PCE WG
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: April 24, 2020

Quan Xiong
Greg Mirsky
ZTE Corporation
Fangwei Hu
Individual
Weiqiang Cheng
China Mobile

October 22, 2019

Stateful PCE for SR-MPLS Inter-domain
draft-xiong-pce-stateful-pce-sr-inter-domain-02

Abstract

This document proposes a solution to perform the Segment Routing with MPLS data plane (SR-MPLS) inter-domain path computation and initiation with stateful PCEs and the use of Path Segments.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 24, 2020.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Requirements Language 3
1.2. Terminology 3
2. The SR-MPLS Inter-domain with Path Segments 3
3. Inter-domain Path Segment Allocation 6
3.1. PCC Allocated 6
3.2. PCE Allocated 7
4. PCEP Procedure 7
4.1. HPCE-initiated LSP 7
4.2. PCC-initiated LSP 8
5. Security Considerations 8
6. IANA Considerations 8
7. Acknowledgements 9
8. References 9
8.1. Informative References 9
8.2. Normative References 9
Authors' Addresses 11

1. Introduction

The Path Computation Element (PCE) architecture is defined in [RFC4655] for MPLS Traffic Engineering (MPLS-TE) and Generalized MPLS (GMPLS) networks. The Path Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP) defined in [RFC5440] provides mechanisms for PCEs to perform path computations in response to Path Computation Clients (PCCs) requests.

[I-D.ietf-pce-segment-routing] proposes extensions to PCEP that allow a stateful PCE to compute TE paths in segment routing (SR) networks. As defined in [I-D.ietf-spring-mpls-path-segment], a path segment is used to identify a SR path and support bidirectional SR paths correlation. [I-D.ietf-pce-sr-path-segment] proposed the extension for PCEP to operate with Path Segment. [I-D.li-pce-sr-bidir-path] proposed the extension for PCEP to group two unidirectional SR Paths into an Associated Bidirectional SR Path. [I-D.xiong-spring-path-segment-sr-inter-domain] proposes the use of Path Segment in inter-domain scenarios for SR-MPLS network. It is required to perform the SR inter-domain path computation and initiation with PCE deployment.

The path computation requirments for Label Switched Paths (LSPs) across multiple domains are discussed in [RFC4105] and [RFC4216]. Inter-domain path computation can be performed by a single stateful

PCE and multiple stateful PCEs. The PCE may has no ability to collect the topologies all over the domains. So the single PCE model is not applied in deployment. Three multiple PCEs models can be uesd to perform PCE-based inter-domain path computation including Per-Domain Path Computation [RFC5152], Backward-Recursive PCE-Based Computation (BRPC) [RFC5441] and Hierarchical PCE (H-PCE) [RFC6805]. Computing the optimum inter-domain path requires co-operation between multiple PCEs. But the sequence of domains need to be known before the path computation in BRPC mechanism. Stateful H-PCE architecture is appropriate to compute an optimal end-to-end path across multiple domains.

As defined in [I-D.xiong-spring-path-segment-sr-inter-domain], the SR-MPLS inter-domain models includes stitching and nesting inter-domain models between inter-Area or inter-AS domains. This document proposes a solution to perform the SR-MPLS inter-domain path computation and initiation with stateful PCEs and the use of Path Segments.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

1.2. Terminology

The terminology is defined as [RFC5440], [I-D.ietf-pce-segment-routing] , [I-D.ietf-spring-mpls-path-segment].

2. The SR-MPLS Inter-domain with Path Segments

The SR-MPLS inter-domain scenario is described in [I-D.xiong-spring-path-segment-sr-inter-domain]. The domains of the networks may be IGP Areas or ASes and the inter- domain scenario may be inter-Area or inter-AS. The multiple SR-MPLS domains may be interconnect with a ABR within areas or inter-link between ASes. The hierarchical PCE architecture is described in [RFC6805], a parent PCE maintains a domain topology map that contains the child domains (seen as vertices in the topology) and their interconnections (links in the topology) but no information about the content of the child domains. Each child domain has one PCE taking in charge of computing paths across its own domain. These PCEs are known as child PCEs and have a relationship with the parent PCE.

As the Figure 1 shown, H-PCE is parent PCE and PCE-1, PCE-2 and PCE-3 are child PCEs which is responsible for each own domain. SR-AS1, SR-AS2 and SR-AS3 interconnect with logical links and SR-Area1, SR-Area2

and SR-Area3 interconnect within border nodes. The SR end-to-end bidirectional LSP needs to be provided along the multi-domain paths. The Path 1~5 are forward path segments and Path 1'~5' are the related reverse path segments and these are all inter-domain path segments.

When an optimal inter-domain path is required, the ingress PCE sends a request to the parent PCE or the stateful parent PCE itself to initiate the path computation. The parent PCE selects a set of candidate domain paths based on the domain topology and the state of the inter-domain links. It then sends computation requests to the child PCEs responsible for each of the domains on the candidate domain paths. The stateful child PCE in each domain performs active stateful procedure as defined [RFC8231].



