RPC Definition	14
7.4.	Server Operation	14
7.5.	Client Operation	15
8.	The rxgk Security Class	16
8.1.	Overview	16
8.2.	Rekeying	16
8.3.	Key Derivation	17
8.4.	The Challenge	17
8.5.	The Response	17
8.5.1.	The Authenticator	18
8.6.	Checking the Response	18
8.7.	Packet Handling	19
8.7.1.	Authentication Only	19
8.7.2.	Integrity Protection	19
8.7.3.	Encryption	20
9.	RXGK protocol error codes	21
10.	AFS-3 Registry Considerations	23
11.	IANA Considerations	23
12.	Security Considerations	23
12.1.	Abort Packets	23
12.2.	Token Expiry	23
12.3.	Nonce Lengths	24
13.	References	24
13.1.	Informational References	25
13.2.	Normative References	25
Appendix A.	Acknowledgements	25
Appendix B.	Changes	26

B.1.	Since 00	26
B.2.	Since 01	26
B.3.	Since 02	27
B.4.	Since 03	27
B.5.	Since 04	28

Internet-Draft	rxgk: GSSAPI based security class for RX	July 2013
----------------	--	-----------

B.6.	Since 05	28
B.7.	Since 06	28
Authors' Addresses	28

[1.](#) Introduction

rxgk is a GSSAPI [[RFC2743](#)] based security class for the rx [[RX](#)] protocol. It provides authentication, confidentiality and integrity protection for rx RPC calls, using a security context established using any GSSAPI mechanism with confidentiality, mutual authentication, and PRF [[RFC4401](#)] support. The External Data Representation Standard, XDR [[RFC4506](#)], is used to represent data structures on the wire and in the code fragments contained within this document.

Architecturally, rxgk is split into two parts. The rxgk rx security class provides strong encryption using previously negotiated ciphers and keys. It builds on the Kerberos crypto framework [[RFC3961](#)] for its encryption requirements, but its authentication mechanism is independent -- the class itself does not require the use of either Kerberos, or GSSAPI. The security class simply uses a previously negotiated encryption type, and master key. The master key is never directly used, but instead a per-connection key is derived for each new secure connection that is established.

The second portion of rxgk is a service which permits the negotiation of an encryption algorithm, and the establishment of a master key. This is done via a separate RPC exchange with a server, prior to the setup of any rxgk connections. The exchange establishes an rxgk token, and a master key shared between client and server. This exchange is protected within a GSSAPI security context.

[1.1.](#) Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this

document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

[2.](#) Time Representation

rxgk expresses absolute time as a 64-bit integer. This contains the time relative to midnight, or 0 hour, January 1, 1970 UTC, represented in increments of 100 nanoseconds, excluding any leap seconds. Negative times, whilst permitted by the representation, MUST NOT be used within rxgk.

```
typedef hyper rxgkTime;
```

[3.](#) Encryption Framework

Bulk data encryption within rxgk is performed using the encryption framework defined by [RFC3961](#) [[RFC3961](#)]. Any algorithm which is defined using this framework and supported by both client and server may be used.

[3.1.](#) Key Usage Values

In order to avoid using the same key for multiple tasks, key derivation is employed. To avoid any conflicts with other users of these keys, key usage numbers are allocated within the application space documented in [section 4 of RFC4120](#) [[RFC4120](#)].

```
const RXGK_CLIENT_ENC_PACKET          = 1026;
const RXGK_CLIENT_MIC_PACKET          = 1027;
const RXGK_SERVER_ENC_PACKET          = 1028;
const RXGK_SERVER_MIC_PACKET          = 1029;
const RXGK_CLIENT_ENC_RESPONSE        = 1030;
const RXGK_SERVER_ENC_TOKEN           = 1036;
```

The application of these key usage numbers is specified in [Section 8](#).

[4.](#) Security Levels

rxgk supports the negotiation of a range of different security levels. These, along with the protocol constants that represent them during key negotiation, are:

Authentication only (0) Provides only connection authentication, without either integrity or confidentiality protection. This mode of operation can provide higher throughput, but is vulnerable to man in the middle attacks. This corresponds to the traditional rxkad 'clear' security level.

Integrity (1) Provides integrity protection only. Data is protected from modification by an attacker, but not against eavesdropping. This corresponds to the traditional rxkad 'auth' security level, authenticating the data payload as well as the Rx connection.

Encryption (2) Provides both integrity and confidentiality protection. This corresponds to the traditional rxkad 'crypt' security level.

The authentication only, or clear, security level provides faster throughput, at the expense of connection security. The 'clear'

security level is vulnerable to a man in the middle altering the data passed over the connection, whereas the 'integrity' security level prevents such attacks by sending a cryptographic checksum of the data being transmitted.

```
enum RXGK_Level {  
    RXGK_LEVEL_CLEAR = 0,  
    RXGK_LEVEL_AUTH = 1,  
    RXGK_LEVEL_CRYPT = 2  
};
```

[5.](#) Token Format

An rxgk token is an opaque identifier which is specific to a particular application's implementation of rxgk. The token is completely opaque to the client, which just receives it from one server and passes it to another. The token MUST permit the receiving server to identify the corresponding user and session key for the incoming connection -- whether that be by decrypting the information within the token, or making the token a large random identifier which keys a lookup table on the server, or some other mechanism. It is

assumed that such mechanisms will conceptually "encrypt" a token by somehow associating the "encrypted" token with the associated unencrypted data, and will "decrypt" an encrypted token by using that association to find the unencrypted data. As such, this document will use "encrypt" and "decrypt" to refer to these operations on tokens. If the token is an encrypted blob, it should be encrypted using the key usage RXGK_SERVER_ENC_TOKEN.

