

dmm
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: 8 September 2022

Z. Zhang
Juniper Networks
K. Patel
Arrcus
S. Matsushima
Softbank
7 March 2022

BGP Signaling for Mobile User Plane
draft-zpm-dmm-mup-bgp-signaling-00

Abstract

This document specifies BGP signaling for router-based 5G User Plane using Mobile User Plane SAFI and some of its route types as specified in [[draft-mpmz-idr-mup-safi](#)].

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 8 September 2022.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the [Trust Legal Provisions](#) and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Background	2
1.1.	Terminologies	3
2.	Analysis of SRv6 specific aspects of [I-D.mpmz-dmm-mup-safi]	3
2.1.	BGP Discovery Direct Route	3
2.2.	BGP Discovery Interwork Route	3
3.	Optional Type 3 Session Transform (ST3) Route	4
4.	Specifications	4
4.1.	BGP Encodings	5
4.1.1.	GTP PW Label Extended Community	5
4.1.2.	Type 3 Session Transform (ST3) route	5
4.2.	Procedures	7
5.	Security Considerations	7
6.	IANA Considerations	7
7.	References	7
7.1.	Normative References	7
7.2.	Informative References	8
	Authors' Addresses	8

[1.](#) Background

5G [[3GPP-23.501](#)] User Plane uses N4 signaling between Session Management Function (SMF) and User Plane Function (UPF), and N2 signaling between Access & Mobility Management Function (AMF) and Access Nodes (AN). A traditional UPF device is typically centrally deployed and uses GTP tunnels between itself and ANs for data traffic to/from User Equipment (UEs). The GTP tunnels are set up by the N4 and N2 signaling, and the forwarding model on a UPF is quite different from that on a typical router.

UPFs can also be deployed in distributed fashion and still provide persistent IP address for UEs if needed, as described in [[I-D.zzhang-dmm-5g-distributed-upf](#)].

Some operators may desire to deploy UPFs that are implemented more like a router with BGP instead of N4 signaling. GTP tunneling can still be used or it can be partially replaced by SRv6/MPLS tunneling. It does not require any change to the 3GPP architecture, signaling and deployment model, but a controller is used to convert N4 signaling to BGP, as described in [[I-D.mhkk-dmm-srv6mup-architecture](#)] and [[I-D.mpmz-dmm-mup-safi](#)].

The above two drafts are both SRv6 specific. However, BGP signaling from the controller based on N4 signaling can be done without being SRv6 or even SR specific at all. This document specifies corresponding BGP signaling and procedures for both central and

distributed deployment models with integration with wireline VPN services as described in [[I-D.zzhang-dmm-5g-distributed-upf](#)], [[I-D.mhkk-dmm-srv6mup-architecture](#)], and [I-D.mpmz-dmm-mup-safi].

[1.1](#). Terminologies

Terminologies of 5G, or those used in [I-D.mpmz-dmm-mup-safi] and [[I-D.mhkk-dmm-srv6mup-architecture](#)] are omitted here for now. It is expected that audience of this document are familiar with them or can refer to the relevant documents.

[2](#). Analysis of SRv6 specific aspects of [I-D.mpmz-dmm-mup-safi]

The goal of this document is to specify encoding and procedures that work for both MPLS, SR-MPLS as well as SRv6. Therefore, we first look at the SRv6 specific aspects of [I-D.mpmz-dmm-mup-safi].

[2.1](#). BGP Discovery Direct Route

Per [I-D.mpmz-dmm-mup-safi]:

"The Discovery Direct route is generated by the MUP PE when a routing instance accommodates a Direct type MUP Segment, e.g., N6 interface or routes on DN side in 3GPP 5G specific case. It generates the Discovery Direct Route per each routing instance for the MUP Segment."

When a MUP GW receives a BGP Type 2 Session Transform (ST2) Route, which advertises the association of PDU Session TEID (on the UPF side) and a DN VPN, a corresponding Direct Route is matched to set up forwarding state on the GW so that it can decapsulate incoming GTP packets from gNB/AN and then send to the advertising MUP PE of the Direct Route using the Prefix SID in the Direct Route. The Prefix SID has an End.DT2/4/6 or End.DX2/4/6 behavior.

In the non-SR case (and SR-MPLS or SRv6 as well), this route can be replaced by the "VPN-IP default route" in [[RFC7024](#)]. The VRF table

label in the route is used to send traffic by the GW to the MUP PE after GTP decapsulation.