Figure 1 The SR Inter-Domain with H-PCE

The LSPs of multiple domains can be stitched together by adding them to a stitching LSP association group as defined in [I-D.hu-pce-stitching-lsp-association]. As the Figure 2 shown, the stateful H-PCE sends the PCInit message defined in [RFC8281] to initiate the inter-domain path computation adding the forward LSP 1~3 to Assoc#1 and reverse LSP 1'~3' to Assoc#2. The child PCEs may initiate the intra-domain LSPs when receiving the message from parent

PCE. The LSP 1~3 could be stitched to a forward end-to-end LSP and the LSP 1'~3' could be stitched to a reverse end-to-end LSP. Furthermore, the two unidirectional end-to-end LSPs MAY be bound to a bidirectional end-to-end LSP as decribed in [I-D.li-pce-sr-bidir-path].



Figure 2 The SR inter-domain Stitching LSP Association

3. Inter-domain Path Segment Allocation

The inter-domain path segment may be allocated by PCC or PCE. The PCE may be the single domain PCE which taking in charge of the respective domain. The inter-domain path segments is a unique value in the domain which PCC or PCE belongs to. The operation of path segment request and reply may be the same with that in single domain as defined in [I-D.ietf-pce-sr-path-segment].

3.1. PCC Allocated

As defined in [I-D.xiong-spring-path-segment-sr-inter-domain], an inter-domain path segment can be allocated by egress PCC and may be maintained on the PCC itself. The inter-domain path segment connects two domains and the ingress and egress PCC are belong to different domains. The ingress and egress PCC need to exchange messages which carrying path segment information between the two PCEs.

The Ingress PCC may request to allocate a path segment from egress PCC. Once egress PCC allocated the inter-domain path segment, it need to inform the PCE in respective domain with the PCRpt message. The PCE need to communicate with the PCE which the ingress PCC belongs to inform the value allocated.

3.2. PCE Allocated

The ingress PCC may request the inter-domain path segment to be allocated by the PCE in PCC-Initiated LSP. The PCE may allocate the inter-domain path segment on its own domain in PCEs-Initiated LSP. The allocated path segment needs to be informed to the ingress and egress PCC.

The inter-domain path segments may be allocated separately by the PCEs which control the ingress and egress PCC along with the LSP initiation.

4. PCEP Procedure

[RFC8281] describes setup, maintenance and teardown of PCE-initiated LSPs under the stateful PCE model, without the need for local configuration on the PCC. Similar to LSP updation, the inter-domain LSP can be initiated by the ingress PCE using the PCInitiate message to the ingress LSR. Per-domain LSP may also be initiated by respective domain's PCE and stitched together.

4.1. HPCE-initiated LSP

In H-PCE [RFC6805] architecture, the parent PCE is used to compute a multi-domain path based on the domain connectivity information. The stateful H-PCE in active model can be used to initiate the inter-domain bidirectional path for SR networks. PCE sends PCInitiate message to its domain SR nodes with ERO={SID LIST} and carrying stitching association group TLV and path segments. If the SR nodes is the border nodes of the SR domain, it correlates the two path segments and the related SID list if the related association ID is the same value.

The PECP procedure for the HPCE-initiated LSP is following:

The stateful H-PCE initiates the end-to-end path computation across multiple domains and selects a set of candidate domain paths based on the topology.

The stateful H-PCE sends PCInitiate message to every PCEs which the end-to-end path traversed, carrying inter-domain path segments

allocated by H-PCE, stitching LSP association group and the SID list in the ERO object.

The stateful child PCE in each domain perform active stateful procedure as defined in [I-D.ietf-pce-sr-path-segment].

4.2. PCC-initiated LSP

In case of passive path computation request to the ingress PCE from the ingress LSR, the H-PCE path computation procedure is applied to compute sequence of domains or end-to-end path by using PCReq and PCRep messages among stateful PCEs in passive mode.

In case of delegation to the ingress PCE (active stateful PCE), the ingress child PCE may further delegate to parent PCE as per [I-D.ietf-pce-stateful-hpce]. The parent PCE could update the path of the inter-domain LSP.

The ingress nodes of the source AS sends the PCReq message to its PCE, then the PCE sends PCReq message to the H-PCE or stateful PCEs in other domains. The PECP procedure for the PCC-initiated LSP in H-PCE model is as follow.

The ingress PCC from the ingress domain sends a PCReq request to the PCE which is responsible for the domain containing the destination information.

The ingress PCE sends the path computation request direct to the parent PCE.

The parent PCE computes the optimal end-to-end path and initiates the inter-domain paths to the child PCEs which the path traversed.

Each PCE sends PCInitiate message to ingress or egress nodes of its domain to initiate the LSPs.

5. Security Considerations

TBD.

