

Geopriv  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: April 8, 2008

J. Winterbottom  
Andrew Corporation  
H. Tschofenig  
Nokia Siemens Networks  
M. Thomson  
Andrew Corporation  
October 6, 2007

HELD Protocol Context Management Extensions  
draft-winterbottom-geopriv-held-context-01.txt

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 8, 2008.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

Internet-Draft

HELD Context

October 2007

## Abstract

This document describes a protocol extension for the HTTP Enabled Location Delivery (HELD) protocol. It allows a Target to manage their location information on a Location Information Server (LIS) through the application of constraints invoked by accessing a location URI. Constraints described in this memo restrict how often location can be accessed through a location URI, how long the URI is valid for, and the type of location information returned when a location URI is accessed. Extension points are also provided.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	<a href="#">Introduction . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	<a href="#">Terminology . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	<a href="#">What is a Context? . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	<a href="#">Constraints . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">4.1.</a>	<a href="#">Limited Use URIs . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">4.2.</a>	<a href="#">Snapshot URIs . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">4.3.</a>	<a href="#">Location Type URIs . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	<a href="#">Protocol Details . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">5.1.</a>	<a href="#">Create Context Message . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">5.2.</a>	<a href="#">Update Context Message . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">5.3.</a>	<a href="#">Context Response Message . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">5.4.</a>	<a href="#">Context Error Messages . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">5.5.</a>	<a href="#">Location URI and Context Identifier Generation Rules . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	<a href="#">XML Schema . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	<a href="#">Security Considerations . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	<a href="#">IANA Considerations . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">19</a>
8.1.	URN Sub-Namespace Registration for urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:context . . . . .	<a href="#">19</a>
<a href="#">8.2.</a>	<a href="#">XML Schema Registration . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">19</a>
<a href="#">9.</a>	<a href="#">Acknowledgements . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">21</a>
<a href="#">Appendix A.</a>	<a href="#">Context Extensions . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">22</a>
<a href="#">Appendix B.</a>	<a href="#">HELD Compliance to IETF Location Configuration     Protocol Location Reference Requirements . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">24</a>
<a href="#">10.</a>	<a href="#">Normative References . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">26</a>
	<a href="#">Authors' Addresses . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">27</a>
	<a href="#">Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">28</a>

Internet-Draft

HELD Context

October 2007

## 1. Introduction

The HTTP Enabled Location Delivery (HELD) protocol specification [[I-D.ietf-geopriv-http-location-delivery](#)] provides a set of features that can be used by a Target to retrieve location information from a Location Information Server (LIS). The basic HELD specification does this in a more or less stateless manner, and when a location URI is retrieved the Target has no way of controlling how the URI is used; a Location Recipient in possession of the location URI can get the Target's location until the URI expires. This basic mechanism may be reasonable in a limited set of applications, but is unacceptable in a broader range of applications. This position is highlighted in [[I-D.ietf-geopriv-lbyr-requirements](#)] which describes requirements for constraints relating to location URIs. This specification provides support for these requirements in HELD.

## 2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

This document reuses the terms Target, as defined in [[RFC3693](#)].

This document uses the term Location Information Server (LIS) as the node in the access network that provides location information about a Target. This term is also used in [[I-D.ietf-geopriv-l7-lcp-ps](#)].

### [3.](#) What is a Context?

A Location URI points to a LIS that is able to provide the location of a specific Target. The LIS is able to map the URI to the location of the Target inside its administrative domain. We call this mapping a "context". In the basic HELD specification the context is implicitly created with the request for a location URI in the locationRequest message. The Target has no control of the mapping from the URI to the Target's location. This specification provides a degree of control to the Target, allowing it to specify rules to the LIS on how a context should map a URI to location information.

A context expires when it reaches a certain age, at which time the mapping between the URI and the Target's location ceases. In the basic HELD specification the expiry time of the context is determined by the LIS when the Target requests a location URI. By allowing the Target to specify and change the life time of a context the Target is able to create URIs for limited periods, or to terminate URIs for which it no longer wishes its location to be returned. This specification provides explicit support for this functionality.

