Workgroup: Network Working Group Internet-Draft: draft-zhang-idr-sr-policy-template-00 Published: 20 October 2021 Intended Status: Informational Expires: 23 April 2022 Authors: K. Zhang Z. Hu J. Dong Huawei Huawei Huawei BGP SR Policy Extensions for template

#### Abstract

Segment Routing(SR) Policies can be advertised using BGP. An SR Policy may has lots of constraints, and as the application and features evolve, the SR Policy may need have more and more attribute constraints. To avoid modifying BGP when constraints are added to an SR Policy, we can define a template. The identifier and content of the template are defined by the receiver of the SR Policy. The advertiser of an SR policy only needs to know the ID of the template. When advertising SR policy, the advertiser carries the template ID in the tunnel encapsulation information of the SR policy. After receiving the SR Policy information, the receiver obtains the corresponding template and content according to the template ID, thereby obtaining abundant constraint configuration information.

#### Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

# Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/">https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</a>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress." This Internet-Draft will expire on 23 April 2022.

# **Copyright Notice**

Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<u>https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</u>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

# Table of Contents

- <u>1</u>. <u>Introduction</u>
- <u>2</u>. <u>Terminology</u>
- <u>3</u>. <u>Template ID defination</u>
- 4. <u>SR Policy and Tunnel Encapsulation Attribute Update</u>
- 4.1. <u>Template ID sub-TLV</u>
- 5. <u>SR Policy Operations</u>
  - 5.1. Advertisement of SR Policies
  - 5.2. <u>Reception of an SR Policy</u>
- <u>6</u>. <u>Acknowledgements</u>
- 7. IANA Considerations
- <u>8</u>. <u>Security Considerations</u>
- <u>9</u>. <u>References</u>

Authors' Addresses

# 1. Introduction

[I-D.ietf-idr-segment-routing-te-policy]defines some attributes encoding of the SR Policy path. However, in actual applications, there are many other constraints of SR Policy path. These constraints are valid only on the device where the SR Policy path is installed. Such constraints may include backup protection, Bidirectional Forwarding Detection information, traffic statistics collection, or in-situ Flow Information Telemetry detection information, etc. If these constraints are directly delivered through BGP, the BGP SR Policy protocol may change frequently. This document defines a general method to carry the path constraints of SR Policies.

### 2. Terminology

SR Policy: An ordered list of segments.

Candidate Path: the unit for signaling of an SR Policy to a headend via protocol extensions like Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication Protocol (PCEP) [<u>RFC8664</u>] [<u>I-D.ietf-pce-segment-</u> <u>routing-policy-cp</u>] or BGP SR Policy [<u>I-D.ietf-idr-segment-routing-</u> <u>te-policy</u>].

SRPM: SR Policy Module.

Template: A collection of constraints sets.

Template ID: The identifier of a template.

## 3. Template ID defination

To support the constraints extension of SR Policies, this document defines a constraint template identifier. The constraint template ID is valid only for the recipient. The SR policy publisher only needs to carry the template ID when publishing the SR policy. The receiver of the SR Policy may create a template corresponding to the template identifier in advance before receiving the SR Policy, or may define a corresponding template after receiving the template definition of the SR Policy. The template can contain any constraints on the SR Policy path, including but not limited to backup protection, Bidirectional Forwarding Detection information, traffic statistics collection, or in-situ Flow Information Telemetry detection information, etc. After receiving the SR Policy information, the receiver matches the template information based on the template ID and adds constraints to the SR Policy based on the constraints defined in the template.

#### 4. SR Policy and Tunnel Encapsulation Attribute Update

As the template ID is defined, the tunnel attribute encapsulation of the BGP SR Policy needs to be updated.

The SR Policy Encoding structure is as follows:

SR Policy SAFI NLRI: <Distinguisher, Policy-Color, Endpoint>

```
Attributes:
Tunnel Encaps Attribute (23)
   Tunnel Type: SR Policy
     Binding SID
     Preference
     Priority
     Policy Name
     Policy Candidate Path Name
     Explicit NULL Label Policy (ENLP)
     Tempate ID
     Segment List
        Weight
        Segment
        Segment
        . . . .
     . . . .
```

Where Tempate ID indicates the template ID for the SR Policy candidate path.