6. IANA Considerations

TBD.

7. Acknowledgements

TBD.

8. References

8.1. Informative References

[RFC6805] King, D., Ed. and A. Farrel, Ed., "The Application of the Path Computation Element Architecture to the Determination of a Sequence of Domains in MPLS and GMPLS", RFC 6805, DOI 10.17487/RFC6805, November 2012, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6805>.

8.2. Normative References

[I-D.hu-pce-stitching-lsp-association]
Xiong, Q., Mirsky, G., hu, f., and W. Cheng, "Stitching LSP Association", draft-hu-pce-stitching-lsp-association-01 (work in progress), July 2019.

[I-D.ietf-pce-segment-routing]
Sivabalan, S., Filsfils, C., Tantsura, J., Henderickx, W., and J. Hardwick, "PCEP Extensions for Segment Routing", draft-ietf-pce-segment-routing-16 (work in progress), March 2019.

[I-D.ietf-pce-sr-path-segment]
Li, C., Chen, M., Cheng, W., Gandhi, R., and Q. Xiong, "Path Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP) Extension for Path Segment in Segment Routing (SR)", draft-ietf-pce-sr-path-segment-00 (work in progress), October 2019.

[I-D.ietf-pce-stateful-hpce]
Dhody, D., Lee, Y., Ceccarelli, D., Shin, J., and D. King, "Hierarchical Stateful Path Computation Element (PCE)", draft-ietf-pce-stateful-hpce-15 (work in progress), October 2019.

[I-D.ietf-spring-mpls-path-segment]
Cheng, W., Li, H., Chen, M., Gandhi, R., and R. Zigler, "Path Segment in MPLS Based Segment Routing Network", draft-ietf-spring-mpls-path-segment-01 (work in progress), September 2019.

[I-D.li-pce-sr-bidir-path]
Li, C., Chen, M., Cheng, W., Li, Z., Dong, J., Gandhi, R., and Q. Xiong, "PCEP Extensions for Associated Bidirectional Segment Routing (SR) Paths", draft-li-pce-sr-bidir-path-06 (work in progress), August 2019.

[I-D.xiong-spring-path-segment-sr-inter-domain]
Xiong, Q., Mirsky, G., and W. Cheng, "The Use of Path Segment in SR Inter-domain Scenarios", draft-xiong-spring-path-segment-sr-inter-domain-00 (work in progress), July 2019.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.

[RFC4105] Le Roux, J., Ed., Vasseur, J., Ed., and J. Boyle, Ed., "Requirements for Inter-Area MPLS Traffic Engineering", RFC 4105, DOI 10.17487/RFC4105, June 2005, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4105>.

[RFC4216] Zhang, R., Ed. and J. Vasseur, Ed., "MPLS Inter-Autonomous System (AS) Traffic Engineering (TE) Requirements", RFC 4216, DOI 10.17487/RFC4216, November 2005, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4216>.

[RFC4655] Farrel, A., Vasseur, J., and J. Ash, "A Path Computation Element (PCE)-Based Architecture", RFC 4655, DOI 10.17487/RFC4655, August 2006, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4655>.

[RFC5152] Vasseur, JP., Ed., Ayyangar, A., Ed., and R. Zhang, "A Per-Domain Path Computation Method for Establishing Inter-Domain Traffic Engineering (TE) Label Switched Paths (LSPs)", RFC 5152, DOI 10.17487/RFC5152, February 2008, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5152>.

[RFC5440] Vasseur, JP., Ed. and JL. Le Roux, Ed., "Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication Protocol (PCEP)", RFC 5440, DOI 10.17487/RFC5440, March 2009, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5440>.

[RFC5441] Vasseur, JP., Ed., Zhang, R., Bitar, N., and JL. Le Roux, "A Backward-Recursive PCE-Based Computation (BRPC) Procedure to Compute Shortest Constrained Inter-Domain Traffic Engineering Label Switched Paths", RFC 5441, DOI 10.17487/RFC5441, April 2009, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5441>.

[RFC8231] Crabbe, E., Minei, I., Medved, J., and R. Varga, "Path Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE", RFC 8231, DOI 10.17487/RFC8231, September 2017, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8231>.

[RFC8281] Crabbe, E., Minei, I., Sivabalan, S., and R. Varga, "Path Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for PCE-Initiated LSP Setup in a Stateful PCE Model", RFC 8281, DOI 10.17487/RFC8281, December 2017, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8281>.

Authors' Addresses

Quan Xiong
ZTE Corporation
No.6 Huashi Park Rd
Wuhan, Hubei 430223
China

Phone: +86 27 83531060
Email: xiong.quan@zte.com.cn

Greg Mirsky
ZTE Corporation
USA

Email: gregimirsky@gmail.com

Fangwei Hu
Individual
China

Email: hufwei@gmail.com

Weiqiang Cheng
China Mobile
Beijing
China

Email: chengweiqiang@chinamobile.com