#### [4.](#) Constraints

Constraints restrict the ability of a Location Recipient to resolve a location URI to location information. The constraints are selected by the Target and they are provided to the LIS that maintains them along with the context. A LIS, understanding this specification, receives constraints provided by the Target, and returns a set of URIs influenced by the constraints.

A single Target may want to place different constraints on different references and hence may have multiple contexts on the LIS. The constraints describe what actions the LIS **MUST** take when a URI associated with the context is accessed. This document describes three basic constraints that a Target can use in combination for the same context. Once set, these rules remain in force for the life of

the context.

#### [4.1.](#) Limited Use URIs

A limited use URI can only be accessed a fixed number of times to yield the location of the Target. Each time the URI is used to provide the location of the Target one usage is consumed. Once the limit is reached the URI no longer yields the location of the Target and the URI is deemed spent.

By setting the usage limit to 1, the Target is able to create a one-time-URI permitting a Location Recipient to obtain the Target's location only once. Setting the usage limit to something higher than 1 creates functionality analogous to a metro-ticket, where a Location Recipient in possession of the URI can access the Target's location many more times, but not exceeding the imposed limit.

Not setting a usage limit provides similar semantics to the URI in the base HELD specification, enabling a Location Recipient to continually obtain the Target's location until the URI expires due to age.

When an HTTP URI is assigned to a context, the limit is the number of times that the URI can be accessed before the LIS returns an error. In the case of SIP it is the number of NOTIFY messages that are sent prior to the LIS returning an error. Where a context supports both SIP and HTTP URIs it is the combination of URI accesses and NOTIFY messages that constitutes the usage value, each time the Target's location is provided constitutes a usage.

#### [4.2.](#) Snapshot URIs

A snapshot URI points to the location of the Target at a specific point in time, and no matter how many times the URI is accessed it will always yield the same location. This is useful if, for example, the Target does not want to be tracked. In this specification the location snapshot to which a snapshot URIs points is captured when the context is created on the LIS.

### [4.3.](#) Location Type URIs

A location type URI controls the form of location that can be accessed; This may be geodetic, civic, or both.

## [5.](#) Protocol Details



This specification introduces four new HELD messages, create context (<createContext>), update context (<updateContext>), context response (<contextResponse>), and context error (<contextError>). A LIS that does not understand this specification is expected to return a HELD `_unsupportedMessage_` error code in a HELD error message.

The specification assumes that the LIS was discovered as part of the general HELD LIS discovery process. All messages are sent using the `application/held+xml` MIME type as defined in [\[I-D.ietf-geopriv-http-location-delivery\]](#).

### [5.1.](#) Create Context Message

The Target creates a context on the LIS using a create context message. The basic create context message supports the constraints described in [Section 4](#) and consist of three attributes and one element described below:

- o "uses": an optional attribute instructing the LIS on how many times a URI may yield the location of the Target. This is a positive integer, and has a default value of `_unlimited_`. The LIS SHOULD support the Target specifying up to at least 100 uses.
- o "snapshot": an optional attribute instructing the LIS to take a snapshot of the Target's location for use with the context. This is a boolean value and has a default of `_false_` meaning that a snapshot is not taken, and the Target's location is determined each time the URI is accessed.
- o "locationType": an optional attribute instructing the LIS on the form of location that URI MUST provide. This is an enumeration and may have a value of `_geodetic_`, `_civic_`, or `_any_`. If unspecified by the Target the LIS will use a value of `_any_`. If the Target specifies a location type that the LIS cannot provide, then the LIS MUST fail the context creation.
- o "lifeTime": is a mandatory element that defines the maximum period in seconds that the LIS should keep the context for. The LIS MAY create the context with a shorter life time than was requested, but the life time MUST NOT be longer than was requested.

```
<hc:createContext
  xmlns:hc="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:context"
  uses="10"
  snapshot="false"
  locationType="any">
  <hc:lifeTime>7200</hc:lifeTime>
</hc:createContext>
```

Figure 1: createContext Example

Figure 1 shows a create context message defining a context which:

- o may be accessed 10 times
- o will determine the location of the Target each time it is accessed
- o will return the location in either geodetic or civic form depending on the request to the URI
- o will be valid for 2 hours from the time of context creation

## [5.2.](#) Update Context Message

A Target can change the life time of a context using an update context message. As stated in [Section 4](#) the three attributes used in the context creation, "uses", "snapshot", and "locationType" cannot be changed once a context is created.

