

Secure TELNET Working Group

Internet-Draft

Russell Housley (SPYRUS)

Todd Horting (SPYRUS)

Peter Yee (SPYRUS)

April 2000

## TELNET Authentication Using DSA

<[draft-housley-telnet-auth-dsa-05.txt](#)>

### Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of [Section 10 of RFC2026](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

Distribution of this memo is unlimited. Please send comments to the <telnet-ietf@bsd.com> mailing list.

### Abstract

This document defines a telnet authentication mechanism using the Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA) [FIPS186]. It relies on the TELNET Authentication Option [[RFC1416](#)].

INTERNET DRAFT

April 2000

1. Command Names and Codes

## AUTHENTICATION 37

## Authentication Commands:

|       |   |
|-------|---|
| IS    | 0 |
| SEND  | 1 |
| REPLY | 2 |
| NAME  | 3 |

## Authentication Types:

|     |    |
|-----|----|
| DSS | 14 |
|-----|----|

## Modifiers:

|                        |    |
|------------------------|----|
| AUTH_WHO_MASK          | 1  |
| AUTH_CLIENT_TO_SERVER  | 0  |
| AUTH_SERVER_TO_CLIENT  | 1  |
| AUTH_HOW_MASK          | 2  |
| AUTH_HOW_ONE_WAY       | 0  |
| AUTH_HOW_MUTUAL        | 2  |
| ENCRYPT_MASK           | 20 |
| ENCRYPT_OFF            | 0  |
| ENCRYPT_USING_TELOPT   | 4  |
| ENCRYPT_AFTER_EXCHANGE | 16 |
| ENCRYPT_RESERVED       | 20 |
| INI_CRED_FWD_MASK      | 8  |
| INI_CRED_FWD_OFF       | 0  |
| INI_CRED_FWD_ON        | 8  |

## Sub-option Commands:

|                    |   |
|--------------------|---|
| DSS_INITIALIZE     | 1 |
| DSS_TOKENBA        | 2 |
| DSS_CERTA_TOKENAB  | 3 |
| DSS_CERTB_TOKENBA2 | 4 |

## [2.](#) TELNET Security Extensions

TELNET, as a protocol, has no concept of security. Without negotiated options, it merely passes characters back and forth between the NVTs represented by the two TELNET processes. In its

most common usage as a protocol for remote terminal access (TCP port 23), TELNET connects to a server that requires user-level authentication through a user name and password in the clear; the server does not authenticate itself to the user.

The TELNET Authentication Option provides for user authentication and server authentication. User authentication replaces or augments the normal host password mechanism. Server authentication is normally done in conjunction with user authentication.

In order to support these security services, the two TELNET entities must first negotiate their willingness to support the TELNET Authentication Option. Upon agreeing to support this option, the parties are then able to perform sub-options determine the authentication protocol to be used, and possibly the remote user name to be used for authorization checking.

Authentication and parameter negotiation occur within an unbounded series of exchanges. The server proposes a preference-ordered list of authentication types (mechanisms) which it supports. In addition to listing the mechanisms it supports, the server qualifies each mechanism with a modifier that specifies whether the authentication is to be one-way or mutual, and in which direction the authentication is to be performed. The client selects one mechanism from the list and responds to the server indicating its choice and the first set of authentication data needed for the selected authentication type. The server and the client then proceed through whatever number of iterations are required to arrive at the requested authentication.

## [3.](#) Use of Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA)

DSA is also known as the Digital Signature Standard (DSS), and the names are used interchangeably. This paper specifies a method in which DSA may be used to achieve certain security services when used in conjunction with the TELNET Authentication Option. SHA-1 [FIPS180-1] is used with DSA [FIPS186].

DSA may provide either unilateral or mutual authentication. Due to TELNET's character-by-character nature, it is not well-suited to the application of integrity-only services, therefore use of the DSA profile provides authentication but it does not provide session integrity. This specification follows the token and exchanges defined in NIST FIPS PUB 196 [FIPS196], Standard for Public Key Cryptographic Entity Authentication Mechanisms including [Appendix A](#) on ASN.1 encoding of messages and tokens. All data that is covered by a digital signature must be encoded using the Distinguished Encoding Rules (DER). However, other data may use either the Basic Encoding Rules (BER) or DER [X.208].

