LSR Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: August 26, 2021

Y. Zhu
China Telecom
J. Dong
Z. Hu
Huawei Technologies

February 22, 2021

Using Flex-Algo for Segment Routing based VTN draft-zhu-lsr-isis-sr-vtn-flexalgo-02

Abstract

Enhanced VPN (VPN+) aims to provide enhanced VPN service to support some application's needs of enhanced isolation and stringent performance requirements. VPN+ requires integration between the overlay VPN connectivity and the characteristics provided the underlay network. A Virtual Transport Network (VTN) is a virtual underlay network which has a customized network topology and a set of network resources allocated from the physical network. A VTN could be used as the underlay for one or a group of VPN+ services.

In some network scenarios, each VTN can be associated with a unique Flex-Algo Identifier. This document describes a mechanism to build the SR based VTNs using SR Flex-Algo and IGP L2 bundle with minor extensions.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

Enhanced VPN (VPN+) is an enhancement to VPN services to support the needs of new applications, particularly including the applications that are associated with 5G services. These applications require enhanced isolation and have more stringent performance requirements than that can be provided with traditional overlay VPNs. Thus these properties require integration between the underlay and the overlay networks. [I-D.ietf-teas-enhanced-vpn] specifies the framework of enhanced VPN and describes the candidate component technologies in different network planes and layers. An enhanced VPN may be used for 5G transport network slicing, and will also be of use in other generic scenarios.

To meet the requirement of enhanced VPN services, a number of virtual transport networks (VTN) can be created, each with a subset of the underlay network topology and a set of network resources allocated

from the underlay network to meet the requirement of a specific VPN+ service or a group of VPN+ services. Another possible approach is to create a set of point-to-point paths, each with a set of network resource reserved along the path, such paths are called Virtual Transport Paths (VTPs). Although using a set of dedicated VTPs can provide similar characteristics as VTN, it has some scalability issues due to the per-path state in the network.

[I-D.ietf-spring-resource-aware-segments] introduces resource awareness to Segment Routing (SR) [RFC8402]. As described in [I-D.ietf-spring-sr-for-enhanced-vpn], the resource-aware SIDs can be used to build virtual transport networks (VTNs) with the required network topology and network resource attributes to support enhanced VPN services. With segment routing based data plane, Segment Identifiers (SIDs) can be used to represent both the topology and the set of network resources allocated by network nodes to a VTN. The SIDs of each VTN and the associated topology and resource attributes need to be distributed using control plane.

[I-D.dong-lsr-sr-enhanced-vpn] defines the IGP mechanisms with necessary extensions to build a set of Segment Routing (SR) based VTNs. The VTNs could be used as the underlay of the enhanced VPN service. The mechanism described in [I-D.dong-lsr-sr-enhanced-vpn] allows flexible combination of the topology and resource attribute to build customized VTNs. In some network scenarios, each VTN can be associated with a unique Flex-Algo and allocated with a set of dedicated network resources. This document describes a mechanism to build the SR based VTNs using SR Flex-Algo and IGP L2 bundle with minor extensions.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP14 RFC 2119 [RFC2119] RFC 8174 [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2. Advertisement of SR VTN Topology Attribute

[I-D.ietf-lsr-flex-algo] specifies the mechanism to provide distributed constraint-path computation, and the usage of SR-MPLS prefix-SIDs and SRv6 locators for steering traffic along the constrained paths.

The Flex-Algo definition is the combination of calculation-type, metric-type and the topological constraints used for path computation. According to the network nodes' participation of a

Flex-Algo, and the rules of including or excluding Admin Groups (i.e. colors) and Shared Risk Link Groups (SRLGs), the topology attribute of a VTN can be described using the associated Flex-Algo. If each VTN is associated with a unique Flex-Algo, the Flex-Algo identifier could be reused as the identifier of the VTN in the control plane.

With the mechanisms defined in[RFC8667] [I-D.ietf-lsr-flex-algo], SR-MPLS prefix-SID advertisement can be associated with a specific topology and a specific algorithm, which can be a Flex-Algo. This allows the nodes to use the prefix-SIDs to steer traffic along distributed computed constraint paths according to the associated Flex-Algo in a particular topology.