Since the Target may have more than one context on the LIS, the Target needs to identify the context to be updated. It does this by including a context identifier that is provided to it by the LIS when the context is created.

```
<hc:updateContext
  xmlns:hc="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:context"
  hc:id="uhvuhdbnuiehudbnvcujevuijeijcvij3">
  <lifeTime>3600</lifeTime>
</hc:updateContext>
```

Figure 2: updateContext Life Time Change Example

When a Target includes a life time element in an update context message, the LIS needs to calculate a new context expiry time. The LIS MUST do this by adding the new life time value to the current time on the LIS. This mechanism means the Target can terminate a

context at any time. It does this by updating the context with a life time of 0, which results in the LIS setting the context expiry

time to the present. The LIS MAY also terminate a context if the life time value is set to less than 10 seconds.

```
<hc:updateContext
  xmlns:hc="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:context"
  hc:id="uhvuhdbnuiehudbnvcujevuijeijcvij3">
  <lifeTime>0</lifeTime>
</hc:updateContext>
```

Figure 3: updateContext Termination Example

### [5.3.](#) Context Response Message

The LIS informs the Target about the outcome of context operations through the context response message. The LIS MUST always send a context response message to a Target in response to a create context or update context message when the outcome was successful. The context response message contains a "code" attribute indicating the performed operation, and the other attributes and elements indicating the state of the context.

The "code" attribute is an enumerated type and has one of the following values:

- o `_created_`: The context was successfully created.
- o `_destroyed_`: The context was destroyed.
- o `_updated_`: The context was successfully updated.

The following list details the other attributes are may be returned in a context response message.

**id:** The identifier allocated to the context by the LIS. This identifier is unique in the scope of the LIS. The Target MUST keep this secret and MUST included it in all update requests. The LIS MUST return and "id" in all context response messages.

uses: The number of times that the context will yield the Target's location. The LIS MAY report either the original value, or the number of remaining uses. The LIS MUST report this value for all responses pertaining to a known and valid context. This value MAY be omitted when indicating that a context has been destroyed.

snapshot: The value of the snapshot attribute in the context. The LIS MUST report this value for all responses pertaining to a known and valid context. This value MAY be omitted when indicating that a context has been destroyed.

locationType: The type of location information that can be acquired through URIs addressing the context. The LIS MUST report this value for all responses pertaining to a known and valid context. This value MAY be omitted when indicating that a context has been destroyed.

expiry: The time at which the context will expire. After this time, all location URIs that reference this context no longer work. The LIS MUST report this value for all responses pertaining to a known context. This attribute MUST be provided even when a "code" value of `_destroyed_` is included in the context response message.

In addition to the above attributes, the LIS also provides a set of URIs that can be used to access the Target's location with the surety that the context constraints will be applied. A URI set is returned whenever a context is successfully created on the LIS, and this set remains unchanged for the lifetime of the context. A context response message sent in reply to the create context message in Figure 1 might look like Figure 4.

```
<hc:contextResponse
  xmlns:hc="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:context"
  code="created"
  id="uhvuhdbnuiehudbnvcujevuijeijcvij4"
  uses="10"
  snapshot="false"
```

```

        locationType="any"
        expires="2007-11-01T13:30:00">
<hc:locationUriSet>
  <hc:locationURI>
    https://lis.example.com:9768/357yc6s64ceyoiuy5ax3o4
  </hc:locationURI>
  <hc:locationURI>
    sips:357yc6s64ceyoiuy5ax3o4@lis.example.com:9769
  </hc:locationURI>
</hc:locationUriSet>
</hc:contextResponse>

```

Figure 4: contextResponse Example

#### [5.4.](#) Context Error Messages

When the LIS unable to perform the requested context operation it need to inform the Target of this. It does this using a context error message. The context error message consists of a "errorCode" and an optional "message" attribute. The code indicates what went wrong, the message is human-readable text that may provide additional information on what occurred.

