

Changing the Default for Directed Broadcasts in Routers

Status of this Memo

This document specifies an Internet Best Current Practices for the Internet Community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (1999). All Rights Reserved.

[1.](#) Introduction

Router Requirements [[1](#)] specifies that routers must receive and forward directed broadcasts. It also specifies that routers MUST have an option to disable this feature, and that this option MUST default to permit the receiving and forwarding of directed broadcasts. While directed broadcasts have uses, their use on the Internet backbone appears to be comprised entirely of malicious attacks on other networks.

Changing the required default for routers would help ensure new routers connected to the Internet do not add to the problems already present.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#).

[2.](#) Discussion

Damaging denial of service attacks led to the writing of [[2](#)] on Ingress Filtering. Many network providers and corporate networks have endorsed the use of these methods to ensure their networks are not the source of such attacks.

A recent trend in Smurf Attacks [[3](#)] is to target networks which permit directed broadcasts from outside their networks. By permitting directed broadcasts, these systems become "Smurf Amplifiers."

While the continued implementation of ingress filters remains the best way to limit these attacks, restricting directed broadcasts should also receive priority.

Network service providers and corporate network operators are urged to ensure their networks are not susceptible to directed broadcast packets originating outside their networks.

Mobile IP [4] had provisions for using directed broadcasts in a mobile node's use of dynamic agent discovery. While some implementations support this feature, it is unclear whether it is useful. Other methods of achieving the same result are documented in [5]. It may be worthwhile to consider removing the language on using directed broadcasts as Mobile IP progresses on the standards track.

3. Recommendation

Router Requirements [1] is updated as follows:

[Section 4.2.2.11](#) (d) is replaced with:

(d) { <Network-prefix>, -1 }

Directed Broadcast - a broadcast directed to the specified network prefix. It MUST NOT be used as a source address. A router MAY originate Network Directed Broadcast packets. A router MAY have a configuration option to allow it to receive directed broadcast packets, however this option MUST be disabled by default, and thus the router MUST NOT receive Network Directed Broadcast packets unless specifically configured by the end user.

[Section 5.3.5.2](#), second paragraph replaced with:

A router MAY have an option to enable receiving network-prefix-directed broadcasts on an interface and MAY have an option to enable forwarding network-prefix-directed broadcasts. These options MUST default to blocking receipt and blocking forwarding of network-prefix-directed broadcasts.

4. Security Considerations

The goal of this document is to reduce the efficacy of certain types of denial of service attacks.

5. References

- [1] Baker, F., "Requirements for IP Version 4 Routers", [RFC 1812](#), June 1995.

- [2] Ferguson, P. and D. Senie, "Ingress Filtering", [RFC 2267](#), January 1998.
- [3] See the pages by Craig Huegen at:
<http://www.quadrunner.com/~chuegen/smurf.txt>, and the CERT advisory at: <http://www.cert.org/advisories/CA-98.01.smurf.html>
- [4] Perkins, C., "IP Mobility Support", [RFC 2002](#), October 1996.
- [5] P. Calhoun, C. Perkins, "Mobile IP Dynamic Home Address Allocation Extensions", Work in Progress.

[6.](#) Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Brandon Ross of Mindspring and Gabriel Montenegro of Sun for their input.

[7.](#) Author's Address

Daniel Senie
Amaranth Networks Inc.
324 Still River Road
Bolton, MA 01740

Phone: (978) 779-6813
EMail: dts@senie.com

8. Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (1999). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