[I-D.ietf-lsr-isis-srv6-extensions] specifies the IS-IS extensions to support SRv6 data plane, in which the SRv6 locators advertisement can be associated with a topology and a specific algorithm, which can be a Flex-Algo. This allows the nodes to used the SRv6 locators to steer traffic along distributed computed constraint paths according to the associated Flex-Algo in a particular topology. In addition, topology/algorithm specific SRv6 End SIDs and End.X SIDs can be used to enforce traffic over the Loop-Free Alternatives (LFA) computed backup paths.

3. Advertisement of SR VTN Resource Attribute

Each VTN can be allocated with a set of dedicated network resources. In order to perform constraint based path computation for each VTN on network controller and the ingress nodes, the resource attribute of each VTN also needs to be advertised.

[RFC8668] was defined to advertise the link attributes of the Layer-2 bundle member links. In this section, it is extended to advertise the set of network resource attributes associated with different VTNs on a shared Layer-3 link.

The Layer-3 link may or may not be a Layer-2 link bundle, as long as it has the capability of allocating different subsets of link resources to different VTNs it participates in. A subset of the link resources can be considered as a virtual Layer-2 member link (or sub-interface) of the Layer-3 link. If the Layer-3 interface is a Layer-2 link bundle, it is possible that the subset of link resource allocated to a specific VTN is provided by one of the physical Layer-2 member links.

A new flag "V" (Virtual) is defined in the flag field of the Parent L3 Neighbor Descriptor in the L2 Bundle Member Attributes TLV (25).



V flag: When the V flag is set, it indicates the advertised member links under the Parent Layer-3 link are virtual Layer-2 member links. When the V flag is clear, it indicates the member links are physical member links. This flag may be used to determine whether the member links share fates with the parent interface.

For each virtual or physical member link, the TE attributes defined in [RFC5305] such as the Maximum Link Bandwidth and Admin Groups SHOULD be advertised using the mechanism as defined in [RFC8668]. The Adj-SIDs or SRv6 End.X SIDs associated with each of the virtual or physical Layer-2 member links SHOULD also be advertised.

In order to correlate the virtual or physical member links with the Flex-Algo used to identify the VTN, each VTN SHOULD be assigned with a unique Admin Group (AG) or Extended Admin Group (EAG), and the virtual or physical member link associated with this VTN SHOULD be configured with the AG or EAG assigned to the VTN. The AG or EAG of the Layer 3 link SHOULD be set to the union of all the AGs or EAGs of its virtual or physical member links. In the definition of the Flex-Algo corresponding to the VTN, It MUST use the Include-Any Admin Group rule with only the AG or EAG assigned to the VTN as the link constraints, the Include-All Admin Goup rule or the Exclude Admin Group rule MUST NOT be used. This ensures that the Layer-3 link is included in the Flex-Algo specific constraint path computation for each VTN it participates in.

4. Forwarding Plane Operations

For SR-MPLS data plane, a prefix SID is associated with the paths calculated using the corresponding Flex-Algo of a VTN. An outgoing Layer-3 interface is determined for each path. In addition, the prefix-SID also steers the traffic to use the virtual or physical member link which is associated with the VTN on the outgoing Layer-3 interface for packet forwarding. The Adj-SIDs associated with the virtual or physical member links of a VTN MAY be used with the prefix-SIDs of the same VTN together to build SR-MPLS paths with the topological and resource constraints of the VTN.

For SRv6 data plane, an SRv6 Locator is a prefix which is associated with the paths calculated using the corresponding Flex-Algo of a VTN. An outgoing Layer-3 interface is determined for each path. In addition, the SRv6 Locator prefix also steers the traffic to use the virtual or physical member link which is associated with the VTN on

the outgoing Layer-3 interface for packet forwarding. The End.X SIDs associated with the virtual or physical member links of a VTN MAY be used with the SRv6 Locator prefix of the same VTN together to build SRv6 paths with the topological and resource constraints of the VTN.

5. Scalability Considerations

The mechanism described in this document assumes that each VTN is associated with an unique Flex-Algo, so that the Flex-Algo IDs can be reused to identify the VTNs in the control plane. While this brings the benefit of simplicity, it also has some limitations. For example, it means that even if multiple VTNs share the same topological constraints, they would still need to be identified using different Flex-Algo IDs in the control plane, then independent path computation needs to be executed for each VTN. The number of VTNs supported in a network may be dependent on the number of Flex-Algos supported, which is related to the control plane computation overhead. Another aspect which may impact the number of VTNs supported with this mechanism is that at most 128 Flex-Algos can be used in a network.

