

BESS Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: October 24, 2020

Q. Wu, Ed.  
Huawei  
M. Boucadair, Ed.  
Orange  
O. Gonzalez de Dios  
Telefonica  
B. Wen  
Comcast  
C. Liu  
China Unicom  
H. Xu  
China Telecom  
April 22, 2020

A YANG Model for Network and VPN Service Performance Monitoring  
draft-www-bess-yang-vpn-service-pm-06

## Abstract

The data model defined in [RFC8345](#) introduces vertical layering relationships between networks that can be augmented to cover network/service topologies. This document defines a YANG model for both Network Performance Monitoring and VPN Service Performance Monitoring that can be used to monitor and manage network performance on the topology at higher layer or the service topology between VPN sites.

This model is designed as an augmentation to the network topology YANG data model defined in [RFC8345](#).

## Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 24, 2020.

Internet-Draft

Network and VPN Service PM YANG

April 2020

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2020 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Terminology . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Network and VPN Service Assurance Module . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Layering Relationship Between Multiple Layers of Topology . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	Some Model Usage Guidelines . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">5.1.</a>	Performance Monitoring Data Source . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">5.2.</a>	Retrieval via Pub/Sub Mechanism . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">5.3.</a>	On demand Retrieval via RPC Model . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	Data Model Structure . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">6.1.</a>	Network Level . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">6.2.</a>	Node Level . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">6.3.</a>	Link and Termination Point Level . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	Example of I2RS Pub/Sub Retrieval . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	Example of RPC-based Retrieval . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">9.</a>	Network and VPN Service Assurance YANG Module . . . . .	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">10.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">25</a>
<a href="#">11.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">25</a>
<a href="#">12.</a>	Contributors . . . . .	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">13.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">13.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">13.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">28</a>
	Authors' Addresses . . . . .	<a href="#">28</a>

[1.](#) Introduction

[RFC8345] defines a YANG data model for network/service topologies

and inventories. The service topology described in [[RFC8345](#)] includes the virtual topology for a service layer above Layer 1 (L1), Layer 2 (L2), and Layer 3 (L3). This service topology has the generic topology elements of node, link, and terminating point. One typical example of a service topology is described in Figure 3 of

[[RFC8345](#)]: two VPN service topologies instantiated over a common L3 topology. Each VPN service topology is mapped onto a subset of nodes from the common L3 topology.

Three types of VPN service topologies are supported in [[RFC8299](#)]: "any to any", "hub and spoke", and "hub and spoke disjoint". These VPN topology types can be used to describe how VPN sites communicate with each other.

This document defines a YANG Model for both Network Performance Monitoring and VPN Service Performance Monitoring (see [Section 2.2.4 of \[RFC4176\]](#)) that can be used to monitor and manage network Performance on the topology at higher layer or the service topology between VPN sites.

The model is designed as an augmentation to the network topology YANG data model defined in [[RFC8345](#)].

## 2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14 \[RFC2119\]](#) [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

Tree diagrams used in this document follow the notation defined in [[RFC8340](#)].

## 3. Network and VPN Service Assurance Module

The module defined in this document is a Network and VPN Service assurance module that can be used to monitor and manage the network performance on the topology at higher layer or the service topology between VPN sites and it is an augmentation to the "ietf-network" and "ietf-network-topology" YANG data model [[RFC8345](#)].

The performance monitoring data is augmented to service topology as shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Module Augmentation

#### 4. Layering Relationship Between Multiple Layers of Topology

The data model defined in [RFC8345] can describe vertical layering relationships between networks. That model can be augmented to cover network/service topologies.

Figure 2 illustrates an example of a topology mapping between the VPN service topology and an underlying network:




Figure 2: Example of topology mapping between VPN Service Topo and Underlying network

As shown in Figure 2, two VPN services topologies are both built on top of one common underlying physical network:

- o VPN-SVC 1: supporting "hub-spoke" communications for Customer 1 connecting the customer's access at 3 sites. Site-1A, Site-1B, and Site-1C are connected to PEs that are mapped to nodes 1, 2, and 3 in the underlying physical network.

Site-1 A plays the role of hub while Site-2 B and C plays the role of spoke.

- o VPN-SVC 2: supporting "hub-spoke disjoint" communications for Customer 2 connecting the customer's access at 3 sites. Site-2A, Site-2B, and Site-2C are connected to PEs that are mapped to nodes 4, 5, and 6 in the underlying physical network.

Site-2 A and B play the role of hub while Site-2 C plays the role of spoke.

## [5.](#) Some Model Usage Guidelines

An SP must be able to manage the capabilities and characteristics of the network/VPN services when Network connection is established or VPN sites are setup to communicate with each other.

