

Network Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: October 15, 2009

G. Zorn  
Network Zen  
K. Jiao  
Huawei Technologies  
April 13, 2009

**The Diameter Capabilities Update Application**  
**draft-zorn-dime-capabilities-update-01.txt**

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#). This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 15, 2009.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2009 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents in effect on the date of publication of this document (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>). Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

## Abstract

This document defines a new Diameter application and associated command codes. The Capabilities Update application is intended to allow the dynamic update of Diameter peer capabilities while the peer-to-peer connection is in the open state.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Specification of Requirements . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Diameter Protocol Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Capabilities Update . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">4.1.</a>	Command-Code Values . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">4.1.1.</a>	Capabilities-Update-Request . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">4.1.2.</a>	Capabilities-Update-Answer . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">5.1.</a>	Application Identifier . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">5.2.</a>	Command Codes . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">7.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">7.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
	Authors' Addresses . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>



## **1. Introduction**

Capabilities exchange is an important component of the Diameter Base Protocol [[RFC3588](#)], allowing peers to exchange identities and Diameter capabilities (protocol version number, supported Diameter applications, security mechanisms, etc.). As defined in [RFC 3588](#), however, the capabilities exchange process takes place only once, at the inception of a transport connection between a given pair of peers. Therefore, if a peer's capabilities change (due to software update, for example), the existing connection(s) must be torn down (along with all of the associated user sessions) and restarted before the modified capabilities can be advertised.

This document defines a new Diameter application intended to allow the dynamic update of Diameter peer capabilities over an existing connection. Because the Capabilities Update application specified here operates over an existing transport connection, modification of the security mechanism in use is not allowed; if the security method used between a pair of peers is changed the affected connection MUST be restarted.

Discussion of this draft may be directed to the authors.

## **2. Specification of Requirements**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

## **3. Diameter Protocol Considerations**

This section details the relationship of the Diameter Capabilities Update application to the Diameter Base Protocol.

This document specifies Diameter Application-ID <TBD1>. Diameter nodes conforming to this specification MAY advertise support by including the value of <TBD1> in the Auth-Application-Id of the Capabilities-Exchange-Req and Capabilities-Exchange-Answer commands [[RFC3588](#)].

## **4. Capabilities Update**

When the capabilities of a Diameter node conforming to this specification change, it SHOULD notify all of the nodes with which it has an open transport connection using the Capabilities-Update-Req



message ([Section 4.1.1](#)). This message allows the update of a peer's identity and its capabilities (protocol version number, supported Diameter applications, etc.).

The receiver only issues commands to its peers that have advertised support for the Diameter application that defines the command. A Diameter node MUST cache the supported applications in order to ensure that unrecognized commands and/or AVPs are not unnecessarily sent to a peer.

The receiver of the Capabilities-Update-Request (CUR) MUST determine common applications by computing the intersection of its own set of supported Application Id against all of the application identifier AVPs (Auth-Application-Id, Acct-Application-Id and Vendor-Specific-Application-Id) present in the CUR. The value of the Vendor-Id AVP in the Vendor-Specific-Application-Id MUST NOT be used during computation.

If the receiver of a Capabilities-Update-Req (CUR) message does not have any applications in common with the sender then it MUST return a Capabilities-Update-Answer (CUA) with the Result-Code AVP set to DIAMETER\_NO\_COMMON\_APPLICATION, and SHOULD disconnect the transport layer connection; however, if active sessions are using the connection, peers MAY delay disconnection until the sessions can be redirected or gracefully terminated. Note that receiving a CUR or CUA from a peer advertising itself as a Relay (see [[RFC3588](#)], [Section 2.4](#)) MUST be interpreted as having common applications with the peer.

The CUR and CUA messages MUST NOT be proxied, redirected or relayed.

Since the CUR/CUA messages cannot be proxied, it is still possible that an upstream agent receives a message for which it has no available peers to handle the application that corresponds to the Command-Code. In such instances, the 'E' bit is set in the answer message with the Result-Code AVP set to DIAMETER\_UNABLE\_TO\_DELIVER to inform the downstream peer to take action (e.g., re-routing requests to an alternate peer).

#### [4.1](#). Command-Code Values

This section defines Command-Code [[RFC3588](#)] values that MUST be supported by all Diameter implementations conforming to this specification. The following Command Codes are defined in this document: Capabilities-Update-Request (CUR) [Section 4.1.1](#) and Capabilities-Update-Answer (CUA) [Section 4.1.2](#).



#### **4.1.1. Capabilities-Update-Request**

The Capabilities-Update-Request (CUR), indicated by the Command-Code set to <TBD2> and the Command Flags' 'R' bit set, is sent to update local capabilities. Upon detection of a transport failure, this message MUST NOT be sent to an alternate peer.

When Diameter is run over SCTP [[RFC2960](#)], which allows connections to span multiple interfaces and multiple IP addresses, the Capabilities-Update-Request message MUST contain one Host-IP-Address AVP for each potential IP address that may be locally used when transmitting Diameter messages.

##### Message Format

```
<CUR> ::= < Diameter Header: TBD2, REQ >
        { Origin-Host }
        { Origin-Realm }
    1* { Host-IP-Address }
        { Vendor-Id }
        { Product-Name }
        [ Origin-State-Id ]
    * [ Supported-Vendor-Id ]
    * [ Auth-Application-Id ]
    * [ Acct-Application-Id ]
    * [ Vendor-Specific-Application-Id ]
        [ Firmware-Revision ]
    * [ AVP ]
```

#### **4.1.2. Capabilities-Update-Answer**

The Capabilities-Update-Answer indicated by the Command-Code set to <TBD3> and the Command Flags' 'R' bit set, is sent in response to a CUR message.

##### Message Format

```
<CUA> ::= < Diameter Header: TBD3 >
        { Origin-Host }
        { Origin-Realm }
        { Result-Code }
        [ Error-Message ]
    * [ AVP ]
```





## **5. IANA Considerations**

This section explains the criteria to be used by the IANA for assignment of numbers within namespaces used within this document.

### **5.1. Application Identifier**

This specification assigns the value <TBD1> from the Application Identifiers namespace defined in [RFC 3588](#). See section [Section 3](#) for the assignment of the namespace in this specification.

### **5.2. Command Codes**

This specification assigns the values <TBD2> and <TBD3> from the Command Codes namespace defined in [RFC 3588](#). See section [Section 4.1](#) for the assignment of the namespace in this specification.

## **6. Security Considerations**

This document does not introduce any new vulnerabilities into the Diameter protocol.

## **7. References**

### **7.1. Normative References**

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC3588] Calhoun, P., Loughney, J., Guttman, E., Zorn, G., and J. Arkko, "Diameter Base Protocol", [RFC 3588](#), September 2003.

### **7.2. Informative References**

- [RFC2960] Stewart, R., Xie, Q., Morneault, K., Sharp, C., Schwarzbauer, H., Taylor, T., Rytina, I., Kalla, M., Zhang, L., and V. Paxson, "Stream Control Transmission Protocol", [RFC 2960](#), October 2000.



Authors' Addresses

Glen Zorn  
Network Zen  
1310 East Thomas Street  
#306  
Seattle, Washington 98102  
USA

Phone: +1 (206) 377-9035  
Email: gwz@net-zen.net

Jiao Kang  
Huawei Technologies  
Section B1, Huawei Industrial Base  
Bantian, Longgang District  
Shenzhen 518129  
P.R. China

Phone: +86 755 28786690  
Email: kangjiao@huawei.com

