

Network Working Group
INTERNET-DRAFT

Obsoletes: [1293](#)

<[draft-ietf-ion-inarp-update-02.txt](#)>

T. Bradley
Avici Systems, Inc.

C. Brown

Fore Systems, Inc.

A. Malis

Ascend Communications, Inc.

March 11, 1998

Expires September 10, 1998

Inverse Address Resolution Protocol

[1.](#) Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as ``work in progress.''

To learn the current status of any Internet-Draft, please check the ``1id-abstracts.txt' listing contained in the Internet-Drafts Shadow Directories on ds.internic.net (US East Coast), nic.nordu.net (Europe), ftp.isi.edu (US West Coast), or munnari.oz.au (Pacific Rim).

This draft specifies an IAB standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "IAB Official Protocol Standards" for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

[2.](#) Abstract

This memo describes additions to ARP that will allow a station to request a protocol address corresponding to a given hardware address. Specifically, this applies to Frame Relay stations that may have a Data Link Connection Identifier (DLCI), the Frame Relay equivalent of a hardware address, associated with an established Permanent Virtual Circuit (PVC), but do not know the protocol address of the station on the other side of this connection. It will also apply to other networks with similar circumstances.

This memo replaces [RFC 1293](#). The changes from [RFC 1293](#) are minor changes to formalize the language, and the additions of a packet

INTERNET-DRAFT

Inverse ARP

March 11, 1998

diagram in [section 7.2](#) and a new security section.

[3.](#) Conventions

The keywords MUST, MUST NOT, REQUIRED, SHALL, SHALL NOT, SHOULD, SHOULD NOT, RECOMMENDED, MAY, and OPTIONAL, when they appear in this document, are to be interpreted as described in [\[5\]](#).

[4.](#) Introduction

This document will rely heavily on Frame Relay as an example of how the Inverse Address Resolution Protocol (InARP) can be useful. It is not, however, intended that InARP be used exclusively with Frame Relay. InARP may be used in any network that provides destination hardware addresses without indicating corresponding protocol addresses.

[5.](#) Motivation

The motivation for the development of Inverse ARP is a result of the desire to make dynamic address resolution within Frame Relay both possible and efficient. Permanent virtual circuits (PVCs) and eventually switched virtual circuits (SVCs) are identified by a Data Link Connection Identifier (DLCI). These DLCIs define a single virtual connection through the wide area network (WAN) and may be thought of as the Frame Relay equivalent to a hardware address. Periodically, through the exchange of signaling messages, a network may announce a new virtual circuit with its corresponding DLCI. Unfortunately, protocol addressing is not included in the announcement. The station receiving such an indication will learn of the new connection, but will not be able to address the other side. Without a new configuration or a mechanism for discovering the protocol address of the other side, this new virtual circuit is unusable.

Other resolution methods were considered to solve the problems, but were rejected. Reverse ARP [\[4\]](#), for example, seemed like a good candidate, but the response to a request is the protocol address of the requesting station, not the station receiving the request. IP specific mechanisms were limiting since they would not allow resolution of other protocols other than IP. For this reason, the ARP protocol was expanded.

Inverse Address Resolution Protocol (InARP) will allow a Frame Relay station to discover the protocol address of a station associated with the virtual circuit. It is more efficient than sending ARP messages on every VC for every address the system wants to resolve and it is more flexible than relying on static configuration.

[6.](#) Packet Format

Inverse ARP is an extension of the existing ARP. Therefore, it has the same format as standard ARP.

ar\$hrd	16 bits	Hardware type
ar\$pro	16 bits	Protocol type
ar\$hln	8 bits	Byte length of each hardware address (n)
ar\$pln	8 bits	Byte length of each protocol address (m)
ar\$op	16 bits	Operation code
ar\$sha	nbytes	source hardware address
ar\$spa	mbytes	source protocol address
ar\$tha	nbytes	target hardware address
ar\$tpa	mbytes	target protocol address

Possible values for hardware and protocol types are the same as those for ARP and may be found in the current Assigned Numbers RFC [\[2\]](#).

Length of the hardware and protocol address are dependent on the environment in which InARP is running. For example, if IP is running over Frame Relay, the hardware address length is either 2, 3, or 4, and the protocol address length is 4.

The operation code indicates the type of message, request or reply.

InARP request = 8
InARP reply = 9

These values were chosen so as not to conflict with other ARP extensions.

[7.](#) Protocol Operation

Basic InARP operates essentially the same as ARP with the exception that InARP does not broadcast requests. This is because the hardware address of the destination station is already known.