### [5.1.](#) Performance Monitoring Data Source

As described in [Section 4](#), once the mapping between the VPN Service topology and the underlying physical network has been setup, the performance monitoring data per link in the underlying network can be collected using network performance measurement method such as MPLS Loss and Delay Measurement [[RFC6374](#)].

The performance monitoring information reflecting the quality of the Network or VPN service such as end to end network performance data between source node and destination node in the network or between VPN sites can be aggregated or calculated using, for example, PCEP solution [[RFC8233](#)] [[RFC7471](#)] [[RFC7810](#)] [[RFC8571](#)] or LMAP [[RFC8194](#)].

The information can be fed into data source such as the management system or network devices. The measurement interval and report

interval associated with these performance data usually depends on configuration parameters.

## [5.2.](#) Retrieval via Pub/Sub Mechanism

Some applications such as service-assurance applications, which must maintain a continuous view of operational data and state, can use subscription model [[I-D.ietf-netconf-yang-push](#)] to subscribe to the specific Network performance data or VPN service performance data they are interested in, at the data source.

The data source can then use the Network and VPN service assurance model defined in this document and the YANG Push model [[I-D.ietf-netconf-yang-push](#)] to distribute specific telemetry data to target recipients.

## [5.3.](#) On demand Retrieval via RPC Model

To obtain a snapshot of a large amount of performance data from a network element (including network controllers), service-assurance applications may use polling-based methods such as RPC model to fetch performance data on demand.

## [6.](#) Data Model Structure

This document defines the YANG module "ietf-network-vpn-pm", which has the tree structure described in the following sub-sections.

### [6.1.](#) Network Level

```
module: ietf-network-vpn-pm
  augment /nw:networks/nw:network/nw:network-types:
    +--rw network-technology-type*  identityref
  augment /nw:networks/nw:network:
    +--rw vpn-attributes
      | +--rw vpn-topo?                identityref
    +--rw vpn-summary-statistics
      | +--rw ipv4
```

```

| | +--rw total-routes?          uint32
| | +--rw total-active-routes?  uint32
| +--rw ipv6
|   +--rw total-routes?          uint32
|   +--rw total-active-routes?  uint32

```

Figure 3: Network Level View of the hierarchies

For VPN service performance monitoring, this model defines only the following minimal set of Network level network topology attributes:

- o "network-technology-type": Indicates the network technology type such as L3VPN, L2VPN, ISIS, or OSPF. If the "network-technology-type" is "VPN type" (e.g., L3VPN, L2VPN), the "vpn-topo" MUST be set.
- o "vpn-topo": The type of VPN service topology, this model supports "any-to-any", "Hub and Spoke" (where Hubs can exchange traffic), and "Hub and Spoke disjoint" (where Hubs cannot exchange traffic).
- o "vpn-summary-statistics": VPN summary statistics, IPv4 statistics, and IPv6 statistics have been specified separately.

For network performance monitoring, the attributes of "Network Level" that defined in [[RFC8345](#)] do not need to be extended.

## 6.2. Node Level

```

augment /nw:networks/nw:network/nw:node:
  +--rw node-attributes
  |   +--rw node-type?  identityref
  |   +--rw site-id?    string
  |   +--rw site-role?  Identityref

```

Figure 4: Node Level View of the hierarchies

The Network and VPN service performance monitoring model defines only the following minimal set of Node level network topology attributes and constraints:

- o "node-type" (Attribute): Indicates the type of the node, such as PE or ASBR. This "node-type" can be used to report performance metric between any two nodes each with specific node-type.
- o "site-id" (Constraint): Uniquely identifies the site within the overall network infrastructure.
- o "site-role" (Constraint): Defines the role of the site in a particular VPN topology.

