Network Working Group Internet-Draft

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: July 11, 2012

R. Bush
Internet Initiative Japan
R. Austein
Dragon Research Labs
K. Patel
Cisco Systems
H. Gredler
Juniper Networks, Inc.
M. Waehlisch
FU Berlin
January 8, 2012

# RPKI Router Implementation Report draft-ymbk-rpki-rtr-impl-01

#### Abstract

This document provides an implementation report for RPKI Router protocol as defined in [I-D.ietf-sidr-rpki-rtr]. The editor did not verify the accuracy of the information provided by respondents or by any alternative means. The respondents are experts with the implementations they reported on, and their responses are considered authoritative for the implementations for which their responses represent. Respondents were asked to only use the YES answer if the feature had at least been tested in the lab.

#### Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in <a href="RFC 2119">RFC 2119</a> [RFC2119].

#### Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of  $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$  78 and  $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$  79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <a href="http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/">http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</a>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on July 11, 2012.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<a href="http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info">http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</a>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Inter	rnet-Draf	t t	<b>RPKI</b>
TILCEL	HEL-DI AI	L	ULIVI

Router Implementation Report January 2012

# Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Intro	duction				4
<u>2</u> .	Imple	mentation Forms				<u>4</u>
<u>3</u> .	Proto	col Data Units				<u>5</u>
<u>4</u> .	Proto	col Sequence				<u>6</u>
<u>5</u> .	Proto	col Transport				7
<u>6</u> .	Error	Codes				7
<u>7</u> .	Incre	mental Updates Support				8
<u>8</u> .	Sessi	on ID Support				8
<u>9</u> .	Incre	mental Session Startup Support				9
<u>10</u> .	Inter	operable Implementations				9
10	<u>3.1</u> .	Cisco Implementation				9
		Juniper Implementation				
10		rpki.net Implementation				
10	<u>3.4</u> .	RIPE NCC Implementation				<u>10</u>
10	<u> 9.5</u> .	RTRlib Implementation				<u>10</u>
10	<u>9.6</u> .	BBN RPSTIR Implementation				<u>10</u>
<u>11</u> .	IANA	Considerations				<u>10</u>
		ity considerations				
<u>13</u> .	Ackno	wledgements				<u>10</u>
<u>14</u> .	Norma	tive References				<u>10</u>
Auth	nors'.	Addresses				11

#### 1. Introduction

In order to formally validate the origin ASs of BGP announcements, routers need a simple but reliable mechanism to receive RPKI [I-D.ietf-sidr-rpki-rtr] prefix origin data from a trusted cache. The RPKI Router protocol defined in [I-D.ietf-sidr-rpki-rtr] provides a mechanism to deliver validated prefix origin data to routers.

This document provides an implementation report for the RPKI Router protocol as defined in [I-D.ietf-sidr-rpki-rtr].

The editor did not verify the accuracy of the information provided by respondents or by any alternative means. The respondents are experts with the implementations they reported on, and their responses are considered authoritative for the implementations for which their responses represent. Respondents were asked to only use the YES answer if the feature had at least been tested in the lab.

### 2. Implementation Forms

Contact and implementation information for person filling out this form:

IOS Name: Keyur Patel, Email: keyupate@cisco.com, Vendor: Cisco Systems, Inc. Release: IOS

XR Name: Forhad Ahmed, Email:foahmed@cisco.com, Vendor: Cisco Systems, Inc. Release: IOS-XR

JUNOS Name: Hannes Gredler, Email: hannes@juniper.net, Vendor: Juniper Networks, Inc., Release: JUNOS

rpki.net Name: Rob Austein, Email: sra@hactrn.net, Vendor: rpki.net project, Release: http://subvert-rpki.hactrn.net/trunk/

NCC Name: Tim Bruijnzeels, Email: tim@ripe.net, Vendor: RIPE NCC Release: RIPE NCC validator-app 2.0.0 <a href="https://">https://</a> certification.ripe.net/content/public-repo/releases/net/ripe/ rpki-validator/rpki-validator-app/2.0.0/ rpki-validator-app-2.0.0-bin.zip

RTRlib Name: Fabian Holler, Matthias Waehlisch, Email: waehlisch@ieee.org, Vendor: HAW Hamburg, FU Berlin, RTRlib project, Release: RTRlib 0.2 http://rpki.realmv6.org/

BBN Name: David Mandelberg, Email: dmandelb@bbn.com, Vendor: Raytheon/BBN Technologies, Release: RPSTIR 0.2 http://sourceforge.net/projects/rpstir/

## 3. Protocol Data Units

Does the implementation support Protocol Data Units (PDUs) as described in Section 5 of [I-D.ietf-sidr-rpki-rtr]?