The token MUST NOT expose the session key on the wire. The token MUST be sufficiently random that an attacker cannot predict suitable token values by observing other connections. An attacker MUST NOT be able to forge tokens which convey a particular session key or identity.

[6.](#) Key Negotiation

rxgk uses an independent RX RPC service for key negotiation. The location of this service is application dependent. Within a given application protocol, a client MUST be able to locate the key negotiation service, and that service MUST be able to create tokens which can be read by the application server. The simplest deployment has the negotiation service running on every application server, on the same transport endpoints, but using a separate, dedicated, rx service ID.

The rxgk key negotiation service uses the service ID 34567.

GSS security context negotiation requires that the initiator specify a principal name for the acceptor; in the absence of application-specific knowledge, when using rxgk over a port number registered with IANA, the registered service name SHOULD be used to construct the target principal name as <service name>@<hostname> using the name type GSS_C_NT_HOSTBASED_SERVICE.

[6.1.](#) RPC Interface

The key negotiation protocol is defined by the RPC-L below. The maximum length of data allowable in an RXGK_Data object, RXGK_MAXDATA, is application-specific, but MUST NOT be less than 1048576.

```
/* limits for variable-length arrays */
```

```

const RXGK_MAXENTYPES = 255;
const RXGK_MAXLEVELS = 255;
const RXGK_MAXMIC = 1024;
const RXGK_MAXNONCE = 1024;
/* const RXGK_MAXDATA = 1048576; */

typedef int RXGK_Enctypes<RXGK_MAXENTYPES>;
typedef opaque RXGK_Data<RXGK_MAXDATA>;

struct RXGK_StartParams {
    RXGK_Enctypes enctypes;
    RXGK_Level levels<RXGK_MAXLEVELS>;
    unsigned int lifetime;
    unsigned int bytelife;
    opaque client_nonce<RXGK_MAXNONCE>;
};

struct RXGK_ClientInfo {
    int errorcode;
    int enctype;
    RXGK_Level level;
    unsigned int lifetime;
    unsigned int bytelife;
    rxgkTime expiration;
    opaque mic<RXGK_MAXMIC>;
    RXGK_Data token;
    opaque server_nonce<RXGK_MAXNONCE>;
};

package RXGK_

GSSNegotiate(IN RXGK_StartParams *client_start,
             IN RXGK_Data *input_token_buffer,

```

```

    IN RXGK_Data *opaque_in,
    OUT RXGK_Data *output_token_buffer,
    OUT RXGK_Data *opaque_out,
    OUT unsigned int *gss_major_status,
    OUT unsigned int *gss_minor_status,
    OUT RXGK_Data *rxgk_info) = 1;

```

The client populates RXGK_StartParams with its preferred options. The enctypees and levels parameters are lists of values supported by the client, and MUST be ordered from best to worst, with the client's favoured option occurring first within the list. The parameters are:

enctypees: List of encryption types from the Kerberos Encryption Type Number registry created in [RFC3961](#) and maintained by IANA. This list indicates the encryption types that the client is prepared to support.

levels: List of supported rxgk transport encryption levels. See [Section 4](#) for allowed values.

lifetime: The maximum number of seconds that a connection key should be used before rekeying. A value of 0 indicates that the connection should not be rekeyed based on its lifetime. This lifetime is advisory; [Section 8.2](#) describes its use.

bytelife: The maximum amount of data to be transferred over the connection before it should be rekeyed, expressed as log base 2 of the number of bytes. A value of 0 indicates that there is no limit on the number of bytes that may be transmitted. The byte lifetime is advisory -- a connection that is over its byte lifetime should be permitted to continue, but endpoints SHOULD attempt to rekey the connection (as per [Section 8.2](#)) at their earliest convenience. The use of the bytelife to determine when to rekey a connection is described in [Section 8.2](#) along with the lifetime.

client_nonce: A client-generated string of random bytes, to be used as input to the key generation. This nonce SHOULD be at least 20 octets in length.

The client then continues the negotiation loop (described below) by calling GSS_Init_sec_context() to obtain an output token to send to the server. The GSS service name is application dependent; for constructing a service name see [Section 6](#).

The client then calls RXGK_GSSNegotiate, as defined above. This

takes the following parameters:

`client_start` The client params structure detailed above. This will remain constant across the negotiation.

`input_token_buffer` The token produced by a call to `GSS_Init_sec_context()`.

`opaque_in` An opaque token, which was returned by the server following a previous call to `GSSNegotiate` in this negotiation. If this is the first call, `opaque_in` should be zero-length.

`output_token_buffer` The token output by the server's call to `GSS_Accept_sec_context()`.

`opaque_out` An opaque token, which the server may use to preserve state information between multiple RPCs in the same context negotiation. The client should use this value as `opaque_in` in its next call to `GSSNegotiate` in this context negotiation.