Based on the above considerations, this mechanism may be suitable for networks where a relatively small number of VTNs are needed.

6. Security Considerations

This document introduces no additional security vulnerabilities to IS-IS.

The mechanism proposed in this document is subject to the same vulnerabilities as any other protocol that relies on IGPs.

7. IANA Considerations

This document does not request any IANA actions.

8. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Zhenbin Li and Peter Psenak for the review and discussion of this document.

9. References

9.1. Normative References

[I-D.ietf-lsr-flex-algo]
Psenak, P., Hegde, S., Filsfils, C., Talaulikar, K., and A. Gulko, "IGP Flexible Algorithm", draft-ietf-lsr-flex-algo-13 (work in progress), October 2020.

[I-D.ietf-lsr-isis-srv6-extensions]
Psenak, P., Filsfils, C., Bashandy, A., Decraene, B., and Z. Hu, "IS-IS Extension to Support Segment Routing over IPv6 Dataplane", draft-ietf-lsr-isis-srv6-extensions-11 (work in progress), October 2020.

[I-D.ietf-spring-resource-aware-segments]
Dong, J., Bryant, S., Miyasaka, T., Zhu, Y., Qin, F., Li, Z., and F. Clad, "Introducing Resource Awareness to SR Segments", draft-ietf-spring-resource-aware-segments-01 (work in progress), January 2021.

[I-D.ietf-spring-sr-for-enhanced-vpn]
Dong, J., Bryant, S., Miyasaka, T., Zhu, Y., Qin, F., Li, Z., and F. Clad, "Segment Routing based Virtual Transport Network (VTN) for Enhanced VPN", February 2021, <https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-spring-sr-for-enhanced-vpn>.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.

[RFC5305] Li, T. and H. Smit, "IS-IS Extensions for Traffic Engineering", RFC 5305, DOI 10.17487/RFC5305, October 2008, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5305>.

[RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>.

[RFC8402] Filsfils, C., Ed., Previdi, S., Ed., Ginsberg, L., Decraene, B., Litkowski, S., and R. Shakir, "Segment Routing Architecture", RFC 8402, DOI 10.17487/RFC8402, July 2018, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8402>.

[RFC8667] Previdi, S., Ed., Ginsberg, L., Ed., Filsfils, C., Bashandy, A., Gredler, H., and B. Decraene, "IS-IS Extensions for Segment Routing", RFC 8667, DOI 10.17487/RFC8667, December 2019, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8667>.

[RFC8668] Ginsberg, L., Ed., Bashandy, A., Filsfils, C., Nanduri, M., and E. Aries, "Advertising Layer 2 Bundle Member Link Attributes in IS-IS", RFC 8668, DOI 10.17487/RFC8668, December 2019, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8668>.

9.2. Informative References

[I-D.dong-lsr-sr-enhanced-vpn]
Dong, J., Hu, Z., Li, Z., Tang, X., Pang, R., JooHeon, L., and S. Bryant, "IGP Extensions for Segment Routing based Enhanced VPN", draft-dong-lsr-sr-enhanced-vpn-04 (work in progress), June 2020.

[I-D.ietf-spring-srv6-network-programming]
Filsfils, C., Camarillo, P., Leddy, J., Voyer, D., Matsushima, S., and Z. Li, "SRv6 Network Programming", draft-ietf-spring-srv6-network-programming-28 (work in progress), December 2020.

[I-D.ietf-teas-enhanced-vpn]
Dong, J., Bryant, S., Li, Z., Miyasaka, T., and Y. Lee, "A Framework for Enhanced Virtual Private Networks (VPN+) Service", draft-ietf-teas-enhanced-vpn-06 (work in progress), July 2020.

Authors' Addresses

Yongqing Zhu
China Telecom

Email: zhuyq8@chinatelecom.cn

Jie Dong
Huawei Technologies

Email: jie.dong@huawei.com

Zhibo Hu
Huawei Technologies

Email: huzhibo@huawei.com