The "errorCode" attribute is an enumerated type and has one of the following values:

- o `_badMessage_`: The LIS was unable to understand the content of the message.
- o `_unknownContext_`: The LIS was unable to find the context.
- o `_failed_`: The LIS was unable to perform the requested operation.

A Target implementing this specification MUST accept a HELD error message as a valid response to a create context or update context message.

```

<hc:contextError

```

```
xmlns:hc="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:context"
code="failed"
message="unable to update context">
</hc:contextError>
```

Figure 5: contextError With Message Example

### 5.5. Location URI and Context Identifier Generation Rules

A primary aim of this specification is to provide a Target a means to cancel a location URI so that it can no longer be used to provide its location. To achieve this, a location URI generated as part of a context creation needs to be unique within the scope of the LIS, and identify only that context. If the Target destroys a context and subsequently creates a new one, URIs associated with the new context MUST be different from those generated for the previous context.

[[I-D.ietf-geopriv-http-location-delivery](#)] and [[I-D.ietf-geopriv-lbyr-requirements](#)] provide guidance on the creation and desired characteristics of a location URI.

The context identifier provided by the LIS to the Target in the context response message MUST be unique and MUST be different from the identifier provided in any location URI. This latter constraint

ensures that possession of a location URI does not automatically provide access and control over the internals of the context.

A context identifier is generated by a LIS to uniquely identify a context. It MUST NOT be feasible for a third-party to easily determine a context identifier by knowing the identity of the Target. This implies that internal correlation (using a hash-table or similar) is the only method that the LIS can use to associate a context id with a particular Target.

## [6.](#) XML Schema

```
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<xs:schema
  targetNamespace="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:context"
  xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
  xmlns:heldCx="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:context"
  xmlns:xml="http://www.w3.org/XML/1998/namespace"
  elementFormDefault="qualified" attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
```

```

<xs:simpleType name="locationType">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:token">
    <xs:enumeration value="any"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="civic"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="geodetic"/>
  </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>

<xs:simpleType name="codeType">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:token">
    <xs:enumeration value="created"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="updated"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="destroyed"/>
  </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>

<xs:simpleType name="errorCodeType">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:token">
    <xs:enumeration value="badMessage"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="unknownContext"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="failed"/>
  </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>

<xs:simpleType name="useType">
  <xs:union>
    <xs:simpleType>
      <xs:restriction base="xs:token">
        <xs:enumeration value="unlimited"/>
      </xs:restriction>
    </xs:simpleType>
    <xs:positiveInteger/>
  </xs:union>
</xs:simpleType>

<xs:complexType name="createContextMsg">
  <xs:complexContent>

```

```

  <xs:restriction base="xs:anyType">
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="lifeTime" type="xs:nonNegativeInteger"
        minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="1"/>

```



```

        <xs:any namespace="##other" processContents="lax"
            minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </xs:sequence>
    <xs:attribute name="uses" type="heldCx:useType"
        use="optional" default="unlimited"/>
    <xs:attribute name="snapshot" type="xs:boolean"
        use="optional" default="false"/>
    <xs:attribute name="locationType" type="heldCx:locationType"
        use="optional" default="any"/>
    <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
</xs:restriction>
</xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>

<xs:complexType name="uriSetType">
    <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:sequence>
            <xs:element name="locationURI" type="xs:anyURI"
                maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
        </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>

<xs:complexType name="contextResponseMsg">
    <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:restriction base="xs:anyType">
            <xs:sequence>
                <xs:element name="locationUriSet" type="heldCx:uriSetType"
                    minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="1"/>
                <xs:any namespace="##other" processContents="lax"
                    minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
            </xs:sequence>
            <xs:attribute name="id" type="xs:token"
                use="required"/>
            <xs:attribute name="expires" type="xs:dateTime"
                use="required"/>
            <xs:attribute name="uses" type="xs:positiveInteger"
                use="optional"/>
            <xs:attribute name="snapshot" type="xs:boolean"
                use="optional"/>
            <xs:attribute name="locationType" type="heldCx:locationType"
                use="optional"/>
            <xs:attribute name="code" type="heldCx:codeType"
                use="required"/>
        </xs:restriction>
    </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>