`gss_major_status` The major status code output by the server's call to `GSS_Accept_sec_context()`.

`gss_minor_status` The minor status code returned by `GSS_Accept_sec_context()`. Implementors should note that minor status codes are not portable between GSSAPI implementations.

`rxgk_info` If `gss_major_status == GSS_S_COMPLETE` this contains an encrypted block containing the server's response to the client. See below.

[6.2.](#) GSS Negotiation Loop

The client proceeds through a GSS security context initialization loop, with alternating calls to `GSS_Init_sec_context()` and the `GSSNegotiate()` RPC, until an error or success condition is reached. Each call to `GSSNegotiate` will return an output token from `GSS_Accept_sec_context()` and/or an output opaque to be used as an input for a subsequent call to `GSSNegotiate`, if such a subsequent call is necessary.

All calls to `GSSNegotiate` in the loop MUST occur on the same Rx connection.

Different GSS mechanisms will require a different number of full (or half) round trips. The structure of the loop, with success and error conditions noted (noting that RX level errors may occur as well which

are not mentioned as part of the loop structure), is enumerated below. The client drives the loop by calling the GSSNegotiate RPC. If the server detects a failure of the negotiation loop before a security context is established, the server reports this status to the client in the RPC return value as an appropriate com_err error code. The steps of the loop are:

1. The client calls GSS_Init_sec_context(), supplying an input token if a token was returned by the previous (if any) call to GSSNegotiate(). The client MUST set the mutual_req_flag, conf_req_flag, and integ_req_flag booleans to true.
 - * If the major status code from GSS_Init_sec_context() indicates a GSSAPI error, the negotiation loop is in an error condition and terminates.
 - * If the major status code is GSS_S_COMPLETE and the mutual_state, conf_avail integ_avail flags are not all true, the negotiation loop is in an error condition and terminates.
 - * If the major status code is GSS_S_COMPLETE and the output token is zero length, this is a success condition and the negotiation loop terminates (this cannot happen on the first iteration of the loop).
 - * If the major status code is GSS_S_COMPLETE and the output token is of nonzero length, the negotiation loop proceeds and the token is sent to the server.
 - * Otherwise, if the major status code does not include GSS_S_CONTINUE_NEEDED, the negotiation loop is in an error condition and terminates.
 - * If the major status code includes GSS_S_CONTINUE_NEEDED and the output token is zero-length, the negotiation loop is in an error condition and terminates.
 - * If the major status code includes GSS_S_CONTINUE_NEEDED, the output token is sent to the server, per the next step.
2. The client calls GSSNegotiate(), supplying the output token from GSS_Init_sec_context() and an input opaque if one was returned by a previous call to GSSNegotiate().
3. The server calls GSS_Accept_sec_context(), supplying the token it received from the client as input. If there is an output token

from `GSS_Accept_sec_context()`, the server returns it to the client in the `output_token_buffer` field of the `GSSNegotiate()`

RPC, along with the major and minor status codes from the call to `GSS_Accept_sec_context()`.

- * If the major status code from `GSS_Accept_sec_context()` indicates a GSSAPI error, the negotiation loop is in an error condition and terminates.
 - * If the major status code includes `GSS_S_CONTINUE_NEEDED` and the output token is zero-length, the negotiation loop is in an error condition and terminates.
 - * If the major status code includes `GSS_S_CONTINUE_NEEDED`, the server also returns an opaque identifier in the `opaque_out` field of the RPC, which will allow the server to associate a future RPC call with this partially formed security context.
 - * If the major status code is `GSS_S_COMPLETE` and the `ret_flags` output of `GSS_Accept_sec_context()` has the flags `GSS_C_CONF_FLAG` and `GSS_C_INTEG_FLAG` both set to true, the server constructs an `RXGK_ClientInfo` structure per below. The server MAY use the `gss_major_status` and `gss_minor_status` output variables of the `GSSNegotiate()` RPC to report the status of GSSAPI calls other than `GSS_Accept_sec_context()` which are performed in the process of constructing the `RXGK_ClientInfo` structure. If an error occurs during the construction of the `RXGK_ClientInfo` structure, that error is reported in the `errorcode` field of the `RXGK_ClientInfo` structure.
 - * If one or both of the `GSS_C_CONF_FLAG` and `GSS_C_INTEG_FLAG` flags are false, then the negotiated security context is unusable for rxgk. The server constructs an `RXGK_ClientInfo` structure with the `errorcode` field set to indicate the bad quality of protection and all other fields empty, returning zero from the `GSSNegotiate()` RPC.
4. The client receives the results of the `GSSNegotiate()` RPC.
- * If the server experienced an error condition, a nonzero value

was returned by the GSSNegotiate() RPC and the loop terminates in failure. In general, such an error should be reported back to the user and no automated failover should occur other than a limited number of retries.

- * If the most recent call to GSS_Init_sec_context() returned the major status code GSS_S_COMPLETE and the GSSNegotiate() RPC returned an output token, the loop is in an error condition and terminates.