### [6.3.](#) Link and Termination Point Level



```

+--rw link-type?                identityref
+--rw low-percentile            percentile
+--rw high-percentile          percentile
+--rw middle-percentile        percentile
+--ro reference-time            yang:date-and-time
+--ro measurement-interval      uint32
+--ro link-telemetry-attributes
  +--ro loss-statistics
    | +--ro packet-loss-count?    uint32
    | +--ro loss-ratio?           percentage
    | +--ro packet-reorder-count? uint32
    | +--ro packets-out-of-seq-count? uint32
    | +--ro packets-dup-count?    uint32
  +--ro delay-statistics
    | +--ro direction?            identityref
    | +--ro unit-value            identityref
    | +--ro min-delay-value?      yang:gauge64
    | +--ro max-delay-value?      yang:gauge64
    | +--ro high-delay-percentile? yang:gauge64
    | +--ro middle-delay-percentile? yang:gauge64
    | +--ro low-delay-percentile?  yang:gauge64
  +--ro jitter-statistics
    +--ro unit-value              identityref
    +--ro min-jitter-value?       yang:gauge64
    +--ro max-jitter-value?       yang:gauge64
    +--ro low-jitter-percentile?   yang:gauge64
    +--ro high-jitter-percentile?  yang:gauge64
    +--ro middle-jitter-percentile? yang:gauge64
augment /nw:networks/nw:network/nw:node/nt:termination-point:
+--ro tp-telemetry-attributes
  +--ro in-octets?                uint32
  +--ro out-octets?               uint32
  +--ro inbound-unicast?          uint32
  +--ro inbound-nunicast?         uint32
  +--ro inbound-discards?         uint32
  +--ro inbound-errors?           uint32
  +--ro in-unknown-protocol?      uint32
  +--ro outbound-unicast?         uint32
  +--ro outbound-nunicast?        uint32
  +--ro outbound-discards?        uint32
  +--ro outbound-errors?          uint32
  +--ro outbound-qlen?            uint32

```

Figure 5: Link and Termination point Level View of the hierarchies

The Network and VPN service performance monitoring model defines only the following minimal set of Link level network topology attributes:

- 
- o "link-type" (Attribute): Indicates the type of the link, such as GRE or IP-in-IP.
  - o "low-percentile": Indicates low percentile to report. Setting low-percentile into 0.00 indicates the client is not interested in receiving low percentile.
  - o "middle-percentile": Indicates middle percentile to report. Setting middle-percentile into 0.00 indicates the client is not interested in receiving middle percentile.
  - o "high-percentile": Indicates high percentile to report. Setting low-percentile into 0.00 indicates the client is not interested in receiving high percentile.
  - o Loss Statistics: A set of loss statistics attributes that are used to measure end to end loss between VPN sites or between any two network nodes.
  - o Delay Statistics: A set of delay statistics attributes that are used to measure end to end latency between VPN sites or between any two network nodes..
  - o Jitter Statistics: A set of IP Packet Delay Variation [[RFC3393](#)] statistics attributes that are used to measure end to end jitter between VPN sites or between any two network nodes..

The Network and VPN service performance monitoring defines the following minimal set of Termination point level network topology attributes:

- o Inbound statistics: A set of inbound statistics attributes that are used to measure the inbound statistics of the termination point, such as "the total number of octets received on the termination point", "The number of inbound packets which were chosen to be discarded", "The number of inbound packets that contained errors", etc.
- o Outbound statistics: A set of outbound statistics attributes that are used to measure the outbound statistics of the termination point, such as "the total number of octets transmitted out of the termination point", "The number of outbound packets which were chosen to be discarded", "The number of outbound packets that contained errors", etc.

## [7.](#) Example of I2RS Pub/Sub Retrieval

This example shows the way for a client to subscribe for the Performance monitoring information between node A and node B in the L3 network topology built on top of the underlying network . The performance monitoring parameter that the client is interested in is end to end loss attribute.

```
<rpc netconf:message-id="101"
  xmlns:netconf="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <establish-subscription
    xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-subscribed-notifications">
    <stream-subtree-filter>
      <networks xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-network-topo">
        <network>
          <network-id>l3-network</network-id>
          <network-technology-type xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ie
L3VPN
          </network-technology-type>
          <node>
            <node-id>A</node-id>
            <node-attributes xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-net
            <node-type>pe</node-type>
            </node-attributes>
            <termination-point xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-n
            <tp-id>1-0-1</tp-id>
            <tp-telemetry-attributes xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:
              <in-octets>100</in-octets>
              <out-octets>150</out-octets>
            </tp-telemetry-attributes>
            </termination-point>
          </node>
          <node>
            <node-id>B</node-id>
            <node-attributes xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-net
            <node-type>pe</node-type>
            </node-attributes>
            <termination-point xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-n
            <tp-id>2-0-1</tp-id>
```

```

    <tp-telemetry-attributes xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:
      <in-octets>150</in-octets>
      <out-octets>100</out-octets>
    </tp-telemetry-attributes>
  </termination-point>
</node>
<link xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-network-topolog
  <link-id>A-B</link-id>
  <source>

```

```

    <source-node>A</source-node>
  </source>
  <destination>
    <dest-node>B</dest-node>
  </destination>
  <link-type>mpls-te</link-type>
  <link-telemetry-attributes
    xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-network-vmn-pm">
    <loss-statistics>
      <packet-loss-count>100</packet-loss-count>
    </loss-statistics>
  </link-telemetry-attributes>
</link>
</network>
</networks>
</stream-subtree-filter>
<period xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-push:1.0">500</p
</establish-subscription>
</rpc>

