

Network Working Group  
Request for Comments: 1240

C. Shue  
Open Software Foundation  
W. Haggerty  
Wang Laboratories, Inc.  
K. Dobbins  
Cabletron Systems, Inc.  
June 1991

OSI Connectionless Transport Services on top of UDP  
Version: 1

Status of this Memo

This document describes a protocol for running OSI Connectionless service on UDP. This RFC specifies an IAB standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "IAB Official Protocol Standards" for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

1. Introduction and Philosophy

The Internet community has a well-developed, mature set of layered transport and network protocols, which are quite successful in offering both connection-oriented (TCP) and connectionless (UDP) transport services over connectionless network services (IP) to end-users. Many popular network applications have been built directly on top of the TCP and UDP over the past decade. These have helped the Internet services and protocols to become widely-spread de facto standards. In the past few years, the ISO and CCITT have defined a well-architected set of upper layer standards which include connection-oriented and connectionless session, presentation, and application layer services and protocols. These OSI upper layer standards offer valuable services to application developers (e.g., dialogue control, transfer syntax, peer authentication, directory services, etc.) which are not currently offered by the TCP/IP standards.

As indicated in [RFC 1006](#), it is desirable to offer the OSI upper layer services directly in the Internet without disrupting existing facilities. This permits a more graceful convergence and transition strategy from IP-based networks to OSI-based networks in the future. Using the approach of [RFC 1006](#), this memo specifies how to offer OSI connectionless transport service using the User Datagram Protocol (UDP) [[RFC768](#)] of the TCP/IP suite.

We will define a Transport Service Access Point (TSAP) which appears

to be identical to the services and interfaces defined in ISO 8072 and its Addendum 1, but we will in fact implement the ISO T-UNIT-DATA protocol on top of UDP. By this means, OSI TPDU's can be delivered across the Internet network, and OSI connectionless upper layers can operate fully without knowledge of the fact that they are running on top of UDP/IP. In essence, the OSI T-UNIT-DATA service will use UDP as its connectionless network service provider.

## 2. Motivation

The primary motivation for the standard described in this memo is to facilitate the process of gaining experience with OSI connectionless upper layers protocols, i.e., S-UNIT-DATA [[IS09548](#)], P-UNIT-DATA [[IS09576](#)] and A-UNIT-DATA [[IS010035](#)], and connectionless transport protocol T-UNIT-DATA [[IS08602](#)].

Though many OSI standard applications such as X.400 and FTAM are connection-oriented, it is recognized in the OSI reference model [[IS07498/AD1](#)] as well as in practice that the connectionless-mode operations are appropriate for certain distributed application classes and environments. The following connectionless application classes were identified by ISO SC21/WG6 [[ISOS21/WG6 N184](#)]:

- Request-Response Applications
- Broadcast/Multicast
- Inward Data Collection
- Migratory/Unreliable Processes

Among them, the "request/response" client-server application class is the most prominent one, which is gaining popularity and importance. It is observed that the connection setup and tear-down protocol exchanges and complex connection-oriented protocol machines become unnecessary overheads for a simple request/response exchange between a client application and a server application, especially in reliable communications environments such as LAN and ISDN. The OSI connectionless upper layers are thought to be highly effective and efficient, both in time and space, for the distributed application classes mentioned above.

The stability, maturity and wide availability of UDP/IP are ideal for providing solid connectionless transport services independent of actual implementations.


### 3. The Model

The [ISO 8072/AD1] standard describes the OSI connectionless transport services definition. The [ISO 8602] standard describes the OSI connectionless transport protocols. A defining characteristic of

transport connectionless-mode transmission is the independent nature of each invocation of the connectionless transport service.

The OSI transport service definition describes the services offered by the TS-provider and the interfaces used to access those services. It also describes the services required. This memo focuses on how UDP [[RFC 768](#)] can be used to offer the required services and provide the interfaces.

The following is the model:





The following abbreviations are used:

CLTS                      Connectionless Transport

Shue, Haggerty & Dobbins

[Page 3]

[RFC 1240](#)

OSI on top of UDP

June 1991

TS	Transport Services (implies connectionless transport service in this memo)
TSAP	Transport Service Access Point
TS-peer	a process which implements the mapping of CLTS protocols onto the UDP interface as described by this memo
TS-user	a process using the services of a TS-provider
TS-provider	the abstraction of the totality of those entities which provide the overall service between the two TS-users
UD TPDU	Unit Data TPDU (Transport Protocol Data Unit)

Each TS-user gains access to the TS-provider at a TSAP. The two TS-users can communicate with each other using a connectionless transport provided that there is pre-arranged knowledge about each other (e.g., protocol version, formats, options, ... etc.), since there is no negotiation before data transfer. In the above diagram one TS-user passes a message to the TS-provider, and the peer TS-user receives the message from the TS-provider. The interactions between TS-user and TS-provider are described by connectionless TS primitives.

All aspects of [ISO 8072/AD1] are supported in this memo with one exception: QOS (Quality of Service) parameter, which is left for

future study.

The OSI standards do not specify the format of a TSAP selector. Neither does this memo. However, implementors should consult the GOSIP 1.0 specification [GOSIP88/FIPS146] for an interpretation of this parameter, wherein the TSAP selector consists of two octets and a value of (binary) 1 identifies the service interface between OSI transport layer and session layer.

#### 4. The Primitives

This RFC assumes that UDP [[RFC768](#)] offers the following service primitives:

send datagram - datagram is sent to the IP address/destination port

read datagram - datagram is read from UDP

Data can only be read from a receive port after the port has been created. This is a local matter.

