Network Working Group

Internet-Draft

Intended status: Informational

Expires: January 13, 2013

Y. YONEYA JPRS T. NEMOTO Keio University July 12, 2012

Mapping characters for precis classes draft-yoneya-precis-mappings-02

Abstract

Preparation and comparison of internationalized strings ("precis") framework [I-D.ietf-precis-framework] is defining several classes of strings for preparation and comparison. In the document, case mapping is defined because many of protocols handle case sensitive or case insensitive string comparison and therefore preparation of string is mandatory. As described in IDNA mapping [RFC5895] and precis problem statement [I-D.ietf-precis-problem-statement], mappings in internationalized strings are not limited to case, but also width, delimiters and/or other specials are taken into consideration. This document is a guideline for authors of protocol profiles of precis framework and describes the mappings that must be considered between receiving user input and passing permitted code points to internationalized protocols.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$ 78 and $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$ 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 13, 2013.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

1. Introduction

In many cases, user input of internationalized strings is generated by input method editor ("IME") or copy-and-paste from free text. Usually users do not care case and/or width of input characters because they are identical for users' eyes. Further, users rarely switch IME state to input special characters such as protocol elements. For Internationalized Domain Names ("IDNs"), IDNA Mapping [RFC5895] describes methods to treat these issues. For precis strings, case mapping is defined as a process in precis framework [I-D.ietf-precis-framework], but width mapping, delimiter mapping and/or special mapping are not defined. Handling of mappings other than case is also important to increase chance of strings match as users expect. This document is a guideline for authors of protocol profiles of precis framework and describes the mappings that must be considered between receiving user input and passing permitted code points to internationalized protocols.

2. Types of mapping

This document defines two types of mapping. One is protocol independent mapping that doesn't depend on protocol rules and the other is protocol dependent mapping that depend on protocol rules. This document defines some mappings in these mapping types. Authors of protocol profiles of precis framework should need to give careful consideration to choice of mappings.

Each mapping type is described in following sections.

3. Protocol independent mapping

Protocol independent mapping is a mapping that doesn't depend on protocol rules.

3.1. Width mapping

Fullwidth and halfwidth characters (those defined with Decomposition Types <wide> and <narrow>) are mapped to their decomposition mappings as shown in the Unicode character database [Unicode].

Width mapping will increase backward compatibility with Stringprep [RFC3454] and precis framework [I-D.ietf-precis-framework]. Because in a Stringprep profile which specifies Unicode normalization form KC (NFKC) for normalization method, fullwidth/halfwidth characters are mapped into its compatible form. If a precis framework profile specified NFKC (which is not recommended), width mapping might not be useful.

4. Protocol dependent mapping

Protocol dependent mapping is a mapping that depend on protocol rules.

4.1. Delimiter mapping

Definitions of delimiters in certain protocols are differ from each other. Therefore, delimiter mapping table should be based on well defined mapping table for each protocol.

One of the most useful case of delimiter mapping is when FULL STOP character (U+002E) is a delimiter as well as domain name. Some of IME generates FULL STOP compatible characters such as IDEOGRAPHIC FULL STOP (U+3002) when users type FULL STOP on the keyboard.

4.2. Special mapping

Certain protocols have characters which need to map different character from precis framework defined mapping rule other than delimiter characters. In this document, these mappings are named special mapping. They are differ from each protocol. Therefore, special mapping table should be based on well defined mapping table for each protocol. Examples of special mapping are following;

- o White spaces are mapped to SPACE (U+0020)
- o Some characters such as control characters are mapped to nothing (Deletion)

LDAPprep[RFC4518] defines the rule that some codepoints(Appendix B.4) are mapped to SPACE (U+0020).

4.3. Local case mapping

Local case mapping is case folding that depend on language context. Examples of characters that need local case mapping are following;

- o GREEK SMALL LETTER FINAL SIGMA (U+03C2)
- o LATIN SMALL LETTER SHARP S (U+00DF)
- o LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I WITH DOT ABOVE (U+0130)
- o LATIN SMALL LETTER DOTLESS I (U+0131)

For example, given there is upper case I in a user ID strings, you should care what's language context that this user ID depend on when

this character is mapped into lower case character. And if this depends on Turkish, the character should be mapped into LATIN SMALL LETTER DOTLESS I (U+0131) as this character's lower case.

5. Applying order of mapping

Basically, applying order of mapping that this document describes aren't sensitive. This section defines applying order of mapping to minimize effect of codepoint change by mappings. This mapping order is very general and was designed to be acceptable to the widest user community.

- 1. width mapping
- 2. delimiter mapping
- 3. special mapping
- 4. local case mapping
- 5. precis framework

Mappings that this document describes should be performed before precis framework.

6. IANA Considerations

TBD.

7. Security Considerations

TBD.

8. Acknowledgment

Martin Duerst suggested a need for the case folding about the mapping(map final sigma to sigma, German sz to ss,.).

Pete Resnick et al. gave important suggestion for this document during at WG meeting.