```

## 8. Example of RPC-based Retrieval

This example shows the way for the client to use RPC model to fetch performance data on demand, e.g., the client requests "packet-loss-count" between PE1 in site 1 and PE2 in site 2 belonging to the same VPN1.

```

<rpc xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"
  message-id="1">
  <report xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:example-service-pm-report">
    <networks xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-network-topo">
      <network>

```

```

<network-id>vpn1</network-id>
<node>
  <node-id>A</node-id>
  <node-attributes xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-network-vpn-
  <node-type>pe</node-type>
</node-attributes>
  <termination-point xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-network-to
  <tp-id>1-0-1</tp-id>
  <tp-telemetry-attributes xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-net
  <in-octets>100</in-octets>
  <out-octets>150</out-octets>
  </tp-telemetry-attributes>
</termination-point>
</node>
<node>
  <node-id>B</node-id>

```

```

  <node-attributes xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-network-vpn-
  <node-type>pe</node-type>
</node-attributes>
  <termination-point xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-network-to
  <tp-id>2-0-1</tp-id>
  <tp-telemetry-attributes xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-net
  <in-octets>150</in-octets>
  <out-octets>100</out-octets>
  </tp-telemetry-attributes>
</termination-point>
</node>
<link-id>A-B</link-id>
  <source>
    <source-node>A</source-node>
  </source>
  <destination>
    <dest-node>B</dest-node>
  </destination>
  <link-type>mpls-te</link-type>
  <telemetry-attributes xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-network
  <loss-statistics>
    <packet-loss-count>120</packet-loss-count>
  </loss-statistics>
  </telemetry-attributes>
</link>

```

```
    </network>
  </report>
</rpc>
```

## 9. Network and VPN Service Assurance YANG Module

This module uses types defined in [[RFC8345](#)], [[RFC8299](#)] and [[RFC8532](#)].

```
<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-network-vpn-pm@2020-04-17.yang"
module ietf-network-vpn-pm {
  yang-version 1.1;
  namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-network-vpn-pm";
  prefix nvp;

  import ietf-yang-types {
    prefix yang;
    reference "RFC 6991: Common YANG Types.";
  }
  import ietf-network {
    prefix nw;
    reference
      "Section 6.1 of RFC 8345: A YANG Data Model for Network
      Topologies";
  }
}
```

Wu, et al.

Expires October 24, 2020

[Page 12]

---

Internet-Draft

Network and VPN Service PM YANG

April 2020

```
  }
  import ietf-network-topology {
    prefix nt;
    reference
      "Section 6.2 of RFC 8345: A YANG Data Model for Network
      Topologies";
  }
  import ietf-l3vpn-svc {
    prefix l3vpn-svc;
    reference
      "RFC 8299: YANG Data Model for L3VPN Service Delivery";
  }
  import ietf-lime-time-types {
    prefix lime;
    reference
      "RFC 8532: Generic YANG Data Model for the Management of
      Operations, Administration, and Maintenance (OAM) Protocols
      That Use Connectionless Communications";
  }
}
```

```

}
organization
  "IETF BESS Working Group";
contact
  "Editor: Qin Wu
    <bill.wu@huawei.com>
  Editor: Mohamed Boucadair
    <mohamed.boucadair@orange.com>";
description
  "This module defines a model for the VPN Service Performance
  monitoring.

```

Copyright (c) 2020 IETF Trust and the persons identified as authors of the code. All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License set forth in [Section 4.c](#) of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>).

This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the RFC itself for full legal notices.";

```

revision 2019-04-17 {
  description
    "Initial revision.";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: A YANG Model for Network and VPN Service Performance

```

```

    Monitoring";
}

identity network-type {
  description
    "Base type for Overlay network topology.";
}

identity l3vpn {
  base network-type;
  description

```

```
    "Identity for layer3 VPN network type.";
}

identity l2vpn {
    base network-type;
    description
        "Identity for layer2 VPN network type.";
}

identity ospf {
    base network-type;
    description
        "Identity for OSPF network type.";
}

identity isis {
    base network-type;
    description
        "Identity for ISIS network type.";
}

identity node-type {
    description
        "Base identity for node type";
}

identity pe {
    base node-type;
    description
        "Identity for PE type";
}

identity ce {
    base node-type;
    description
        "Identity for CE type";
}
```

```
identity asbr {
    base node-type;
    description
        "Identity for ASBR type";
```



```

}

identity p {
    base node-type;
    description
        "Identity for P type";
}

identity link-type {
    description
        "Base identity for link type, e.g.,GRE, MPLS TE, VXLAN.";
}

identity gre {
    base link-type;
    description
        "Base identity for GRE Tunnel.";
}

identity VXLAN {
    base link-type;
    description
        "Base identity for VXLAN Tunnel.";
}

identity ip-in-ip {
    base link-type;
    description
        "Base identity for IP in IP Tunnel.";
}

identity direction {
    description
        "Base Identity for measurement direction including
        one way measurement and two way measurement.";
}

identity one-way {
    base direction;
    description
        "Identity for one way measurement.";
}

identity two-way {
    base direction;
    description
        "Identity for two way measurement.";
}