```

```
        <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
      </xs:restriction>
    </xs:complexContent>
  </xs:complexType>

  <xs:complexType name="updateContextMsg">
    <xs:complexContent>
      <xs:restriction base="heldCx:">
        <xs:sequence>
          <xs:element name="lifeTime" type="xs:nonNegativeInteger "
            minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"/>
          <xs:any namespace="##other" processContents="lax"
            minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
        </xs:sequence>
        <xs:attribute name="id" type="xs:token"
          use="required"/>
        <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
      </xs:restriction>
    </xs:complexContent>
  </xs:complexType>

  <xs:complexType name="contextErrorMsg">
    <xs:complexContent>
      <xs:restriction base="xs:anyType">
        <xs:sequence/>
        <xs:attribute name="errorCode" type="heldCx:errorCodeType"
          use="required"/>
        <xs:attribute name="message" type="xs:token"
          use="optional"/>
        <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
      </xs:restriction>
    </xs:complexContent>
  </xs:complexType>

  <xs:element name="createContext" type="heldCx:createContextMsg"/>
  <xs:element name="updateContext" type="heldCx:updateContextMsg"/>
  <xs:element name="contextResponse" type="heldCx:contextResponseMsg"/>
  <xs:element name="contextError" type="heldCx:contextErrorMsg"/>

</xs:schema>
```

Figure 6: Context Management Schema

## 7. Security Considerations

There are several security concerns associated with the details in this specification. The first is to do with the nature of the sensitivity of any data passed from the Target to the LIS for inclusion in a context. The second is the ability of the LIS to contain the number of contexts that it will permit to exist for a given Target address. Finally, there is a threat of Targets performing DoS attacks on the LIS by trying to create large numbers of short-lived contexts that result in the LIS expending resources in message processing.

HELD [[I-D.ietf-geopriv-http-location-delivery](#)] mandates the use of TLS for exchanges between a Target and the LIS. This is deemed adequate to provide confidentiality to any contextual data in transit. The LIS implementation and the operator of the LIS need to take sufficient steps to ensure that active contextual data on the LIS is not readily available to anyone other than the Target. The Target **MUST NOT** provide any information to the LIS that it does not want the LIS to know or be able to use in some capacity associated with determination or providing of the Target's location.

It is quite conceivable that a LIS will be required to provide location to Targets residing behind a NAT; a DSL home router with 5 PCs attached is a good example this situation. In this case it is reasonable for each device to create its own context on the LIS, and for the LIS to treat each context individually even though the LIS cannot make any other distinction between the end hosts; that is, they share a common IP address/identity from the LIS perspective.

Given the constraints that can be added to a context and the way that a Target might want to manage expiry separately, a Target may use multiple contexts as a way to isolate applications from each other. For instance, a Target can create a context for each application so that it can revoke access to its location information for each without affecting other applications' access. This environment, however, opens the LIS to a type of denial of service attack through an overload of contexts. It is **RECOMMENDED** that an implementer of this specification include mechanisms to restrict to the maximum number of contexts that can be created on the LIS by an individual

Target.

Using short-term location URIs in a carefully controlled manner may obviate the need for individual location authorization policies on the LIS. This leads to reduced LIS complexity and the amount of private information that the Target need share with the LIS. This specification provides the ability for a Target to cancel a location URI which extends the Target's ability to enforce its entitlement to

privacy. Using the mechanisms described in this memo a target can create URIs with short validity periods; this restricts how long a third-party is able to obtain the location of the Target while still allowing the Target the convenience of using a location reference.

The generation of context identifiers by the LIS is a critical component to supporting the functionality described in this memo. The LIS MUST follow the rules described in [Section 5.5](#) for generating context identifiers.

## [8.](#) IANA Considerations

This document registers the schema and associated namespace with IANA.

### [8.1.](#) URN Sub-Namespace Registration for urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:context

This section registers a new XML namespace,  
"urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:context", as per the guidelines  
in [[RFC3688](#)].

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:context

Registrant Contact: IETF, GEOPRIV working group,  
(geopriv@ietf.org), James Winterbottom  
(james.winterbottom@andrew.com).

