INTERNET-DRAFT M. Yevstifeyev Intended Status: Informational March 7, 2011

Obsoletes: 4693 (if approved) Expires: September 8, 2011

Report on the Experiment with IETF Operational Notes (IONs) <draft-yevstifeyev-ion-report-00>

Abstract

This document is a report from the experiment with IETF Operational Notes (IONs), conducted by RFC 4693 in accordance with RFC 3933. It also obsoletes RFC 4693.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/1id-abstracts.html

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html

Copyright and License Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must

include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

1. Introduction

This document is a report from the experiment with IETF Operational Notes (IONs), conducted by RFC 4693 [RFC4693] in accordance with RFC 3933 [RFC3933]. It discusses the results of this experiment after first giving some background information on it in Section 2. This document obsoletes RFC 4693 [RFC4693].

1.1 Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

2. Background

IETF Operational Notes (IONs) are a series of documents established by $\overline{\text{RFC 4693}}$ [$\overline{\text{RFC4693}}$] on an experimental basis [$\overline{\text{RFC3933}}$] in 2006. This series is (in accordance with $\overline{\text{RFC 4693}}$])

intended for use as a repository for IETF operations documents, which should be more ephemeral than RFCs, but more referenceable than Internet-Drafts, and with more clear handling procedures than a random Web page.

RFC 4693 [RFC4693] contains some regulations regarding this series, such as ION attributes, approval procedure, ION store considerations, etc. It also proposes a set off initial IONs to be published and sets the sunset period [RFC3933] of 1 year.

3. Experiment Results

After establishing the IONs series per RFC 4693 [RFC4693], a number of this document proposed by that document were published. They include ION on sponsoring Individual submissions by ADs, ION on DISCUSS ballot criteria, ION on RFC 2026 [RFC2026] in practice, ION on subpoenas in the IETF and some others.

In 2008 it was identified that IONs experiment was closed.

The IESG has determined that IONs will not be used in the future.

It is clear that the IESG, IAB, and IAOC need the ability to publish documents that do not expire and are easily updated. Information published as web pages, including IESG Statements, are sufficient for this purpose.

was mentioned in IESG note on this topic [IESG-IONS] by Russ Housley.

Almost all of the IONs were republished as IESG statements (for example, ion-discuss-criteria was republished as IESG statement "DISCUSS Criteria in IESG Review" [DISCUSS]) or Web Pages (for example, ion-subpoena was republished as IAOC Web Page "Subpoenas in the IETF: Procedures" [SUBPOENAS]). Some of them were also discarded (mostly those IONs that describe internal procedures for ION formatting, approval and store).

4. Conclusion

Taking everything into account, it is now considered that IONs were an excessive facility, that does not give a great benefit to the IETF. This document formally concludes the IONs experiment [RFC4693]. The IONs series SHALL now be terminated; no new IONs MUST be approved or published.

5. Security Considerations

IONs did not include protocol specifications and therefore terminating this series is not believed to have any impact on the Internet security.

6. IANA Considerations

None. RFC Editor SHALL delete this section upon publication.

7. References

7.1. Normative References

[RFC2119] S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.

[RFC4693] Alvestrand, H., "IETF Operational Notes", <u>RFC 4693</u>, October 2006.

7.2. Informative References

- [RFC2026] Bradner, S., "The Internet Standards Process -- Revision 3", BCP 9, RFC 2026, October 1996.
- [RFC3933] Klensin, J. and S. Dawkins, "A Model for IETF Process Experiments", <u>BCP 93</u>, <u>RFC 3933</u>, November 2004.
- [SUBPOENAS] Alvestrand, H., Carpenter, B. and R. Pelletier,
 "Subpoenas in the IETF: Procedures", IAOC Web Page,
 January 2007. http://iaoc.ietf.org/subpoena.html>

Author's Addresses

Mykyta Yevstifeyev 8 Kuzovkov St., flat 25, Kotovsk Ukraine

EMail: evnikita2@gmail.com