```

```
typedef percentage {
  type decimal64 {
    fraction-digits 5;
    range "0..100";
  }
  description
    "Percentage.";
}
typedef percentile {
  type decimal64 {
    fraction-digits 2;
  }
  description
    "The nth percentile of a set of data is the
    value at which n percent of the data is below it.";
}
grouping vpn-summary-statistics {
  description
    "VPN Statistics grouping used for network topology
    augmentation.";
  container vpn-summary-statistics {
    description "Container for VPN summary statistics.";
    container ipv4 {
      leaf total-routes {
        type uint32;
        description
          "Total routes in the RIB from all protocols.";
      }
      leaf total-active-routes {
        type uint32;
        description
          "Total active routes in the RIB.";
      }
    }
    description
      "IPv4-specific parameters.";
  }
  container ipv6 {
    leaf total-routes {
      type uint32;
      description
        "Total routes in the RIB from all protocols.";
    }
    leaf total-active-routes {
      type uint32;
      description
        "Total active routes in the RIB.";
    }
  }
}
```

description

Internet-Draft

Network and VPN Service PM YANG

April 2020

```
        "IPv6-specific parameters.";
    }
}

grouping link-error-statistics {
    description
        "Grouping for per link error statistics.";
    container loss-statistics {
        description
            "Per link loss statistics.";

        leaf packet-loss-count {
            type uint32 {
                range "0..4294967295";
            }
            default "0";
            description
                "Total received packet drops count.
                The value of count will be set to zero (0)
                on creation and will thereafter increase
                monotonically until it reaches a maximum value
                of 2^32-1 (4294967295 decimal), when it wraps
                around and starts increasing again from zero.";
        }
        leaf loss-ratio {
            type percentage;
            description
                "Loss ratio of the packets. Express as percentage
                of packets lost with respect to packets sent.";
        }
        leaf packet-reorder-count {
            type uint32 {
                range "0..4294967295";
            }
            default "0";
            description
                "Total received packet reordered count.
                The value of count will be set to zero (0)
                on creation and will thereafter increase
```

```
        monotonically until it reaches a maximum value
        of 2^32-1 (4294967295 decimal), when it wraps
        around and starts increasing again from zero.";
    }
    leaf packets-out-of-seq-count {
        type uint32 {
            range "0..4294967295";
        }
    }
```

```
        description
            "Total received out of sequence count.
            The value of count will be set to zero (0)
            on creation and will thereafter increase
            monotonically until it reaches a maximum value
            of 2^32-1 (4294967295 decimal), when it wraps
            around and starts increasing again from zero..";
    }
    leaf packets-dup-count {
        type uint32 {
            range "0..4294967295";
        }
        description
            "Total received packet duplicates count.
            The value of count will be set to zero (0)
            on creation and will thereafter increase
            monotonically until it reaches a maximum value
            of 2^32-1 (4294967295 decimal), when it wraps
            around and starts increasing again from zero.";
    }
}
}
}

grouping link-delay-statistics {
    description
        "Grouping for per link delay statistics";
    container delay-statistics {
        description
            "Link delay summarised information. By default,
            one way measurement protocol (e.g., OWAMP) is used
            to measure delay.";
        leaf direction {
            type identityref {
```

```

    base direction;
  }
  default "one-way";
  description
    "Define measurement direction including one way
    measurement and two way measurement.";
}
leaf unit-value {
  type identityref {
    base lime:time-unit-type;
  }
  default "lime:milliseconds";
  description
    "Time units, where the options are s, ms, ns, etc.";
}

```

```

leaf min-delay-value {
  type yang:gauge64;
  description
    "Minimum delay value observed.";
}
leaf max-delay-value {
  type yang:gauge64;
  description
    "Maximum delay value observed.";
}
leaf low-delay-percentile {
  type yang:gauge64;
  description
    "Low percentile of the delay observed with
    specific measurement method.";
}
leaf middle-delay-percentile {
  type yang:gauge64;
  description
    "Middle percentile of the delay observed with
    specific measurement method.";
}
leaf high-delay-percentile {
  type yang:gauge64;
  description
    "High percentile of the delay observed with