### [3.1.](#) Unilateral Authentication with DSA

Unilateral authentication must be done client-to-server. What follows are the protocol steps necessary to perform DSA authentication as specified in FIPS PUB 196 under the TELNET Authentication Option framework. Where failure modes are encountered, the return codes follow those specified in the TELNET Authentication Option. They are not enumerated here, as they are invariant among the mechanisms used. FIPS PUB 196 employs a set of exchanges that are transferred to provide authentication. Each exchange employs various fields and tokens, some of which are optional. In addition, each token has several subfields that are optional. A conformant subset of the fields and subfields have been selected. Therefore, the exchanges below do not use the FIPS PUB 196 notation indicating optional fields, as all subfields used are mandatory. The tokens are ASN.1 encoded as defined in [Appendix A](#) of FIPS PUB 196, and each token is named to indicate the direction in which it flows (e.g., TokenBA flows from Party B to Party A). All data that is covered by a digital signature must be encoded using the Distinguished Encoding Rules (DER). Data that is not covered by a digital signature may use either the Basic Encoding Rules (BER) or DER [X.208]. Figure 1 illustrates the exchanges for unilateral authentication.

During authentication, the client may provide the user name to the server by using the authentication name sub-option. If the name sub-option is not used, the server will generally prompt for a name and password in the clear. The name sub-option must be sent after the server sends the list of authentication types supported and before

the client finishes the authentication exchange, this ensures that the server will not prompt for a user name and password. In figure 1, the name sub-option is sent immediately after the server presents the list of authentication types supported.

For one-way DSS authentication, the two-octet authentication type pair is DSS CLIENT\_TO\_SERVER | ONE\_WAY ENCRYPT\_OFF | INI\_CRED\_FWD\_OFF. This indicates that the DSS authentication mechanism will be used to authenticate the client to the server and that no encryption will be performed.

CertA is the clients certificate. CertB is the server's certificate. Both certificates are X.509 certificates that contain DSS public keys[RFC2459]. The client must validate the server's certificate before using the KEA public key it contains.

Within the unbounded authentication exchange, implementation is greatly simplified if each portion of the exchange carries a unique identifier. For this reason, a single octet sub-option identifier is

carried immediately after the two-octet authentication type pair.

The exchanges detailed in Figure 1 below presume knowledge of FIPS PUB 196 and the TELNET Authentication Option. The client is Party A, while the server is Party B. At the end of the exchanges, the client is authenticated to the server.

-----  
Client (Party A)

Server (Party B)

<-- IAC DO AUTHENTICATION

IAC WILL AUTHENTICATION

-->

<-- IAC SB AUTHENTICATION SEND  
<list of authentication options>  
IAC SE

IAC SB AUTHENTICATION

NAME <user name>

-->

IAC SB AUTHENTICATION IS

```

DSS
CLIENT_TO_SERVER|
    ONE_WAY |
    ENCRYPT_OFF |
    INI_CRED_FWD_OFF
DSS_INITIALIZE
IAC SE                                -->

<-- IAC SB AUTHENTICATION REPLY
DSS
CLIENT_TO_SERVER|
    ONE_WAY |
    ENCRYPT_OFF |
    INI_CRED_FWD_OFF
DSS_TOKENBA
Sequence( TokenID, TokenBA )
IAC SE

```

---

Figure 1 (continued)

Figure 1 (continued)

---

| Client (Party A)                    | Server (Party B) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| IAC SB AUTHENTICATION IS            |                  |
| DSS                                 |                  |
| CLIENT_TO_SERVER                    |                  |
| ONE_WAY                             |                  |
| ENCRYPT_OFF                         |                  |
| INI_CRED_FWD_OFF                    |                  |
| DSS_CERTA_TOKENAB                   |                  |
| Sequence( TokenID, CertA, TokenAB ) |                  |
| IAC SE                              | -->              |

---

Figure 1

### [3.2.](#) Mutual Authentication with DSA

Mutual authentication is slightly more complex. Figure 2 illustrates the exchanges.