# 4.1. Template ID sub-TLV

A new sub-TLV called Template ID sub-TLV is defined. Template ID sub-TLV specifies the template ID of an SR policy candidate path. Each sub-TLV is encoded as shown in Figure 1.

Θ			1						2								3							
0 1 2	345	6 7	0 1	2 3	34	5	6	7	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
+ - + - +	-+-+-+	-+-+	-+-+	- +	+-+.	-+-	- + -	+ -	- + -	+ -	. + -	. + -	+ -	- + -	- + -	. + .	-+-	- + -	- + -	-+-	- + -	-+-	- + -	- + -
	Туре			Le	engt	th						F]	Laç	js					R	ESE	ER۱	/EI	)	
+ - + - + -	-+-+-+	-+-+	-+-+	-+	+-+.	-+-	-+-	+ -	- + -	+ -	. + -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	.+.	+ -	- + -	- + -	-+-	- + -	+ -	- + -	+-
Template ID(4 octets)																								
+-																								

Figure 1: Figure 1: Template ID Sub-TLV

Type: Template ID, 1 octet, TBD.

Length: 6.

Flags: 1 octet of flags. None are defined at this stage. Flags SHOULD be set to zero on transmission and MUST be ignored on receipt.

RESERVED: 1 octet of reserved bits. SHOULD be set to zero on transmission and MUST be ignored on receipt.

Template ID: a 4-octet value.

#### 5. SR Policy Operations

#### 5.1. Advertisement of SR Policies

When BGP advertises an SR Policy, different candidate paths of the same SR Policy may have different template IDs or the same template ID, depending on the constraints required by the candidate paths of the SR Policy.

### 5.2. Reception of an SR Policy

When a BGP speaker receives an SR Policy NLRI from a neighbor, BGP Speaker determines determine if it's acceptable as described in [<u>I-</u><u>D.ietf-idr-segment-routing-te-policy</u>]. Once BGP on the receiving node has determined that the SR Policy NLRI is usable, it passes the SR Policy candidate path to the SRPM. The SRPM then determine how to use the template ID in SR Policy.

The SRPM should find the template by template ID, and determines the constraints to use when install the candidate path. If there is no template find, the SRPM should ignore the template ID and use the candidate path as there is no template ID.

#### 6. Acknowledgements

TBD.

## 7. IANA Considerations

This document requests that IANA allocates a new sub-TLV type as defined in Section 4.1 from the "Sub-TLVs for SR Policy" registry as specified.

Value	Description	Reference				
TBD	SR Policy Template ID	This document				

Figure 2: Figure 2: Template ID sub-TLV

#### 8. Security Considerations

These extensions to BGP SR Policy do not add any new security issues to the existing protocol.

# 9. References

# [I-D.ietf-idr-segment-routing-te-policy]

Previdi, S., Filsfils, C., Talaulikar, K., Mattes, P., Rosen, E., Jain, D., and S. Lin, "Advertising Segment Routing Policies in BGP", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-idr-segment-routing-te-policy-13, 7 June 2021, <<u>https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-</u> <u>idr-segment-routing-te-policy-13.txt</u>>.

### [I-D.ietf-pce-segment-routing-policy-cp]

Koldychev, M., Sivabalan, S., Barth, C., Peng, S., and H. Bidgoli, "PCEP extension to support Segment Routing Policy Candidate Paths", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-pce-segment-routing-policy-cp-05, 23 May 2021, <<u>https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-</u> pce-segment-routing-policy-cp-05.txt>.

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/ RFC2119, March 1997, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/</u> rfc2119>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174</u>>.
- [RFC8664] Sivabalan, S., Filsfils, C., Tantsura, J., Henderickx, W., and J. Hardwick, "Path Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for Segment Routing", RFC 8664, DOI 10.17487/RFC8664, December 2019, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8664</u>>.

# Authors' Addresses

Ka Zhang Huawei Huawei Bld., No.156 Beiqing Rd. Beijing 100095 China Email: <u>zhangka@huawei.com</u> Zhibo Hu Huawei Huawei Bld., No.156 Beiqing Rd. Beijing 100095 China

Email: <u>huzhibo@huawei.com</u>

Jie Dong Huawei Huawei Bld., No.156 Beiqing Rd. Beijing 100095 China

Email: jie.dong@huawei.com