```

```

        specific measurement method.";
    }
}
}

grouping link-jitter-statistics {
  description
    "Grouping for per link jitter statistics";
  container jitter-statistics {
    description
      "Link jitter summarised information. By default,
      jitter is measured using IP Packet Delay Variation
      (IPDV).";

    leaf unit-value {
      type identityref {
        base lime:time-unit-type;
      }
      default "lime:milliseconds";
      description
        "Time units, where the options are s, ms, ns, etc.";
    }
  }
}

```

```

leaf min-jitter-value {
  type yang:gauge64;
  description
    "Minimum jitter value observed.";
}
leaf max-jitter-value {
  type yang:gauge64;
  description
    "Maximum jitter value observed.";
}
leaf low-jitter-percentile {
  type yang:gauge64;
  description
    "Low percentile of the jitter observed.";
}
leaf middle-jitter-percentile {
  type yang:gauge64;
  description
    "Middle percentile of the jitter observed.";
}

```

```

    }
    leaf high-jitter-percentile {
      type yang:gauge64;
      description
        "High percentile of the jitter observed.";
    }
  }
}

```

```

grouping tp-svc-telemetry {
  leaf in-octets {
    type uint32;
    description
      "The total number of octets received on the
        interface, including framing characters.";
  }
  leaf inbound-unicast {
    type uint32;
    description
      "Inbound unicast packets were received, and delivered
        to a higher layer during the last period.";
  }
  leaf inbound-nunicast {
    type uint32;
    description
      "The number of non-unicast (i.e., subnetwork-
        broadcast or subnetwork-multicast) packets
        delivered to a higher-layer protocol.";
  }
}

```

```

leaf inbound-discards {
  type uint32;
  description
    "The number of inbound packets which were chosen
      to be discarded even though no errors had been
      detected to prevent their being deliverable to a
      higher-layer protocol.";
}
leaf inbound-errors {
  type uint32;
  description
    "The number of inbound packets that contained

```

```

        errors preventing them from being deliverable to a
        higher-layer protocol.";
    }
leaf outbound-errors {
    type uint32;
    description
        "The number of outbound packets that contained
        errors preventing them from being deliverable to a
        higher-layer protocol.";
}
leaf in-unknown-protocol {
    type uint32;
    description
        "The number of packets received via the interface
        which were discarded because of an unknown or
        unsupported protocol.";
}
leaf out-octets {
    type uint32;
    description
        "The total number of octets transmitted out of the
        interface, including framing characters.";
}
leaf outbound-unicast {
    type uint32;
    description
        "The total number of packets that higher-level
        protocols requested be transmitted to a
        subnetwork-unicast address, including those that
        were discarded or not sent.";
}
leaf outbound-nunicast {
    type uint32;
    description
        "The total number of packets that higher-level
        protocols requested be transmitted to a non-

```

```

        unicast (i.e., a subnetwork-broadcast or
        subnetwork-multicast) address, including those
        that were discarded or not sent.";
    }
leaf outbound-discards {

```



```

    type uint32;
    description
        "The number of outbound packets which were chosen
        to be discarded even though no errors had been
        detected to prevent their being transmitted. One
        possible reason for discarding such a packet could
        be to free up buffer space.";
}
leaf outbound-qlen {
    type uint32;
    description
        " Length of the queue of the interface from where
        the packet is forwarded out. The queue depth could
        be the current number of memory buffers used by the
        queue and a packet can consume one or more memory buffers
        thus constituting device-level information.";
}
description
    "Grouping for interface service telemetry.";
}

augment "/nw:networks/nw:network/nw:network-types" {
    description
        "Augment the network-types with service topology types";
    leaf-list network-technology-type {
        type identityref {
            base network-type;
        }
        description
            "Identify the network technology type, e.g., L3VPN,
            L2VPN, ISIS, OSPF.";
    }
}

augment "/nw:networks/nw:network" {
    description
        "Augment the network with service topology attributes";
    container vpn-topo-attributes {
        leaf vpn-topology {
            type identityref {
                base l3vpn-svc:vpn-topology;
            }
            description
                "VPN service topology, e.g., hub-spoke, any-to-any,

