

Network Working Group R.  
Bush  
Internet-Draft Internet Initiative  
Japan  
Updates: [6811](#) (if approved) R.  
Volk  
Intended status: Standards Track Deutsche  
Telekom  
Expires: December 1, 2019 J.  
Heitz  
  
Cisco  
  
2019 May 30,

**BGP RPKI-Based Origin Validation on Export  
draft-ymbk-sidrops-ov-egress-01**

Abstract

A BGP speaker may perform RPKI origin validation not only on routes received from BGP neighbors and routes that are redistributed from other routing protocols, but also on routes it sends to BGP neighbors. For egress policy, it is important that the classification uses the effective origin AS of the processed route, which may specifically be altered by the commonly available knobs such as removing private ASs, confederation handling, and other modifications of the origin AS.

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)] only when they appear in all upper case. They may also appear in lower or mixed case as English words, without normative meaning.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 1, 2019.



## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## 1. Introduction

This document does not change the protocol or semantics of [\[RFC6811\]](#) of RPKI-based origin validation. It highlights an important use case of origin validation in eBGP egress policies, explaining specifics of correct implementation in this context.

As the origin AS may be modified by outbound policy, policy semantics based on RPKI Origin Validation state MUST be able to be applied separately on distribution into BGP and on egress.

When applied to egress policy, the effective origin AS MUST be used to determine the Origin Validation state. The effective origin AS is that which will actually be the origin AS in the announcement. It might be affected by removal of private AS(s), confederation, AS migration, etc. If there are any AS\_PATH modifications resulting in origin AS change, then these MUST be taken into account.

## 2. Suggested Reading

It is assumed that the reader understands BGP, [\[RFC4271\]](#), the RPKI, [\[RFC6480\]](#), Route Origin Authorizations (ROAs), [\[RFC6482\]](#), RPKI-based Prefix Validation, [\[RFC6811\]](#), and Origin Validation Clarifications, [\[RFC8481\]](#).

## 3. Egress Processing

BGP implementations supporting RPKI-based origin validation SHOULD provide the same policy configuration primitives for decisions based on validation state available for use in ingress, redistribution, and egress policies. When applied to egress policy, validation state

MUST be determined using the effective origin AS of the route as it will (or would) be announced to the peer. The effective origin AS

Bush, et al.  
2]

Expires December 1, 2019

[Page

may differ from that of the route in the RIB due to commonly available knobs such as: removal of private ASs, AS path manipulation, confederation handling, etc.

Egress policy handling can provide more robust protection for outbound eBGP than relying solely on ingress (iBGP, eBGP, connected, static, etc.) redistribution being configured and working correctly

-  
better support for the robustness principle.

#### **4. Operational Considerations**

Configurations may have complex policy where the final announced origin AS may not be easily predicted before all policies have been run. Therefore it SHOULD be possible to specify an origin validation policy which MUST BE run after such non-deterministic policies.

An operator SHOULD be able to list what announcements are not sent to a peer because they were marked Invalid, as long as the router still has them in memory.

#### **5. Security Considerations**

This document does not create security considerations beyond those of [RFC6811] and [RFC8481].

#### **6. IANA Considerations**

This document has no IANA Considerations.

#### **7. References**

##### **7.1. Normative References**

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC6482] Lepinski, M., Kent, S., and D. Kong, "A Profile for Route Origin Authorizations (ROAs)", [RFC 6482](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6482, February 2012, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6482>>.
- [RFC6811] Mohapatra, P., Scudder, J., Ward, D., Bush, R., and R. Austein, "BGP Prefix Origin Validation", [RFC 6811](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6811, January 2013, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6811>>.



[RFC8481] Bush, R., "Clarifications to BGP Origin Validation Based on Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI)", [RFC 8481](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8481, September 2018, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8481>>.

## **7.2. Informative References**

[RFC4271] Rekhter, Y., Ed., Li, T., Ed., and S. Hares, Ed., "A Border Gateway Protocol 4 (BGP-4)", [RFC 4271](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4271, January 2006, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4271>>.

[RFC6480] Lepinski, M. and S. Kent, "An Infrastructure to Support Secure Internet Routing", [RFC 6480](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6480, February 2012, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6480>>.

### Authors' Addresses

Randy Bush  
Internet Initiative Japan  
5147 Crystal Springs  
Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110  
US

Email: [randy@psg.com](mailto:randy@psg.com)

Ruediger Volk  
Deutsche Telekom

Jakob Heitz  
Cisco  
170 West Tasman Drive  
San Jose, CA 95134  
USA

Email: [jheitz@cisco.com](mailto:jheitz@cisco.com)