[2.2.](#) BGP Discovery Interwork Route

Per [I-D.mpmz-dmm-mup-safi]:

"The Discovery Interwork route is generated by the MUP GW when a routing instance accommodates an Interwork type MUP Segment, e.g., N3 interfaces or routes on RAN side in 3GPP 5G specific case. It generates route per each N3RAN IP prefix and stores the route in the routing instance of N3RAN. The IP prefix MAY include a gNodeB address which is connecting to the MUP GW."

Basically, it is a route for a gNB/AN address in the N3RAN. These routes are put into N3RAN RIB. When a MUP PE receives a BGP Type 1 Session Transform (ST1) route, the Endpoint Address in the ST1 NLRI is resolved in the N3RAN RIB, and the Prefix SRv6 SID associated with the Interwork Route, which has the End.GTP4/6.E behavior on the GW, is used send DownLink (DL) traffic from the MUP PE towards the gNB/AN via the GW.

In the non-SR case (and SR-MPLS or SRv6 as well), this route can simply be replaced with a regular host route for the gNB/AN. In case of MPLS or SR-MPLS, an "IP/UDP Payload PseudoWire" [\[I-D.zzhang-pals-pw-for-ip-udp-payload\]](#) label for GTP is encoded in an Extended Community so that the MUP PE can use it to send DL traffic with GTP encapsulation but w/o IP/UDP header to the GW, who will add the IP/UDP header and send the resulting GTP packet to the gNB/AN.

The PW label is encoded in an EC because only the DL traffic should use the PW label and other traffic towards the gNB/AN should not.

In case of SRv6, the same Prefix SID that would be attached to the Interwork Segment route can be attached to the regular gNB/AN host

route that replaces the Interwork Segment route.

[3. Optional Type 3 Session Transform \(ST3\) Route](#)

In [I-D.mpmz-dmm-mup-safi], the ST1 route only has the TEID and endpoint address for the gNB/AN side for DL traffic. For UpLink (UL) traffic, the ST2 route has the TEID prefix and endpoint address for the UPF side, so that the GW can determine which DN the traffic belongs to.

It is quite possible that the TEID assigned by the SMF are per-session and do not fall into prefix ranges nicely. Unless the MUP controller intelligently aggregate individual per-session ST2 routes, a MUP GW that also acts as a MUP PE will receive individual per-session ST1 and ST2 routes. For that reason, an optional ST3 route is introduced to combine ST1 and ST2 routes.

[4. Specifications](#)

Zhang, et al.

Expires 8 September 2022

[Page 4]

Internet-Draft

BGP Signaling for MUP

March 2022

[4.1. BGP Encodings](#)

A Type 3 Session Transform (ST3) route, and a GTP PW Label Extended Community are specified in this section.

[4.1.1. GTP PW Label Extended Community](#)

The GTP PW Label Extended Community is a BGP MUP Extended Community of sub-type "GTP PW Label" (value to be assigned by IANA).

The encoding is as following:

```

 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
| Type=MUP EC   | Sub-Type=TBD   | Reserved=0                       |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
| Reserved=0    |                   GTP PW Label                     |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
```

[4.1.2. Type 3 Session Transform \(ST3\) route](#)

A new Route Type for BGP-MUP NLRI is added:

+ 5 - Type 3 Session Transform (ST3) route;

It is similar to the ST1 route:

```
+-----+
|          RD   (8 octets)          |
+-----+
|   Prefix Length (1 octet)   |
+-----+
|   Prefix (variable)   |
+-----+
| Architecture specific (variable) |
+-----+
```

For 3gpp-5g, the Architecture Specific part of the NLRI encodes the <UPF Address, UPF TEID, AN Address, AN TEID, QFI> parameters of the session that the route is for:

```
+-----+
|          QFI (1 octet)          |
+-----+
|   AN TEID (4 octets)   |
+-----+
| AN Address Length (1 octet) |
+-----+
| AN Address (variable) |
+-----+
|   UPF TEID (4 octets)   |
+-----+
| UPF Address Length (1 octet) |
+-----+
| UPF Address (variable) |
+-----+
```

The route is a combination of ST1 and ST2 routes, in that:

- * The QFI, AN TEID, and AN Address information correspond to the fields in the 3gpp-5g ST1 Route Type specific BGP-MUP NLRI:

```
+-----+
|          TEID (4 octets)          |
+-----+
|          QFI (1 octet)            |
+-----+
| Endpoint Address Length (1 octet) |
+-----+
|    Endpoint Address (variable)    |
+-----+
```

- * The UPF Address info corresponds to the Endpoint fields in ST2 route:

```
+-----+
|          RD (8 octets)            |
+-----+
| Endpoint Length (1 octet)         | &lt;---
+-----+
| Endpoint Address (variable)       | &lt;---
+-----+
| Architecture specific Endpoint   |
| Identifier (variable)             |
+-----+
```

- * The UPF TEID field corresponds to the TEID in the 3gpp-5g ST2 Route Type specific BGP-MUP NLRI:

```
+-----+
|          TEID (0-4 octets)        |
+-----+
```

[4.2.](#) Procedures

The procedures are inline with those in [I-D.mpmz-dmm-mup-safi] and [\[I-D.mhkk-dmm-srv6mup-architecture\]](#).

Details will be added a future revision.

5. Security Considerations

To be provided.

6. IANA Considerations

Requests to be made for the BGP encodings in [Section 4.1](#). Details will be added in a future revision.

7. References

7.1. Normative References

[I-D.ietf-dmm-srv6-mobile-uplane]

Matsushima, S., Filsfils, C., Kohno, M., Garvia, P. C., Voyer, D., and C. E. Perkins, "Segment Routing IPv6 for Mobile User Plane", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, [draft-ietf-dmm-srv6-mobile-uplane-18](#), 18 February 2022, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-dmm-srv6-mobile-uplane-18.txt>>.

[I-D.mhkk-dmm-srv6mup-architecture]

Matsushima, S., Horiba, K., Khan, A., Kawakami, Y., Murakami, T., Patel, K., Kohno, M., Kamata, T., Garvia, P. C., Voyer, D., Zadok, S., Meilik, I., Agrawal, A., Perumal, K., and J. Horn, "Segment Routing IPv6 Mobile User Plane Architecture for Distributed Mobility Management", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, [draft-mhkk-dmm-srv6mup-architecture-02](#), 7 March 2022, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-mhkk-dmm-srv6mup-architecture-02.txt>>.

Zhang, Z. and K. Patel, "PW for IP/UDP Payload without IP/UDP Headers", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, [draft-zzhang-pals-pw-for-ip-udp-payload-01](https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-zzhang-pals-pw-for-ip-udp-payload-01), 4 March 2022, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-zzhang-pals-pw-for-ip-udp-payload-01.txt>>.

[RFC7024] Jeng, H., Uttaro, J., Jalil, L., Decraene, B., Rekhter, Y., and R. Aggarwal, "Virtual Hub-and-Spoke in BGP/MPLS VPNs", [RFC 7024](https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7024), DOI 10.17487/RFC7024, October 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7024>>.

7.2. Informative References

[I-D.ietf-bess-srv6-services]
Dawra, G., Filsfils, C., Talaulikar, K., Raszuk, R., Decraene, B., Zhuang, S., and J. Rabadan, "SRv6 BGP based Overlay Services", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, [draft-ietf-bess-srv6-services-12](https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-bess-srv6-services-12), 5 March 2022, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-bess-srv6-services-12.txt>>.

[I-D.zzhang-dmm-5g-distributed-upf]
Zhang, Z., Patel, K., and T. Jiang, "5G Distributed UPFs", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, [draft-zzhang-dmm-5g-distributed-upf-00](https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-zzhang-dmm-5g-distributed-upf-00), 6 March 2022, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-zzhang-dmm-5g-distributed-upf-00.txt>>.

[RFC4363] Levi, D. and D. Harrington, "Definitions of Managed Objects for Bridges with Traffic Classes, Multicast Filtering, and Virtual LAN Extensions", [RFC 4363](https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4363), DOI 10.17487/RFC4363, January 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4363>>.

[_3GPP-23.501]
"System architecture for the 5G System (5GS), V17.3.0", December 2021.

Authors' Addresses

Zhaohui Zhang
Juniper Networks
Email: zzhang@juniper.net

Keyur Patel
Arrcus

Email: keyur@arrcus.com

Satoru Matsushima

Softbank

Email: satoru.matsushima@g.softbank.co.jp