+	+		+		<b></b>		++
 	IOS 	XR	JUNOS   	rpki .net	NCC	RTR-   lib	BBN
Rcv.   Serial   Notify	YES	YES	YES   	YES	UNIT   TEST	YES	SYS   TEST
Snd.   Serial   Notify	   NO 	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO   	YES
Rcv.   Serial	   NO 	NO		YES	YES	NO	YES
Query   Snd.   Serial	   YES 	YES		YES	UNIT   TEST	YES	SYS   TEST
Query   Rcv.   Reset	   NO 	NO		YES	YES	NO	YES
Query   Snd.   Reset	l   YES 	YES		YES	UNIT	YES	SYS   TEST
Query   Rcv.   Cache	   YES 	YES		YES	UNIT	YES	SYS   TEST
Resp.   Snd.   Cache	   NO 	NO		YES	YES	NO	YES
Resp.   Rcv. IPv4   Prefix	   YES 	YES	   YES	YES	   UNIT     TEST	YES	   SYS
Snd. IPv4   Prefix	l NO	NO	NO   	YES	YES	NO	YES
Rcv. IPv6   Prefix	YES	YES	YES   	YES	UNIT TEST	YES	SYS   TEST
Snd. IPv6   Prefix	NO 	NO	NO   	YES	YES	NO	YES
Rcv. End   of Data	YES	YES	YES	YES	UNIT TEST	YES	SYS   TEST

Snd. End   of Data	NO 	NO 	NO	YES	YES	l NO	YES
Rcv.	YES	YES	YES	YES	UNIT	YES	SYS
Cache					TEST		TEST
Reset							1
Snd.	NO	NO NO	NO NO	YES	YES	NO	YES
Cache							1
Reset							1
Rcv.	YES	YES	N0~1	YES	YES	YES	YES
Error							1
Report							1
Snd.	YES	NO NO	NO NO	YES	YES	YES	YES
Error							1
Report							1
+	-+	+			+	+	++

1) No, Error PDU gets silently ignored

# 4. Protocol Sequence

Does RPKI Router protocol implementation follow the four protocol sequences as outlined in Section 6 of [I-D.ietf-sidr-rpki-rtr]?

S1: Start or Restart

S2: Typical Exchange

S3: Generation of Incremental Updates Sequence

S4: Receipt of Incremental Updates Sequence

S5: Generation of Cache has No data Sequence

+	+		+	-+-		-+-		+-		+-	+
	IOS	XR	JUNOS		rpki.net		NCC		RTRlib		BBN
+	+		+	-+		-+-		+-		+-	+
S1	YES	YES	YES		YES		YES		YES		YES
S2	YES	YES	YES		YES		NO~1		YES		YES
S3	NO NO	NO	NO NO		YES		NO		YES		YES
S4	YES	YES	YES		YES		NO		YES		NO
S5	NO	NO	NO NO		YES		YES		YES		YES
+	+		+	-+-		-+-		+-		+-	+

1) NO, we always respond as described in 6.3 of [I-D.ietf-sidr-rpki-rtr]

# **5**. Protocol Transport

Does RPKI Router protocol implementation support different protocol transport mechanism outlined in Section 7 of [I-D.ietf-sidr-rpki-rtr]?

+	-+		+-	+		-+		+	+		+		+
1		IOS		XR	JUNOS		rpki.net		NCC	RTRlib		BBN	
+	-+		-+-	+		-+		+	+		+		+
SSH		NO		YES	NO		YES		NO	YES		YES~1	
TLS		NO		NO	NO		YES		NO	NO		YES~2	
TCP		YES		YES	YES		YES		YES	YES		YES	
TCP-MD5		NO		NO	NO		NO		NO	NO		NO	
TCP-A0		NO		NO	NO		NO		NO	NO		NO	
+	-+		-+-	+		- +		+	+		+		+

- 1) Yes, using netcat as the ssh subsystem to connect to the RTR server on localhost via TCP. This is currently untested.
- 2) Yes, using stunnel to verify client certificates and forward traffic to the server on localhost via TCP. This is currently untested.

## 6. Error Codes

Does RPKI Router protocol implementation support different protocol error codes outlined in Section 10 of [I-D.ietf-sidr-rpki-rtr]?