XML:

BEGIN

```
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD XHTML 1.0 Strict//EN"
  "http://www.w3.org/TR/xhtml1/DTD/xhtml1-strict.dtd">
<html xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml" xml:lang="en">
  <head>
```

```

        <title>HELD Context Management Messages</title>
    </head>
    <body>
        <h1>Namespace for HELD Context Management Messages</h1>
        <h2>urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:context</h2>
[[NOTE TO IANA/RFC-EDITOR: Please update RFC URL and replace XXXX
with the RFC number for this specification.]]
        <p>See <a href="[[RFC URL]]">RFCXXXX</a>.</p>
    </body>
</html>
END

```

## [8.2.](#) XML Schema Registration

This section registers an XML schema as per the guidelines in [\[RFC3688\]](#).

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:schema:geopriv:held:context

Registrant Contact: IETF, GEOPRIV working group, (geopriv@ietf.org),  
James Winterbottom (james.winterbottom@andrew.com).

Schema: The XML for this schema can be found as the entirety of  
Figure 6 of this document.

## [9.](#) Acknowledgements

Thanks to Adam Muhlbauer and Neil Justusson for their comments on the pre-version of this draft.

## [Appendix A](#). Context Extensions

A context contains specific information about a Target and is stored on the LIS. As with other protocols it is necessary to consider extensibility. When defining context data extensions it is necessary



to consider how they will be used; this includes not only how to provide the information from the Target to the LIS, but also acceptance and error indications from the LIS back to the Target. For example, a context may be created with several extensions included, how does the LIS indicate that extensions 1 and 3 were successful but that extension 2 had a problem in its formatting? Guidelines for designing context extensions that provide functionality are described below.

Two basic types of context data extension are envisioned. The first consist of data provided by the Target to be consumed by the LIS; for example information pertaining to PIDF-L0 construction, usage-rules, and authorization policies. The second type of data consists of a two way exchange between the Target and the LIS; for example exchanging location determination capabilities. Extensibility to the context scheme is to allow additional elements to be added to the context easily. The general idea is shown in Figure 8.

```
<hc:createContext
  xmlns:hc="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:context">
  <lifeTime>7200</lifeTime>
  <ex1:extension-1
    xmlns:ex1="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:ex1">
    <ex1:value>7200</ex1:value>
  </ex1:extension-1>
  <extension-2 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:ex2"/>
  <extension-3 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:ex3"/>
  .
  .
  .
  <extension-N xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:exN"/>
</hc:createContext>
```

Figure 8: Create Context with Extensions

When defining a context data extension it is necessary to ensure that the LIS can provide an adequate response to the Target indicating acceptance or rejection of the data provided. This may be an explicit OK or FAIL message within the extension namespace, it may be an attribute associated with part of a larger data exchange, or it may result in the LIS failing to create the context at all. Regardless, it is mandatory for a context data extension to provide

an indication of success or failure.

```
<hc:contextResponse
  xmlns:hc="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:context"
  code="created"
  id="uhvuhdbnuiehudbnvcujevuijeijcvij"
  uses="unlimited"
  snapshot="false"
  locType="any"
  expires="2007-08-01T13:00:00">
  <locationUriSet>
    <locationURI>
      https://ls.example.com:9768/357yc6s64ceyoiuy5ax3o
    </locationURI>
    <locationURI>
      sips:357yc6s64ceyoiuy5ax3o@ls.example.com:9769
    </locationURI>
  </locationUriSet>
  <ex1:extension-1 xmlns:ex1="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:ex1"
    ex1:response="OK"/>
  <ex2:extension-2 xmlns:ex2="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:ex2"
    ex2:response="OK"/>

  <ex3:extension-3
    xmlns:ex3="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:geopriv:held:ex3">
    <datum-3>data</datum-3>
    <stuff>guff in here for extension</stuff>
  </ex3:extension-3>
</hc:contextResponse>
```

Figure 9: LIS response to createContext

When defining information to be included in a context data extension consideration should be given to how that data can be removed from the context. In some cases it may be necessary to void the context on the LIS in order to remove information, but this SHOULD be treated as a last resort and not used as the primary mechanism for removing data from the context.