9. References

- [RFC3454] Hoffman, P. and M. Blanchet, "Preparation of Internationalized Strings ("stringprep")", RFC 3454, December 2002.
- [RFC3491] Hoffman, P. and M. Blanchet, "Nameprep: A Stringprep Profile for Internationalized Domain Names (IDN)", RFC 3491, March 2003.
- [RFC3722] Bakke, M., "String Profile for Internet Small Computer Systems Interface (iSCSI) Names", <u>RFC 3722</u>, April 2004.
- [RFC3748] Aboba, B., Blunk, L., Vollbrecht, J., Carlson, J., and H. Levkowetz, "Extensible Authentication Protocol (EAP)", RFC 3748, June 2004.
- [RFC4013] Zeilenga, K., "SASLprep: Stringprep Profile for User Names and Passwords", <u>RFC 4013</u>, February 2005.
- [RFC4314] Melnikov, A., "IMAP4 Access Control List (ACL) Extension", RFC 4314, December 2005.
- [RFC4518] Zeilenga, K., "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): Internationalized String Preparation", RFC 4518, June 2006.
- [RFC5895] Resnick, P. and P. Hoffman, "Mapping Characters for Internationalized Domain Names in Applications (IDNA) 2008", <u>RFC 5895</u>, September 2010.
- [RFC6122] Saint-Andre, P., "Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol (XMPP): Address Format", RFC 6122, March 2011.
- [I-D.ietf-precis-framework]
 Saint-Andre, P. and M. Blanchet, "PRECIS Framework:
 Preparation and Comparison of Internationalized Strings in
 Application Protocols", <u>draft-ietf-precis-framework-03</u>
 (work in progress), May 2012.

July 2012.

[Unicode] The Unicode Consortium, "The Unicode Standard, Version 6.1.0", http://www.unicode.org/versions/Unicode6.1.0/, 2012.

<u>Appendix A</u>. Mapping type list each protocol

<u>A.1</u>. Mapping type list for each protocol

This table is the mapping type list for each protocol. Values marked "o" indicate that the protocol use the type of mapping. Values marked "-" indicate that the protocol doesn't use the type of mapping.

\ Type of mapping RFC \	+ Width (NFKC) +	+ Delimiter +	+ Case 	Special Special
3490	-	0	· -	-
3491	0	-	0	-
3722	о	-	0	-
3748	о	-	-	0
4013	о	-	-	0
4314	0	-	-	0
4518	0	-	0	0
6120	-	-	0	-
+	+	+	+	+

Appendix B. Codepoints which need special mapping

B.1. RFC3748

Non-ASCII space characters [StringPrep, C.1.2] that can be mapped to SPACE (U+0020).

B.2. RFC4013

Non-ASCII space characters [StringPrep, C.1.2] that can be mapped to SPACE (U+0020).

B.3. RFC4314

Non-ASCII space characters [StringPrep, C.1.2] that can be mapped to SPACE (U+0020).

B.4. RFC4518

Codepoints mapped to SPACE (U+0020) are following;

```
U+0009 (CHARACTER TABULATION)
U+000A (LINE FEED (LF))
U+000B (LINE TABULATION)
U+000C (FORM FEED (FF))
U+000D (CARRIAGE RETURN (CR))
U+0085 (NEXT LINE (NEL))
U+0020 (SPACE)
U+00A0 (NO-BREAK SPACE)
U+1680 (OGHAM SPACE MARK)
U+2000 (EN QUAD)
U+2001 (EM QUAD)
U+2002 (EN SPACE)
U+2003 (EM SPACE)
U+2004 (THREE-PER-EM SPACE)
U+2005 (FOUR-PER-EM SPACE)
U+2006 (SIX-PER-EM SPACE)
U+2007 (FIGURE SPACE)
U+2008 (PUNCTUATION SPACE)
U+2009 (THIN SPACE)
U+200A (HAIR SPACE)
U+2028 (Line Separator)
U+2029 (Paragraph Separator)
U+202F (NARROW NO-BREAK SPACE)
U+205F (MEDIUM MATHEMATICAL SPACE)
U+3000 (IDEOGRAPHIC SPACE)
```

All other control code (e.g., Cc) points or code points with a

control function (e.g., Cf) are mapped to nothing. Codepoints mapped to nothing that aren't specified by Stringprep are following;

U+0000-0008

U+000E-001F

U+007F-0084

U+0086-009F

U+06DD

U+070F

U+180E

U+200E-200F

U+202A-202E

U+2061-2063

U+206A-206F

U+FFF9-FFB

U+1D173-1D17A

U+E0001

U+E0020-E007F

Appendix C. Change Log

C.1. Changes since -00

- o Add the <u>Section 2.3</u> "Special mapping" in <u>Section 2</u> Type of mappings.
- o Add the topic about the special mapping and additional case mapping in <u>Section 3</u> "Discussion".
- o Add Appendices;

```
Appendix A "Mapping type list each protocols"
Appendix B "Code point list is need special mapping"
Appendix C "Change Log"
```

o Add the <u>Section 8</u> "Acknowledgment".

C.2. Changes since -01

- o Modify document structure as a guideline for authors of protocol profiles of precis framework.
- o Group mappings that this document defines into two types.
- o Add the <u>Section 5</u> "Applying order of mapping".
- o Delete the <u>section 3</u> "Discussion".

Authors' Addresses

Yoshiro YONEYA JPRS Chiyoda First Bldg. East 13F 3-8-1 Nishi-Kanda Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-0065 Japan

Phone: +81 3 5215 8451

Email: yoshiro.yoneya@jprs.co.jp

Takahiro NEMOTO Keio University Graduate School of Media Design 4-1-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku Yokohama, Kanagawa 223-8526 Japan

Phone: +81 45 564 2517

Email: t.nemo10@kmd.keio.ac.jp