- * If the most recent call to GSS_Init_sec_context() returned the major status code GSS_S_COMPLETE and the GSSNegotiate() RPC returned a zero-length token, the negotiation loop is in a success condition and terminates.
- * If the most recent call to GSS_Init_sec_context() returned the major status code GSS_S_CONTINUE_NEEDED and the GSSNegotiate() RPC returned an empty output token, the negotiation loop is in an error condition and terminates.
- * Otherwise, the client proceeds to begin the next cycle of the negotiation loop.

Failure of the negotiation loop or failure to establish a sufficiently protected security context will in general affect the client's future behavior, potentially even the security class used for future connections, so care should be taken to report errors in a secure fashion when possible. A failure of the negotiation loop (reported as a nonzero RPC return value, i.e., an RX abort) may occur for transient reasons and should not necessarily be interpreted to mean that rxgk is not usable on this connection (see [Section 12](#)), whereas an error returned in the errorcode field of the RXGK_ClientInfo object is subject to GSS protection and is more likely to be usable for determining future actions.

[6.3.](#) Returned Information

Upon successful completion of the loop (negotiation of a GSS security context), rxgk_info contains the XDR representation of a RXGK_ClientInfo structure, encrypted using gss_wrap() with confidentiality protection (or potentially some weaker protection if an appropriate security context could not be negotiated). The client

should decrypt this structure using `gss_unwrap()`. If the value of `conf_state` returned from `gss_unwrap()` is zero, then the negotiation has failed to obtain a valid token. In this case the value of the `errorcode` element may still be inspected for additional information.

`RXGK_ClientInfo` contains the following server populated fields:

`errorcode` A policy (rather than connection establishment) error code. If non-zero, an error has occurred, the resulting key negotiation has failed, and the rest of the values in this structure are undefined. These policy error codes are from `com_err` tables [[COMERR](#)] and may represent such conditions as insufficient authorization or that the client has too many active connections to the service. Error codes may be RXGK errors (see [Section 10](#)) or from an application-specific table.

`enctype` The encryption type selected by the server. This SHALL be one of the types listed by the client in its `StartParams` structure.

`level` The rxgk security level selected by the server, see [Section 4](#) for allowed values.

`lifetime` The connection lifetime, in seconds, as determined by the server. The server MAY honor the client's request, but the server MUST choose a value at least as restrictive as the value requested by the client. A value of zero indicates that the connection should not be rekeyed based on its lifetime.

`bytelifetime` The maximum amount of data (as log base 2 of the number of bytes) that may be transferred using this key. The server MAY honor the client's request, but the server MUST choose a value at least as restrictive as the value requested by the client. A value of 0 indicates that the connection should not be rekeyed based on the number of bytes transmitted over the connection.

`expiration` The time, expressed as an `rxgkTime`, at which this token expires. The expiration time MAY be set administratively by the server, and SHOULD reflect the expiration time of the underlying GSSAPI credential. The token SHOULD NOT expire

later than the underlying GSSAPI credential.

mic The result of calling `gss_get_mic()` [[RFC2744](#)] over the XDR encoded representation of the `StartParams` request received by the server.

token An rxgk token. This is an opaque blob, as detailed in [Section 5](#).

server_nonce The random nonce used by the server to create the `K0` contained within the rxgk token. The length of this nonce SHOULD be the key generation seed length of the selected enctype.

Upon receiving the server's response, the client MUST verify that the mic contained within it matches the MIC of the XDR representation of the `StartParams` structure it sent to the server (this prevents a man in the middle from performing a downgrade attack). The client SHOULD also verify that the server's selected connection properties match those proposed by the client.

The client may then compute `K0`, by taking the nonce it sent to the server (`client_nonce`) and the one it has just received

(`server_nonce`), combining them together, and passing them to `GSS_Pseudo_random()` with the `GSS_C_PRF_KEY_FULL` option:

```
GSS_Pseudo_random(gssapi_context,  
                  GSS_C_PRF_KEY_FULL,  
                  client_nonce || server_nonce,  
                  K,  
                  *K0);
```

`||` is the concatenation operation.

`K`, the desired output length, is the key generation seed length as specified in the [RFC3961](#) profile of the negotiated enctype.

The output of `GSS_Pseudo_random` must then be passed through the random-to-key operation specified in the [RFC3961](#) profile for the negotiated enctype in order to obtain the actual key `K0`.

The `GSS_Pseudo_random()` operation is deterministic, ensuring that the client and server generate the same `K0`. The `gssapi_context` parameter is the same context used in the client's `GSS_Init_sec_context()` call and the server's `GSS_Accept_sec_context()` call.

[7.](#) Combining Tokens

[7.1.](#) Overview

A client may elect to combine multiple `rxgk` tokens in its possession into a single token. This allows an `rx` connection to be secured using a combination of multiple, individually established identities, which provides additional security for a number of application protocols.

Token combination is performed using the `CombineTokens` RPC call. The client has two keys -- `K0` and `K1`, and two tokens, `T0` and `T1`. The client calls the `CombineTokens` RPC with `T0` and `T1` and negotiates the enctype and security level of the new token, received as `Tn`. `Tn` contains the new key `Kn`, as computed by the server. Using the negotiated enctype returned by the server, the client then locally combines the two keys using a defined combination algorithm to produce `Kn`.