+++	-++   JUNOS	rpki.net	++   NCC	+   RTRlib	BBN
Rcv.0   YES   YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES
Snd.0   YES   YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES
Rcv.1   YES   YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES
Snd.1   YES   YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES
Rcv.2   YES   YES	NO	YES	N/A	YES	YES
Snd.2   YES   YES	NO	YES	YES	N/A	YES
Rcv.3   YES   YES	NO	YES	N/A	YES	YES
Snd.3   NO   NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES
Rcv.4   YES   YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES
Snd.4   YES   YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES
Rcv.5   YES   YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES
Snd.5   YES   YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES
Rcv.6   NO   NO	NO	YES	YES~1	N/A	YES
Snd.6   YES   YES	NO	NO	N/A	YES	SYS TEST
Rcv.7   NO   NO	NO	YES	YES~1	N/A	YES
Snd.7   YES   YES	NO	NO	N/A	YES	SYS TEST
+	++		+		+

1) YES, but... fatal, so connection is dropped, but cache does not conclude it's inconsistent

# 7. Incremental Updates Support

RPKI Router protocol does support Incremental Updates defined in Section 4 of [I-D.ietf-sidr-rpki-rtr].

++-			+	+ -	+
IOS   XR					
NO   NO	YES~1	YES	NO	YES	YES

1) YES, receive side support

# 8. Session ID Support

Session ID is used to indicate that the cache server may have restarted and that the incremental restart may not be possible.

Does RPKI Router protocol implementation support Session ID procedures outlined in Section 5.10 of [I-D.ietf-sidr-rpki-rtr]?

+	+	+	-++	+	+
IOS   XR					
++					
+		•			

1) NO, using random, but will FIX

# 9. Incremental Session Startup Support

RPKI Router protocol does support Incremental session startups with Serial Number and Session ID defined in the protocol. Does RPKI Router protocol implementation support Incremental Session Startup Support as defined in section 5.4 of [I-D.ietf-sidr-rpki-rtr].

++	+		++	+	+
IOS   XR	JUNOS	rpki.net	NCC	RTRlib	BBN
++	+		++	+	+
YES   YES	-				

## <u>10</u>. Interoperable Implementations

List other implementations that you have tested interoperability of RPKI Router Implementation.

## <u>10.1</u>. Cisco Implementation

Cisco: The Cisco IOS and IOS-XR implementation should be interoperable with other vendor RPKI Router Protocol implementations. In particular we have tested our interoperability with rpki.net's RPKI Router implementation.

## 10.2. Juniper Implementation

Juniper: The Juniper Networks, Inc. JUNOS implementation should be interoperable with other vendor RPKI Router Protocol implementations. In particular we have tested our interoperability with rpki.net's and NCCs RPKI Router Cache implementation.

#### 10.3. rpki.net Implementation

rpki.net: The rpki.net implementation should operate with other rpkirtr implementations. In particular, we have tested our interoperability with Cisco IOS, Cisco IOS-XR, and Juniper.

## 10.4. RIPE NCC Implementation

RIPE NCC: The RIPE NCC validator has been tested by us with other rpki-rtr implementations. In particular we have tested with RTRLib and CISCO IOS. We received positive feedback from close contacts testing our validator with JUNOS and Quagga.

### 10.5. RTRlib Implementation

RTRlib: The RTRlib has been tested by us with other rpki-rtr implementations. In particular, we have tested with rtr-origin from rpki.net and RIPE NCC Validator.

## 10.6. BBN RPSTIR Implementation

BBN RPSTIR: We have not yet tested with any other implementations.

#### 11. IANA Considerations

This document makes no request of IANA.

Note to RFC Editor: this section may be removed on publication as an RFC.

## 12. Security considerations

No new security issues are introduced to the RPKI Router protocol defined in [I-D.ietf-sidr-rpki-rtr].

#### 13. Acknowledgements

TBD....

## 14. Normative References

```
[I-D.ietf-sidr-rpki-rtr]
           Bush, R. and R. Austein, "The RPKI/Router Protocol",
           draft-ietf-sidr-rpki-rtr-22 (work in progress),
           December 2011.
```

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

# Authors' Addresses

Randy Bush Internet Initiative Japan 5147 Crystal Springs Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110 US

Email: randy@psg.com

Rob Austein Dragon Research Labs

Email: sra@hactrn.net

Keyur Patel Cisco Systems 170 West Tasman Drive San Jose, CA 95134 US

Email: keyupate@cisco.com

Hannes Gredler Juniper Networks, Inc. 1194 N. Mathilda Ave. Sunnyvale, CA 94089 US

Email: hannes@juniper.net

Matthias Waehlisch FU Berlin Takustr. 9 Berlin 14195 Germany

Email: waehlisch@ieee.org

URI: <a href="http://www.inf.fu-berlin.de/~waehl">http://www.inf.fu-berlin.de/~waehl</a>