This memo reserves the use of UDP port 102 for the use of applications which realize the CLTS over UDP. However as with [RFC 1006](#), other port values may be used by prior agreement (e.g., through use of the OSI Directory).

This RFC describes how to use these services to emulate the following connectionless-mode network service primitives, which are required by [[ISO8602](#)]:

N-UNIT-DATA.REQUEST - A NS-user requests unit data to be sent

N-UNIT-DATA.INDICATION - A NS-user is notified that unit data can be read from the NSAP

The mapping between the UDP service primitives and the service primitives expected by the connectionless transport peer entity are quite straightforward:

connectionless network service UDP

```

-----
N-UNIT-DATA.REQUEST      send datagram
N-UNIT-DATA.INDICATION   read datagram

```

The parameter mapping is:

```

connectionless network service  UDP
-----
Source address                  source IP address from
                                calling TS-address
Destination address             destination IP address from
                                called TS-address
Quality of service              (ignored)
NS-user data                    UD TPDU constructed from T-UNIT-DATA


```

When the T-UNIT-DATA.REQUEST primitive is issued, the TS-peer constructs a UD TPDU and sends it as a single datagram to the desired IP address using UDP.

When UDP indicates that a datagram has been received, a UD TPDU is read from UDP, and a T-UNIT-DATA.INDICATION primitive is generated.

## 5. Packet Format

The following is the UD TPDU structure which is encapsulated in UDP data field:



LI (octet 1) - the length of the header including parameters, but

excluding the LI and user data, with a maximum value of 254

UD (octet 2) - the type of TPDU

Variable Part (octets 3 to m) - the source and destination TSAP id's

Parameter code: source TSAP 11000001

destination TSAP 11000010

Parameter length: the length of the parameter, not including the parameter code or length fields, with a maximum value of 254

Parameter value: source or destination T-selector

The optional checksum parameter is not required in the variable part since the UDP checksum field in the UDP header already performs the checking.

User Data (octets m+1 to n) - all the data of the TSDU.

The maximum NS-user data allowed in the OSI connectionless network service is 64,512 octets. This limit is further constrained by the lesser maximum datagram size supported by the two communicating UDP peers, which should be known by a priori agreement.

## 6. Conclusion

There is a general trend towards support of the OSI protocol suite in the Internet. This direction is being fostered by the Internet Activities Board (IAB) and its Internet Engineering Task Force, and by the Federal Networking Council. By offering an OSI connectionless transport service on top of the Internet, this RFC will allow future applications to use the OSI connectionless upper-layer services, which are required to be conformant to the OSI upper layer

architecture. Currently, T-UNIT-DATA, S-UNIT-DATA, P-UNIT-DATA, and A-UNIT-DATA have reached International Standard (IS). As applications based on OSI connectionless services become widely available and OSI lower-layer service is widely implemented in the Internet, the underlying UDP/IP services can be simply replaced with the OSI lower layers.

## 7. Acknowledgements

Marshall T. Rose of PSI, Inc., provided many valuable comments and corrections.

## 8. References

- [GOSIP88] U.S. Department of Commerce/National Bureau of Standards,  
[FIPS146] "Government Open Systems Interconnection Profile (GOSIP)",  
August 1988.
- [ISO7498/AD1] ISO, "International Standard 7498 - Information  
Processing Systems - OSI: Basic Reference Model  
Addendum 1: Connectionless-mode Transmission",  
May 1986.
- [ISO8072] ISO, "International Standard 8072 - Information Processing  
Systems - OSI: Transport Service Definition", June 1984.
- [ISO8072/AD1] ISO, "International Standard 8072 - Information  
Processing Systems - OSI: Transport Service Definition  
Addendum 1: Connectionless-mode Transmission",  
December 1986.
- [ISO8602] ISO, "International Standard 8602 - Information Processing  
Systems - OSI: Connectionless Transport Protocol  
Specification", December 1986.
- [ISO9548] ISO, "International Standard 9548 - Information Processing  
Systems - OSI: Connectionless Session Protocol  
Specification", April 1989.
- [ISO9576] ISO, "Draft International Standard 9576 - Information  
Processing Systems - OSI: Connectionless Presentation  
Protocol Specification", April 1989.
- [ISO10035] ISO, "Draft International Standard 10035 - Information  
Processing Systems - OSI: Connectionless ACSE Protocol  
Specification", April 1989.
- [ISO/SC21/WG6 N184] ISO SC21 WG6, "Justification for Connectionless

- [RFC768] Postel, J., "User Datagram Protocol", [RFC 768](#), USC/Information Sciences Institute, September 1981.
- [RFC791] Postel, J., "Internet Protocol", [RFC 791](#), USC/Information Sciences Institute, September 1981.
- [RFC1006] Rose, M., and D. Cass, "ISO Transport Service on top of the TCP - Version 3", [RFC 1006](#), Northrop Research and Technology Center, May 1987.

#### Security Considerations

Security issues are not discussed in this memo.

#### Authors' Addresses

Chikong Shue  
Open Software Foundation, Inc.  
11 Cambridge Center  
Cambridge, MA 02142

Phone: (617) 621-8972  
EMail: [chi@osf.org](mailto:chi@osf.org)

William Haggerty  
Wang Laboratories, Inc.  
1 Industrial Ave  
Lowell, MA 01851

Phone: (508) 967-3403  
EMail: [bill@comm.wang.com](mailto:bill@comm.wang.com)

Kurt Dobbins  
Cabletron, Inc.  
35 Industrial Way  
Rochester, NH 03867

Phone: (603) 332-9400