[7.2.](#) Key Combination Algorithm

Assume that the tokens being combined are `T0` and `T1`, with master keys `K0` and `K1`. The new master key for the combined token, `Kn` is computed using the `KRB-FX-CF2` operation, described in [section 5.1 of \[RFC6113\]](#). The `PRF+` operations will correspond to their respective key encatypes, and the `random-to-key` operation will correspond to the negotiated new enctype. The constants `pepper1` and `pepper2` required by this operation are defined as the ASCII strings `"AFS"` and `"rxgk"` respectively.

[7.3.](#) RPC Definition

The combine keys RPC is defined as:

```
struct RXGK_CombineOptions {
    RXGK_Encypes encypes;
    RXGK_Level levels<RXGK_MAXLEVELS>;
};

struct RXGK_TokenInfo {
    RXGK_Encype encype;
    RXGK_Level level;
    unsigned int lifetime;
    unsigned int bytelife;
    rxgkTime expiration;
}

CombineTokens(IN RXGK_Data *token0, IN RXGK_Data *token1,
              IN RXGK_CombineOptions *options,
              OUT RXGK_Data *new_token,
              OUT RXGK_TokenInfo *info) = 2;
```

[7.4.](#) Server Operation

The server receives token0 and token1 from the RPC call, as well as the options suggested by the client. Upon receipt, the server decrypts these tokens using its private key. Providing this decryption is successful, it now has copies of the master key from both tokens (K0 and K1). The server then chooses an encype and security level from the lists supplied by the client in the options argument. The server SHOULD select the first entry from each list which is acceptable in the server's configuration, so as to respect any preferences indicated by the client. The server then performs the key combination algorithm detailed above to obtain the new key, Kn. The server then constructs a new token as follows. The expiration field is set to the minimum of the expiration values of

the original tokens. The lifetime, bytelife, and any application-specific data fields are each combined so that the result is the most restrictive of the two values in each of the original tokens. The identity information associated with the tokens are combined in an

application-specific manner to yield the identity information in the combined token (the identity combining operation may be non-commutative). This new token contains the derived key, K_n . The new token is encrypted with the server's private key, as normal, and returned to the client. The enctype and level chosen by the server are returned in the info parameter, along with the computed lifetime, bytelife, and expiration.

If the server is unable to perform the CombineTokens operation with the given arguments, a nonzero value is returned and the client's request fails.

To reduce the potential for denial of service attacks, servers SHOULD only offer the CombineTokens operation to clients connecting over a secured rxgk connection. CombineTokens SHOULD NOT be offered over an RXGK_LEVEL_CLEAR connection.

[7.5.](#) Client Operation

As detailed within the overview, the client calls the CombineTokens RPC using two tokens, T_0 and T_1 , within its possession, as well as an RXGK_CombineOptions structure containing a list of acceptable encypes and a list of acceptable security levels for the new token. The client SHOULD supply these lists sorted by preference, with the most preferred option appearing first in the list. The client then receives a new token, T_n , from this call, as well as an RXGK_TokenInfo structure containing information relating to T_n . The client needs the level element of the info parameter to determine what security level to use the new token at, and the enctype parameter to know which enctype's random-to-key function and key generation seed length to use in generating K_n . With the negotiated enctype, the client can then perform the key combination algorithm described in [Section 8.3](#). The client can only make use of T_n to establish an rxgk protected connection if it can derive K_n , which it can only do if it already knows K_0 and K_1 .

Clients MUST use an rxgk secured connection for the CombineTokens operation.

[8.](#) The rxgk Security Class

[8.1.](#) Overview

When a new connection using rxgk is created by the client, the client stores the current timestamp as an rxgkTime (start_time for the rest of this discussion), and then uses this, along with other connection information, to derive a transport key from the current master key (see [Section 8.3](#)).

This key is then used to protect the first message the client sends to the server. The server follows the standard RX security establishment protocol, and responds to the client with a challenge [RX]. rxgk challenges simply contain a random nonce selected by the server.

Upon receiving this challenge, the client uses the transport key to encrypt an authenticator, which contains the server's nonce, and some other connection information. The client sends this authenticator, together with start_time and the current user's rxgk token, back to the server.

The server decrypts the rxgk token to determine the master key in use, uses this to derive the transport key, which it in turn uses to decrypt the authenticator, and thus validate the connection.

[8.2.](#) Rekeying

As part of connection negotiation, the server and client agree upon advisory lifetimes (both time, and data, based) for connection keys. Each connection has a key number, which starts at 0. When a connection exceeds one of its lifetimes, either side may elect to increment the key number. When the other endpoint sees a key number increment, it should reset all of its connection counters. Endpoints should accept packets encrypted with either the current, previous, or next key number, to allow for resends around the rekeying process.

The key version number is contained within the 16 bit spare field of the RX header (used by previous security layers as a checksum field), and expressed as an unsigned value in network byte order. If rekeying would cause this value to wrap, then the key version number MAY be stored locally as a 32-bit integer on both endpoints with only the low 16 bits transmitted on the wire. If an endpoint cannot store a per-connection 32-bit key version number when the 16-bit key version number would wrap, that endpoint MUST terminate the connection.

