

Workgroup:

Domain Name System Operations (dnsop)

Published: 21 February 2021

Intended Status: Standards Track

Expires: 25 August 2021

Authors: U. Wisser

S. Huque

The Swedish Internet Foundation      Salesforce

### **DNSSEC automation**

## **Abstract**

This document describes an algorithm and a protocol to automate DNSSEC multi-signer [RFC8901] "Multi-Signer DNSSEC Models" setup, operations and decommissioning. It primarily deals with Model 2 of the Multi-Signer specification, where each operator has their own distinct KSK and ZSK sets (or CSK sets). It makes use of [RFC8078] "Managing DS Records from the Parent via CDS/CDNSKEY" and [RFC7477] "Child-to-Parent Synchronization in DNS" to accomplish this.

## **Status of This Memo**

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 25 August 2021.

## **Copyright Notice**

Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in

Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

- [1. Introduction](#)
  - [1.1. Out-Of-Scope](#)
  - [1.2. Notation](#)
  - [1.3. Requirements Language](#)
- [2. Use Cases](#)
  - [2.1. Running a multi-signer setup](#)
  - [2.2. Secure change of name server operator](#)
- [3. Algorithm](#)
  - [3.1. Setting up a new multi-signer group](#)
  - [3.2. Configuration](#)
  - [3.3. A new signer joins the multi-signer group](#)
    - [3.3.1. Prerequisites](#)
    - [3.3.2. Steps for joining](#)
  - [3.4. A signer leaves the multi-signer group](#)
- [4. Automation](#)
  - [4.1. Centralized](#)
  - [4.2. Decentralized](#)
- [5. Acknowledgements](#)
- [6. IANA Considerations](#)
- [7. Security Considerations](#)
- [8. Normative References](#)
- [9. Informative References](#)
- [Authors' Addresses](#)

## 1. Introduction

[[RFC8901](#)] describes the necessary steps and API for a multi-signer DNSSEC configuration. In this document we will combine [[RFC8901](#)] with [[RFC8078](#)] and [[RFC7477](#)] to define a fully automatable algorithm for setting up, operating and decommissioning of a multi-signer DNSSEC configuration.

One of the special cases of multi-signer DNSSEC is actually the secure change of DNS operator.

### 1.1. Out-Of-Scope

In order for any multi-signer group to give consistent answers across all nameservers, the data contents of the zone also have to be synchronized (in addition to infrastructure records like NS, DNSKEY, CDS etc). This content synchronization is out-of-scope for this document.

## 1.2. Notation

Short definitions of expressions used in this document

**signer** An entity signing a zone

**multi-signer group** A group of signers that sign the same zone

## 1.3. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

## 2. Use Cases

### 2.1. Running a multi-signer setup

As described in [[RFC8901](#)] a multi-signer DNSSEC configuration has some challenges that can be overcome with the right infrastructure and following a number of steps for setup and operation.

In this document we describe how all of the steps in the multi-signer DNSSEC setup can be automated. That is, all except the initial trust between involved signers.

### 2.2. Secure change of name server operator

Changing the name server operator of a DNSSEC signed zone can be quite a challenge. Currently the most common method is temporarily "going insecure". This is a bad choice for security, and a bad choice for users relying on the security of the zone.

Changing name server operators can be considered to be a transient special case of multi-signer DNSSEC operations. A new operator joins the old operator in a temporary multi-signer setup. Once that is completed, the old operator leaves the multi-signer setup.

## 3. Algorithm

### 3.1. Setting up a new multi-signer group

The zone is already authoritatively served by one DNS operator and is DNSSEC signed. For full automation both the KSK and ZSK or CSK must be online.

This would be a special case, a multi-signer group with only one signer.

### **3.2. Configuration**

The following configuration has to be made for any signer of the multi-signer group before joining the group. These steps are not automated by this draft.

1. The signers own keys (the keys the signer has the private part of)
2. The NS records in the zone
3. An established trust to the multi-signer group

### **3.3. A new signer joins the multi-signer group**

#### **3.3.1. Prerequisites**

The new signer

1. has a working setup of the zone, including DNSSEC signing.
2. uses the same algorithm for DNSSEC signing as the multi-signer group uses.

#### **3.3.2. Steps for joining**

1. a new signer joins the group
2. Exchange of keys, after this step all signers must have the DNSKEY set of all other signers of the group
3. Calculate CDS/CDNSKEY set
4. All signers put the ZSK of all other signers in their DNSKEY set.
5. All signers publish their CDS/CDNSKEY set
6. Wait for parent to pick up DS updates
7. Remove CDS/CDNSKEY set from all signers
8. Wait 2 time maximum TTL of DS at parent and DNSKEY at all children
9. Exchange of NS set, after this step all signers must have the NS set of all other signers
10. Compile new complete NS set with NS records from all signers
11. Compare to NS set at parent

12. If parent is different, publish CSYNC record with NS and A and AAAA bit set.
13. Wait for parent to pick up changes
14. Remove CSYNC record from all signers

#### **3.4. A signer leaves the multi-signer group**

1. Signal to all other signers to remove the leaving signer's NS records
2. Compile new complete NS set with NS records from all signers
3. Compare to NS set at parent
4. If parent is different, publish CSYNC record with NS and A and AAAA bit set.
5. Wait for parent to pick up changes
6. Remove CSYNC record from all signers
7. Wait 2 times TTL of maximum NS TTL from parent and all signers
8. Signal all other signers leaving of multi-signer group
9. Stop answering queries
10. Remaining signers remove ZSK of leaving signer from their DNSKEY set
11. Remaining signers recalculate DNSKEY set
12. Calculate CDS/CDNSKEY set
13. All signers put the ZSK of all other signers in their DNSKEY set.
14. All signers publish their CDS/CDNSKEY set
15. Wait for parent to pick up DS updates
16. Remove CDS/CDNSKEY set from all signers

#### **4. Automation**

Automation of the necessary steps described in the last section can be divided into two main models, centralized and decentralized. Both have pros and cons and any zone operator should choose wisely.

#### **4.1. Centralized**

In a centralized model the zone operator will run software that executes all steps necessary and controls all signers.

#### **4.2. Decentralized**

In the decentralized models all signers will communicate with each other and execute the necessary steps on their instance only. For this signers need a specialized protocol to communicate configuration details that are not part of the zone data.

### **5. Acknowledgements**

### **6. IANA Considerations**

### **7. Security Considerations**

### **8. Normative References**

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC7477] Hardaker, W., "Child-to-Parent Synchronization in DNS", RFC 7477, DOI 10.17487/RFC7477, March 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7477>>.
- [RFC8078] Gudmundsson, O. and P. Wouters, "Managing DS Records from the Parent via CDS/CDNSKEY", RFC 8078, DOI 10.17487/RFC8078, March 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8078>>.

### **9. Informative References**

- [RFC8901] Huque, S., Aras, P., Dickinson, J., Vcelak, J., and D. Blacka, "Multi-Signer DNSSEC Models", RFC 8901, DOI 10.17487/RFC8901, September 2020, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8901>>.

### **Authors' Addresses**

Ulrich Wisser  
The Swedish Internet Foundation  
Box 92073  
SE-12007 Stockholm  
Sweden

Email: [ulrich@wisser.se](mailto:ulrich@wisser.se)

URI: <https://www.internetstiftelsen.se>

Shumon Huque

Salesforce

415 Mission Street, 3rd Floor

San Francisco, CA 94105

United States of America

Email: [shuque@gmail.com](mailto:shuque@gmail.com)