```

```

        hub-spoke-disjoint";
    }
    description
        "Container for vpn topology attributes.";
    }
    uses vpn-summary-statistics;
}
augment "/nw:networks/nw:network/nw:node" {
    description
        "Augment the network node with overlay topology attributes";
    container node-attributes {
        leaf node-type {
            type identityref {
                base node-type;
            }
            description
                "Node type, e.g., PE, P, ASBR.";
        }
        leaf site-id {
            type string;
            description
                "Associated vpn site";
        }
        leaf site-role {
            type identityref {
                base l3vpn-svc:site-role;
            }
            default "l3vpn-svc:any-to-any-role";
            description
                "Role of the site in the VPN.";
        }
    }
    description
        "Container for overlay topology attributes.";
}
}
augment "/nw:networks/nw:network/nt:link" {
    description
        "Augment the network topology link with overlay topology attributes";
    leaf link-type {
        type identityref {
            base link-type;
        }
        description
            "Link type, e.g., GRE,VXLAN, IP in IP.";
    }
    leaf low-percentile {
        type percentile;
        default 10.00;
    }
}

```

Internet-Draft

Network and VPN Service PM YANG

April 2020

```
    description
      "Low percentile to report.Setting low-percentile into 0.00 indicates
        the client is not interested in receiving low percentile.";
  }
  leaf middle-percentile {
    type percentile;
    default 50.00;
    description
      "Middle percentile to report.Setting middle-percentile into 0.00 indic
        the client is not interested in receiving middle percentile.";
  }
  leaf high-percentile {
    type percentile;
    default 90.00;
    description
      "High percentile to report.";
  }
  leaf reference-time {
    type yang:date-and-time;
    description
      "The time that the current Measurement Interval started.Setting high-p
        into 0.00 indicates the client is not interested in receiving high p
  }
  leaf measurement-interval {
    type uint32;
    units "seconds";
    default 60;
    description
      "Interval to calculate performance metric.";
  }
  container link-telemetry-attributes {
    config false;
    uses link-error-statistics;
    uses link-delay-statistics;
    uses link-jitter-statistics;
    description
      "Container for service telemetry attributes.";
  }
}
augment "/nw:networks/nw:network/nw:node/nt:termination-point" {
  description
    "Augment the network topology termination point with vpn service attribut
```

```
container tp-telemetry-attributes {
  config false;
  uses tp-svc-telemetry;
  description
    "Container for termination point service telemetry attributes.";
}
```

```
}
}
<CODE ENDS>
```

## 10. Security Considerations

The YANG modules defined in this document MAY be accessed via the RESTCONF protocol [[RFC8040](#)] or NETCONF protocol ([[RFC6241](#)]). The lowest RESTCONF or NETCONF layer requires that the transport-layer protocol provides both data integrity and confidentiality, see [Section 2 in \[RFC8040\]](#) and [[RFC6241](#)]. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is Secure Shell (SSH) [[RFC6242](#)]. The lowest RESTCONF layer is HTTPS, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is TLS [[RFC5246](#)].

The NETCONF access control model [[RFC6536](#)] provides the means to restrict access for particular NETCONF or RESTCONF users to a preconfigured subset of all available NETCONF or RESTCONF protocol operations and content.

There are a number of data nodes defined in this YANG module that are writable/creatable/deletable (i.e., config true, which is the default). These data nodes may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. Write operations (e.g., edit-config) to these data nodes without proper protection can have a negative effect on network operations. These are the subtrees and data nodes and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

- o /nw:networks/nw:network/svc-topo:svc-telemetry-attributes
- o /nw:networks/nw:network/nw:node/svc-topo:node-attributes

## 11. IANA Considerations

This document requests IANA to register the following URI in the "ns" subregistry within the "IETF XML Registry" [[RFC3688](#)]:

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-network-vpn-pm  
Registrant Contact: The IESG.  
XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

This document requests IANA to register the following YANG module in the "YANG Module Names" subregistry [[RFC6020](#)] within the "YANG Parameters" registry.

Wu, et al.

Expires October 24, 2020

[Page 25]

---

Internet-Draft

Network and VPN Service PM YANG

April 2020

Name: ietf-network-vpn-pm  
Namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-network-vpn-pm  
Maintained by IANA: N  
Prefix: nvp  
Reference: RFC XXXX

## [12.](#) Contributors

Michale Wang  
Huawei  
Email:wangzitao@huawei.com

Roni Even  
Huawei  
Email: ron.even.tlv@gmail.com

## [13.](#) References

### [13.1.](#) Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC3393] Demichelis, C. and P. Chimento, "IP Packet Delay Variation Metric for IP Performance Metrics (IPPM)", [RFC 3393](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3393, November 2002,

<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3393>>.

- [RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", [BCP 81](#), [RFC 3688](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3688, January 2004, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3688>>.
- [RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "YANG - A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", [RFC 6020](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6020, October 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6020>>.
- [RFC6241] Enns, R., Ed., Bjorklund, M., Ed., Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., and A. Bierman, Ed., "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", [RFC 6241](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6241, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6241>>.
- [RFC6242] Wasserman, M., "Using the NETCONF Protocol over Secure Shell (SSH)", [RFC 6242](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6242, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6242>>.