[8.3.](#) Key Derivation

In order to avoid the sharing of keys between multiple connections, each connection has its own transport key, TK, which is derived from the master key, K0. Derivation is performed using the PRF+ function defined in [\[RFC4402\]](#), combined with the random-to-key function of K0's encryption type, as defined in [RFC3961](#). The PRF input data is the concatenation of the rx epoch, connection ID, start_time and key number, all in network byte order. This gives:

```
TK = random-to-key(PRF+(K0, L,  
                      epoch || cid || start_time || key_number))
```

L is the key generation seed length as specified in the [RFC3961](#) profile.

epoch, cid and key_number are passed as 32 bit quantities; start_time is a 64 bit value.

Note that start_time is selected by the client when it creates the connection, and shared with the server as part of its response. Thus both sides of the negotiation are guaranteed to use the same value for start_time.

[8.4.](#) The Challenge

The rxgk challenge is an XDR encoded structure with the following signature:

```
struct RXGK_Challenge {  
    opaque nonce[20];  
};
```

nonce: 20 octets of random data.

[8.5.](#) The Response

The rxgk response is an XDR encoded structure, with the following

signature:

```
const RXGK_MAXAUTHENTICATOR = 1416 /* better fit in a packet! */
struct RXGK_Response {
    rxgkTime start_time;
    RXGK_Data token;
    opaque authenticator<RXGK_MAXAUTHENTICATOR>
};
```

start_time: The time since the Unix epoch (1970-01-01 00:00:00Z),
expressed as an rxgkTime (see [Section 2](#)).

authenticator: The XDR encoded representation of an
RXGK_Authenticator, encrypted with the transport key, and key
usage RXGK_CLIENT_ENC_RESPONSE.

[8.5.1](#). The Authenticator

```
struct RXGK_Authenticator {
    opaque nonce[20];
    opaque appdata<>
    RXGK_Level level;
    unsigned int epoch;
    unsigned int cid;
    unsigned int call_numbers<>;
};
```

nonce: A copy of the nonce from the challenge.

appdata: An application specific opaque blob.

level: The desired security level for this particular connection.
This MUST NOT be less secure than the security level negotiated

for the associated token.

epoch: The rx connection epoch.

cid: The rx connection ID.

call_numbers: The set of current rx call numbers for all available channels; unused channels should report a call number of zero. The length of this vector indicates the maximum number of calls per connection supported by the client.

[8.6.](#) Checking the Response

To check the validity of an rxgk response, the authenticator should be decrypted, the nonce from the decrypted authenticator compared

with the nonce sent in the RXGK_Challenge, and the connection ID and epoch compared with that of the current connection. The call number vector (call_numbers) should be supplied to the rx implementation. The security level should be confirmed to be at least as secure as the security level of the token. Failure of any of these steps MUST result in the failure of the security context.

[8.7.](#) Packet Handling

The way in which the rxgk security class handles packets depends upon the requested security level. As noted in [Section 4](#), 3 levels are currently defined -- authentication only, integrity protection and encryption.

Connection parameters used when preparing a packet for transmission MUST be verified when processing a received packet. Packet handling when receiving packets is the inverse of the packet preparation procedures, with explicit data length fields used to remove padding added for encryption.

[8.7.1.](#) Authentication Only

When running at the clear security level, RXGK_LEVEL_CLEAR, no manipulation of the payload is performed by the security class.

[8.7.2.](#) Integrity Protection

Packet payloads transmitted at the auth security level, RXGK_LEVEL_AUTH, consist of an opaque blob of MIC data followed by the unencrypted original payload data.

The MIC data is generated by calling the [RFC3961](#) get_mic operation using a key and a data input. The RXGK_CLIENT_MIC_PACKET key usage number MUST be used for packets transmitted from the client to the server. The RXGK_SERVER_MIC_PACKET key usage number MUST be used for packets transmitted from the server to the client. The following data structure is the get_mic operation data input:

```

      0               1               2               3
    0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                                     epoch                                     |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                                     cid                                     |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                                     call number                             |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                                     sequence                               |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

```

+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                                     security index                             |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                                     data length                             |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                                     ~                                     ~       |
|                                     original packet payload                   |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

All fields MUST be in network byte order. The data length field specifies the length of the original packet payload in octets, excluding padding required for encryption routines.

The packet is transmitted with the following payload:

```

      0               1               2               3
    0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1