Internet-Draft

HELD Context

October 2007

## [Appendix B](#). HELD Compliance to IETF Location Configuration Protocol Location Reference Requirements

This section describes how HELD and this specification comply to the LCP location reference requirements stipulated in [\[I-D.ietf-geopriv-lbyr-requirements\]](#).

High-level requirements for a location configuration protocol.

- C1. "Location URI support - LCP: The configuration protocol MUST support a location reference in URI form."

COMPLY. HELD only provides location references in URI form.

- C2. "Location URI expiration: The LCP MUST support the ability to specify to the server, the length of time that a location URI will be valid."

COMPLY. HELD with the context management extensions described in this document provide the Target the ability to specify expiry times for location URIs.

- C3. "Location URI cancellation: The LCP MUST support the ability to request a cancellation of a specific location URI."

COMPLY. HELD with the context management extensions described in this document provide the Target the ability to void location URIs when required.

- C4. "Random Generated: The location URI MUST be hard to guess, i.e., it MUST contain a cryptographically random component."

COMPLY. The HELD specification and this document provide specific guidance on the security surrounding location URI generation.

- C5. "Identity Protection - LCP: The location URI MUST NOT contain any information that identifies the user, device or address of record within the URI form."

COMPLY. The HELD specification and this document provide specific guidance on the anonymity of the Target with regards to the generation of location URIs.

- C6. "Reuse flag default: The LCP MUST support the default condition of a requested location URI being repeatedly reused."

COMPLY. HELD with the context management extensions described in this document provide the Target the ability to specify how many times a location URI may yield the location of Target.

- C7. "One-time-use: The LCP MUST support the ability for the client to request a 'one-time-use' location URI (e.g., via a reuse flag setting)."

COMPLY. HELD with the context management extensions described in this document provide the Target the ability to specify how many times a location URI may yield the location of Target. This value may be set to 1 to create a one-time URI.

Internet-Draft

HELD Context

October 2007

## 10. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC3693] Cuellar, J., Morris, J., Mulligan, D., Peterson, J., and J. Polk, "Geopriv Requirements", [RFC 3693](#), February 2004.
- [RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", [BCP 81](#), [RFC 3688](#), January 2004.
- [I-D.ietf-geopriv-http-location-delivery]  
Barnes, M., Winterbottom, J., Thomson, M., and B. Stark,  
"HTTP Enabled Location Delivery (HELD)",  
[draft-ietf-geopriv-http-location-delivery-02](#) (work in progress), September 2007.
- [I-D.ietf-geopriv-l7-lcp-ps]  
Tschofenig, H. and H. Schulzrinne, "GEOPRIV Layer 7  
Location Configuration Protocol; Problem Statement and  
Requirements", [draft-ietf-geopriv-l7-lcp-ps-05](#) (work in progress), September 2007.
- [I-D.ietf-geopriv-lbyr-requirements]  
Marshall, R., "Requirements for a Location-by-Reference  
Mechanism", [draft-ietf-geopriv-lbyr-requirements-00](#) (work in progress), September 2007.

Internet-Draft

HELD Context

October 2007

#### Authors' Addresses

James Winterbottom  
Andrew Corporation  
PO Box U40  
University of Wollongong, NSW 2500  
AU

Phone: +61 242 212938

Email: james.winterbottom@andrew.com

URI: <http://www.andrew.com/products/geometrix>

Hannes Tschofenig  
Nokia Siemens Networks  
Otto-Hahn-Ring 6  
Munich, Bavaria 81739  
Germany

Phone: +49 89 636 40390

Email: Hannes.Tschofenig@nsn.com

URI: <http://www.tschofenig.com>

Martin Thomson  
Andrew Corporation  
PO Box U40  
University of Wollongong, NSW 2500  
AU

Phone: +61 242 212915

Email: [martin.thomson@andrew.com](mailto:martin.thomson@andrew.com)

URI: <http://www.andrew.com/products/geometrix>

Winterbottom, et al.

Expires April 8, 2008

[Page 27]

---

Internet-Draft

HELD Context

October 2007

## Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED

WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org).

## Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).