When an interface supporting InARP becomes active, it should initiate the InARP protocol and format InARP requests for each active PVC for which InARP is active. To do this, a requesting station simply formats a request by inserting its source hardware, source protocol addresses and the known target hardware address. It then zero fills the target protocol address field. Finally, it will encapsulate the packet for the specific network and send it directly to the target station.

Upon receiving an InARP request, a station may put the requester's protocol address/hardware address mapping into its ARP cache as it

would any ARP request. Unlike other ARP requests, however, the receiving station may assume that any InARP request it receives is destined for it. For every InARP request, the receiving station should format a proper reply using the source addresses from the request as the target addresses of the reply. If the station is unable or unwilling to reply, it ignores the request.

When the requesting station receives the InARP reply, it may complete the ARP table entry and use the provided address information. Note: as with ARP, information learned via InARP may be aged or invalidated under certain circumstances.

7.1. Operation with Multi-Addressed Hosts

In the context of this discussion, a multi-addressed host will refer to a host that has multiple protocol addresses assigned to a single interface. If such a station receives an InARP request, it must choose one address with which to respond. To make such a selection, the receiving station must first look at the protocol address of the requesting station, and then respond with the protocol address corresponding to the network of the requester. For example, if the requesting station is probing for an IP address, the responding multi-addressed station should respond with an IP address which corresponds to the same subnet as the requesting station. If the station does not have an address that is appropriate for the request it should not respond. In the IP example, if the receiving station does not have an IP address assigned to the interface that is a part of the requested subnet, the receiving station would not respond.

ar\$pro - protocol type for which you are searching
(i.e. IP = 0x0800)
ar\$hln - 2,3, or 4 byte addressing length
ar\$pln - byte length of protocol address for which you
are searching (for IP = 4)
ar\$op - 8; InARP request
ar\$sha - Q.922 address of requesting station
ar\$spa - protocol address of requesting station
ar\$tha - Q.922 address of newly announced virtual circuit
ar\$tpa - 0; This is what is being requested

The InARP response will be completed similarly.

ar\$hrd - 0x000F the value assigned to Frame Relay
ar\$pro - protocol type for which you are searching
(i.e. IP = 0x0800)
ar\$hln - 2,3, or 4 byte addressing length
ar\$pln - byte length of protocol address for which you
are searching (for IP = 4)
ar\$op - 9; InARP response
ar\$sha - Q.922 address of responding station
ar\$spa - protocol address requested
ar\$tha - Q.922 address of requesting station
ar\$tpa - protocol address of requesting station

Note that the Q.922 addresses specified have the C/R, FECN, BECN, and

DE bits set to zero.

Procedures for using InARP over a Frame Relay network are identical to those for using ARP and RARP discussed in [\[3\]](#).

[8.](#) Security Considerations

This document specifies a functional enhancement to the ARP family of protocols, and is subject to the same security constraints that affect ARP and similar address resolution protocols. Because authentication is not a part of ARP, there are known security issues relating to its use (e.g., host impersonation). No additional security mechanisms have been added to the ARP family of protocols by this document.

9. References

- [1] Plummer, D., "An Ethernet Address Resolution Protocol - or -
Converting Network Protocol Addresses to 48.bit Ethernet Address
for Transmission on Ethernet Hardware", STD 37, [RFC 826](#), MIT,
November 1982.
- [2] Reynolds, J. and J. Postel, "Assigned Numbers", STD 2, [RFC 1700](#),
USC/Information Sciences Institute, October 1994
- [3] Brown, C., Malis, A., "Multiprotocol Interconnect over Frame
Relay", [RFC 1490](#), July 1993.
- [4] Finlayson, R., Mann, R., Mogul, J., and M. Theimer, "A Reverse
Address Resolution Protocol", STD 38, [RFC 903](#), Stanford
University, June 1984.
- [5] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement
Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), Harvard University, March 1997.

10. Authors' Addresses

Terry Bradley
Avici Systems, Inc.
12 Elizabeth Drive
Chelmsford, MA 01824
Phone: (978) 250-3344
Email: tbradley@avici.com

Bradley, Brown, Malis Expires September 10, 1998

[Page 6]

INTERNET-DRAFT

Inverse ARP

March 11, 1998

Caralyn Brown
FORE Systems, Inc.
1 Corporate Drive
Andover, MA 01810
Phone: (978) 689-2400 x133
Email: cbrown@fore.com

Andrew Malis

Ascend Communications, Inc.
1 Robbins Road
Westford, MA 01886
Phone: (978) 952-7414
Email: malis@ascend.com