```



```

+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                                     data length                                     |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                                                                                     |
~                                     original packet payload                         ~
|                                                                                     |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

The data length is the length of the following data in octets, and is necessary so the receiving end can remove any padding added by the encryption routines.

This plaintext is encrypted using an [RFC3961](#) style encrypt() function, with the connection's transport key, using key usage RXGK_CLIENT_ENC_PACKET for messages from client to server, and RXGK_SERVER_ENC_PACKET for messages from server to client. The encrypted block is transmitted to the peer as the payload of the packet.

9. RXGK protocol error codes

This document specifies several error codes for use by RXGK implementations (see [Section 10](#) for the com_err table). In general, when an endpoint receives any such error code, it should abort the current operation. The various codes allow some information about why the operation failed to be conveyed to the peer so that future requests will be more likely to succeed. The circumstances in which each error code should be used are as follows:

RXGK_INCONSISTENCY Used for errors internal to the security class, such as when invariant assertions are violated. For example, when an incoming packet to a server contains flags that do not match the server's idea of the connection state, or attempting to allocate a new connection where a connection already exists.

RXGK_PACKETSHORT The size of the packet is too small. Used when a server is constructing a challenge packet but the required data would be larger than the server's allowed packet size. Used when a reply packet received by the server is smaller than the expected size of a response packet. Also used for the

analogous situations on the other side of the challenge/
response exchange.

RXGK_BADCHALLENGE A challenge or response packet (of the expected size) failed to decode properly or contained nonsense or useless data.

RXGK_BADETYPE Used when the supplied encryption type(s) are invalid or impermissible, such as for the GSSNegotiate and CombineTokens RPCs or when the client-supplied enctype list does not contain any entries that are acceptable to the server.

RXGK_BADLEVEL Used when the supplied security level(s) are invalid or impermissible, such as for the GSSNegotiate and CombineTokens RPCs or when the client-supplied list of security levels does not contain any entries that are acceptable to the server.

RXGK_BADKEYNO The client or client's token indicates the use of a key version number that is not present on the server. May also be used when a key is presented that is not a valid key.

RXGK_EXPIRED The client presented an expired credential or token.

RXGK_NOTAUTH The caller is not authorized for the requested operation or the presented credentials are invalid. In particular, may also be used when credentials are presented that have a start time in the future. Note that many application error tables already include codes for "permission denied", which take precedence over this general error code.

RXGK_BAD_TOKEN The client failed to present a token or the presented token is invalid. For cases including but not limited to: wrong size, fails to decode, zero or negative lifetime, starts too far in the future, and too long a lifetime.

RXGK_SEALED_INCON Encrypted or checksummed data does not verify or correctly decode. The checksum is invalid, the sealed copy of the sequence and/or call number does not match the current state, or similar situations.

RXGK_DATA_LEN The packet is too large, contains a zero-length iovec entry, or otherwise presents an unacceptable or invalid data length.

RXGK_BAD_QOP The negotiated level of protection is insufficient for the operation being performed.

10. AFS-3 Registry Considerations

This document requests that the AFS-3 registrar include a com_err error table for the RXGK module, as follows:

```
error_table RXGK
ec RXGK_INCONSISTENCY, "Security module structure inconsistent"
ec RXGK_PACKETSHORT, "Packet too short for security challenge"
ec RXGK_BADCHALLENGE, "Invalid security challenge"
ec RXGK_BADETYPE, "Invalid or impermissible encryption type"
ec RXGK_BADLEVEL, "Invalid or impermissible security level"
ec RXGK_BADKEYNO, "Key version number not found"
ec RXGK_EXPIRED, "Token has expired"
ec RXGK_NOTAUTH, "Caller not authorized"
ec RXGK_BAD_TOKEN, "Security object was passed a bad token"
ec RXGK_SEALED_INCON, "Sealed data inconsistent"
ec RXGK_DATA_LEN, "User data too long"
ec RXGK_BAD_QOP, "Inadequate quality of protection available"
end
```

The error table base should be 1233242880, with codes within the table assigned relative numbers starting from 0 in the order appearing above.

11. IANA Considerations

This memo includes no request to IANA.

12. Security Considerations

12.1. Abort Packets

RX Abort packets are not protected by the RX security layer. Therefore, caution should be exercised when relying on their results. In particular, clients MUST NOT use an error from GSSNegotiate or CombineTokens to determine whether to downgrade to another security class.

12.2. Token Expiry

Internet-Draft rxgk: GSSAPI based security class for RX

July 2013

This document permits tokens to be issued with expiration times after the expiration time of the underlying GSSAPI credential, though implementations SHOULD NOT do so. Allowing the expiration time of a credential to be artificially increased can break the invariants assumed by a security system, with potentially disastrous consequences. For example, with the krb5 GSSAPI mechanism, access revocation may be implemented by refusing to issue new tickets (or renew existing tickets) for a principal; all access is assumed to be revoked once the maximum ticket lifetime has passed. If an rxgk token is created with a longer lifetime than the kerberos ticket, this assumption is invalid, and the user whose access has supposedly been revoked may gain access to sensitive materials. An application should only allow token expiration times to be extended after a security review of the assumptions made about credential expiration for the GSSAPI mechanism(s) in use with that application. Such a review is needed to confirm that allowing token expiration times to be extended will not introduce vulnerabilities into the security ecosystem in which the application operates.

[12.3.](#) Nonce Lengths

The key negotiation protocol includes both client-and server-generated nonces as input. Both nonces are important, but serve slightly different purposes. A random nonce is also used in the challenge-response authentication protocol, which serves yet a different purpose.

The `client_nonce` ensures that the `StartParams` structure is unique, and should be long enough that the client will not generate collisions within the lifetime of a given set of GSS credentials. The `client_nonce` also contributes to the uniqueness of the generated key when GSS initiator credentials are used to establish multiple GSS security contexts.

The `server_nonce` serves primarily to add entropy to the generated key. The maximum amount of entropy possible in the generated key is the key generation seed length, so using a longer nonce gives no benefit (unless the nonce is nonrandom).

The authentication nonce is used to prevent replays of the authenticator. It is specified as a fixed length to allow the length of the challenge packet to be used to indicate a new version of the

challenge/response protocol, but is chosen to be long enough that the server will not accidentally reuse a nonce in a reasonable timeframe.

[13.](#) References

[13.1.](#) Informational References

- [RX] Zeldovich, N., "RX protocol specification", October 2002.
- [COMERR] Raeburn, K., "A Common Error Description Library for UNIX", January 1989.
- This paper is available as `com_err.texinfo` within `com_err.tar.Z`.

[13.2.](#) Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC2743] Linn, J., "Generic Security Service Application Program Interface Version 2, Update 1", [RFC 2743](#), January 2000.
- [RFC2744] Wray, J., "Generic Security Service API Version 2 : C-bindings", [RFC 2744](#), January 2000.
- [RFC3961] Raeburn, K., "Encryption and Checksum Specifications for Kerberos 5", [RFC 3961](#), February 2005.
- [RFC4120] Neuman, C., Yu, T., Hartman, S., and K. Raeburn, "The Kerberos Network Authentication Service (V5)", [RFC 4120](#), July 2005.
- [RFC4401] Williams, N., "A Pseudo-Random Function (PRF) API Extension for the Generic Security Service Application Program Interface (GSS-API)", [RFC 4401](#), February 2006.
- [RFC4402] Williams, N., "A Pseudo-Random Function (PRF) for the Kerberos V Generic Security Service Application Program Interface (GSS-API) Mechanism", [RFC 4402](#), February 2006.

[RFC4506] Eisler, M., "XDR: External Data Representation Standard", STD 67, [RFC 4506](#), May 2006.

[RFC6113] Hartman, S. and L. Zhu, "A Generalized Framework for Kerberos Pre-Authentication", [RFC 6113](#), April 2011.

[Appendix A](#). Acknowledgements

rxgk was originally developed over a number of AFS Hackathons. The editor of this document has assembled the protocol description from a number of notes taken at these meetings, and from a partial implementation in the Arla AFS client.

Wilkinson & Kaduk

Expires January 16, 2014

[Page 25]

Internet-Draft rxgk: GSSAPI based security class for RX

July 2013

Thanks to Derrick Brashear, Jeffrey Hutzelman, Love Hornquist Astrand and Chaskiel Grundman for their original design work, and comments on this document, and apologies for any omissions or misconceptions in my archaeological work.

Marcus Watts and Jeffrey Altman provided invaluable feedback on an earlier version of this document at the 2009 Edinburgh AFS Hackathon.

The text describing the rxgkTime type is based on language from Andrew Deason.

[Appendix B](#). Changes

[B.1](#). Since 00

Add a reference to [RFC4402](#), which describes the PRF+ algorithm we are using.

Change references to RXGK_Token to RXGK_Data for clarity, and add a definition of that type.

Rename the 'ticket' member of RXGK_ClientInfo to 'token', for consistency, and make it a simple opaque.

Add a length field to the packet header, so that we can remove padding.

Remove versioning in the challenge and the response.

Clarify that both bytelife and lifetime are advisory.

Remove the RXGK_CLIENT_COMBINE_ORIG and RXGK_SERVER_COMBINE_NEW key derivations, as these are no longer used.

Update the reference to [draft-ietf-krb-wg-preauth-framework](#).

Require that CombineTokens be offered over an rxgk authenticated connection.

Pull our time definition out into its own section and define a type for it.

Define an enum for the security level, and use that throughout.

[B.2.](#) Since 01

Spell check.

Remove a couple of stray references to afs_ types.

Update start_time text to clarify that it uses rxgkTime.

Make expiration also be an rxgkTime.

Add a definition for RXGK_LEVEL_BIND.

Add reference to RX.

Add reference to XDR.

Rename the gss_status output parameter from the GSSNegotiate RPC to gss_major_status, and update the supporting text.

Add a new gss_minor_status output paramter to the GSSNegotiate RPC, but make clear that it is there for informational use only.

[B.3.](#) Since 02

Edit for grammar and punctuation.

Remove RXGK_LEVEL_BIND.

Make CombineTokens negotiate level and enctype.

Allow key version rollover at 16 bits when rekeying.

Add Security Considerations for increasing token expiry.

Clarify behavior at RXGK_LEVEL_AUTH.

Add RXGK com_err table and descriptions.

Clean up call number vector and maxcalls support.

Improve the description of the GSS negotiation loop.

Give suggestions for acceptor principal names.

[B.4.](#) Since 03

Give guidance on the length of key negotiation nonces.

Supply bounds for (most) variable-length arrays.

Note that in-band errorcodes are for security sensitive errors.

Use abstract GSSAPI routine names, not the C binding names.

Discuss packet handling for received packets.

[B.5.](#) Since 04

Correct omissions from description of GSS negotiation loop.

Adjust limits on variable-length array lengths.

Remove errorcode field from RXGK-TokenInfo.

[B.6.](#) Since 05

Add markup to split out the GSS negotiation control flow.

[B.7.](#) Since 06

Improvements to the GSS negotiation description.

Add the RXGK_BAD_QOP error code.

Authors' Addresses

Simon Wilkinson
Your File System Inc

Email: simon@sxw.org.uk

Benjamin Kaduk
MIT Kerberos Consortium

Email: kaduk@mit.edu