Wu, et al.

Expires October 24, 2020

[Page 26]

---

Internet-Draft

Network and VPN Service PM YANG

April 2020

- [RFC6370] Bocci, M., Swallow, G., and E. Gray, "MPLS Transport Profile (MPLS-TP) Identifiers", [RFC 6370](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6370, September 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6370>>.
- [RFC6374] Frost, D. and S. Bryant, "Packet Loss and Delay Measurement for MPLS Networks", [RFC 6374](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6374, September 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6374>>.
- [RFC6536] Bierman, A. and M. Bjorklund, "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF) Access Control Model", [RFC 6536](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6536, March 2012, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6536>>.
- [RFC7923] Voit, E., Clemm, A., and A. Gonzalez Prieto, "Requirements for Subscription to YANG Datastores", [RFC 7923](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7923, June 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7923>>.
- [RFC7950] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "The YANG 1.1 Data Modeling Language",

[RFC 7950](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7950, August 2016,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7950>>.

- [RFC7952] Lhotka, L., "Defining and Using Metadata with YANG",  
[RFC 7952](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7952, August 2016,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7952>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174,  
May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC8340] Bjorklund, M. and L. Berger, Ed., "YANG Tree Diagrams",  
[BCP 215](#), [RFC 8340](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8340, March 2018,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8340>>.
- [RFC8345] Clemm, A., Medved, J., Varga, R., Bahadur, N.,  
Ananthakrishnan, H., and X. Liu, "A YANG Data Model for  
Network Topologies", [RFC 8345](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8345, March  
2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8345>>.
- [RFC8532] Kumar, D., Wang, Z., Wu, Q., Ed., Rahman, R., and S.  
Raghavan, "Generic YANG Data Model for the Management of  
Operations, Administration, and Maintenance (OAM)  
Protocols That Use Connectionless Communications",  
[RFC 8532](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8532, April 2019,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8532>>.

### [13.2](#). Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-netconf-yang-push]  
Clemm, A. and E. Voit, "Subscription to YANG Datastores",  
[draft-ietf-netconf-yang-push-25](#) (work in progress), May  
2019.
- [RFC4176] El Mghazli, Y., Ed., Nadeau, T., Boucadair, M., Chan, K.,  
and A. Gonguet, "Framework for Layer 3 Virtual Private  
Networks (L3VPN) Operations and Management", [RFC 4176](#),  
DOI 10.17487/RFC4176, October 2005,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4176>>.
- [RFC7471] Giacalone, S., Ward, D., Drake, J., Atlas, A., and S.

Previdi, "OSPF Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric Extensions", [RFC 7471](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7471, March 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7471>>.

- [RFC7810] Previdi, S., Ed., Giacalone, S., Ward, D., Drake, J., and Q. Wu, "IS-IS Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric Extensions", [RFC 7810](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7810, May 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7810>>.
- [RFC8233] Dhody, D., Wu, Q., Manral, V., Ali, Z., and K. Kumaki, "Extensions to the Path Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP) to Compute Service-Aware Label Switched Paths (LSPs)", [RFC 8233](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8233, September 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8233>>.
- [RFC8299] Wu, Q., Ed., Litkowski, S., Tomotaki, L., and K. Ogaki, "YANG Data Model for L3VPN Service Delivery", [RFC 8299](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8299, January 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8299>>.
- [RFC8571] Ginsberg, L., Ed., Previdi, S., Wu, Q., Tantsura, J., and C. Filss, "BGP - Link State (BGP-LS) Advertisement of IGP Traffic Engineering Performance Metric Extensions", [RFC 8571](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8571, March 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8571>>.

#### Authors' Addresses

Wu, et al.

Expires October 24, 2020

[Page 28]

---

Internet-Draft

Network and VPN Service PM YANG

April 2020

Qin Wu (editor)  
Huawei  
101 Software Avenue, Yuhua District  
Nanjing, Jiangsu 210012  
China

Email: [bill.wu@huawei.com](mailto:bill.wu@huawei.com)



Mohamed Boucadair (editor)  
Orange  
Rennes 35000  
France

Email: mohamed.boucadair@orange.com

Oscar Gonzalez de Dios  
Telefonica  
Madrid  
ES

Email: oscar.gonzalezdedios@telefonica.com

Bin Wen  
Comcast

Email: bin\_wen@comcast.com

Change Liu  
China Unicom

Email: liuc131@chinaunicom.cn

Honglei Xu  
China Telecom

Email: xuhl.bri@chinatelecom.cn