For mutual DSS authentication, the two-octet authentication type pair is DSS CLIENT\_TO\_SERVER | MUTUAL | ENCRYPT\_OFF | INI\_CRED\_FWD\_OFF. This indicates that the DSS authentication mechanism will be used to mutually authenticate the client and the server and that no encryption will be performed.

```
-----
Client (Party A)                                Server (Party B)

IAC WILL AUTHENTICATION      -->

                                <-- IAC DO AUTHENTICATION

                                <-- IAC SB AUTHENTICATION SEND
                                    <list of authentication options>
                                    IAC SE

IAC SB AUTHENTICATION
NAME <user name>              -->
-----
```

Figure 2 (continued)

Figure 2 (continued)

```
-----
Client (Party A)                                Server (Party B)

IAC SB AUTHENTICATION IS
DSS
CLIENT_TO_SERVER |
    MUTUAL |
```

```
    ENCRYPT_OFF |
    INI_CRED_FWD_OFF
DSS_INITIALIZE
IAC SE
```

-->

```
<-- IAC SB AUTHENTICATION REPLY
DSS
CLIENT_TO_SERVER |
    MUTUAL |
    ENCRYPT_OFF |
    INI_CRED_FWD_OFF
DSS_TOKENBA
Sequence( TokenID, TokenBA )
IAC SE
```

```
IAC SB AUTHENTICATION IS
DSS
CLIENT_TO_SERVER |
    MUTUAL |
    ENCRYPT_OFF |
    INI_CRED_FWD_OFF
DSS_CERTA_TOKENAB
Sequence( TokenID, CertA, TokenAB )
IAC SE
```

-->

```
<-- IAC SB AUTHENTICATION REPLY
DSS
CLIENT_TO_SERVER |
    MUTUAL |
    ENCRYPT_OFF |
    INI_CRED_FWD_OFF
DSS_CERTB_TOKENBA2
Sequence( TokenID, CertB, TokenBA2 )
IAC SE
```

Figure 2



This entire memo is about security mechanisms. For DSA to provide the authentication discussed, the implementation must protect the private key from disclosure.

## 5. Acknowledgements

We would like to thank William Nace for support during implementation of this specification.

## 6. IANA Considerations

The authentication type DSS and its associated suboption values are registered with IANA. Any suboption values used to extend the protocol as described in this document must be registered with IANA before use. IANA is instructed not to issue new suboption values without submission of documentation of their use.

## 7. References

- FIPS186      Digital Signature Standard (DSS). FIPS Pub 186.  
May 19, 1994.  
<<http://csrc.nist.gov/fips/fips186.pdf>>
- FIPS180-1    Secure Hash Standard. FIPS Pub 180-1. April 17, 1995.  
<<http://csrc.nist.gov/fips/fips180-1.pdf>>
- FIPS196      Standard for Entity Authentication Using Public Key  
Cryptography. FIPS Pub 196. February 18, 1997.  
<<http://csrc.nist.gov/fips/fips196.pdf>>
- [RFC1416](#)      Borman, David A. "TELNET Authentication Option".  
[RFC 1416](#). February 1993.
- [RFC2459](#)      Housley, R., Ford, W., Polk, W. and D. Solo, "Internet  
X.509 Public Key Infrastructure: X.509 Certificate and  
CRL Profile", [RFC 2459](#), January 1999.
- X.208        CCITT. Recommendation X.208: Specification of Abstract  
Syntax Notation One (ASN.1). 1988.

## 8. Author's Address

Russell Housley  
SPYRUS  
381 Elden Street, Suite 1120  
Herndon, VA 20172  
USA  
Email: [housley@spyrus.com](mailto:housley@spyrus.com)

Todd Horting  
SPYRUS  
381 Elden Street, Suite 1120  
Herndon, VA 20172  
USA  
Email: [thorthing@spyrus.com](mailto:thorthing@spyrus.com)

Peter Yee  
SPYRUS  
5303 Betsy Ross Drive  
Santa Clara, CA 95054  
USA

Email: yee@spyrus.com

Housley, Horting, Yee

Expires Sept 2000

[Page 9]

---

INTERNET DRAFT

April 2000

---

Jeffrey Altman \* Sr.Software Designer \* Kermit-95 for Win32 and OS/2  
The Kermit Project \* Columbia University  
612 West 115th St #716 \* New York, NY \* 10025  
<http://www.kermit-project.org/k95.html> \* [kermit-support@kermit-project.org](mailto:kermit-support@kermit-project.org)