

Internet Draft: Mapping Between MMS and Internet Mail  
Document: [draft-ietf-lemonade-mms-mapping-06.txt](#)  
Expires: April 2006

R. Gellens  
Qualcomm  
October 2005

## Mapping Between the Multimedia Messaging Service (MMS) and Internet Mail

### Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt> The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2005). All Rights Reserved.

### Abstract

The cellular telephone industry has defined a service known as the Multimedia Messaging Service (MMS). This service uses formats and protocols which are similar to, but differ in key ways from, those used in Internet mail.

One important difference between MMS and Internet Mail is that MMS uses headers which start with "X-Mms-" to carry a variety of user agent and server related information elements.

Internet Draft      Mapping Between MMS and Internet Mail      October 2005

This document specifies how to exchange messages between these two services, including mapping information elements as used in MMS X-Mms-\* headers as well as delivery and disposition reports, to and from that used in SMTP and Internet message headers.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">1.1</a>	Conventions Used in this Document . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">1.2</a>	Scope . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">1.3</a>	Definitions . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">1.4</a>	Abbreviations . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">1.5</a>	Assumptions . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">2</a>	Mapping Between MMS and Internet Mail . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">2.1</a>	Mapping Specification . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">2.1.1</a>	MMS to Internet Mail . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">2.1.2</a>	Internet Mail to MMS . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">2.1.3</a>	MMS Information Element Mappings . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">2.1.3.1</a>	Table 1: Information Element Mappings . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">2.1.3.2</a>	Conversion of messages from MMS to Internet format	10
<a href="#">2.1.3.2.1</a>	Table 2: Importance Mappings (MMS to Internet	13
<a href="#">2.1.3.3</a>	Conversion of messages from Internet to MMS format	17
<a href="#">2.1.3.3.1</a>	Table 4: Priority Mappings (Internet Message t	19
<a href="#">2.1.4</a>	Report Generation and Conversion . . . . .	<a href="#">22</a>
<a href="#">2.1.4.1</a>	Delivery Report Mapping from MMS to Internet Messa	22
<a href="#">2.1.4.1.1</a>	Table 5: Delivery Report Mappings (MMS to Inte	23
<a href="#">2.1.4.2</a>	Delivery Report Mapping from Internet Message to M	24
<a href="#">2.1.4.2.1</a>	Table 6: Delivery Report Mappings (Internet Me	25
<a href="#">2.1.4.3</a>	Read Report Mapping from MMS to Internet Message	26
<a href="#">2.1.4.3.1</a>	Table 7: Read Report Mappings (MMS to Internet	27
<a href="#">2.1.4.4</a>	Disposition Report Mapping from Internet Message t	28
<a href="#">2.1.4.4.1</a>	Table 8: Disposition Report Mappings (Internet	28
<a href="#">2.1.5</a>	Message Delivery . . . . .	<a href="#">29</a>
<a href="#">3</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">29</a>
<a href="#">4</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">30</a>
<a href="#">5</a>	Acknowledgments . . . . .	<a href="#">30</a>
<a href="#">6</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">30</a>
<a href="#">7</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">31</a>
<a href="#">8</a>	Author's Address . . . . .	<a href="#">32</a>
	<a href="#">Appendix A</a> : Changes Since Last Version . . . . .	<a href="#">33</a>
	Intellectual Property Statement . . . . .	<a href="#">34</a>
	Full Copyright Statement . . . . .	<a href="#">34</a>

## [1](#) Introduction

### [1.1](#) Conventions Used in this Document

The key words "REQUIRED", "MUST", "MUST NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", and "MAY" in this document are to be interpreted as described in "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels" [[KEYWORDS](#)].

Gellens

[Page 3]

Expires April 2006

Internet Draft

Mapping Between MMS and Internet Mail

October 2005

### [1.2](#) Scope

This document describes how to exchange messages between MMS systems (as defined by 3GPP/3GPP2/OMA) and Internet mail systems (that is, [[SMTP](#)] and [[Msg-Fmt](#)]). This includes the translation of message formats, message header elements, message delivery reports and message disposition reports ([[DSN-Msg](#)] and [[MDN](#)]).

The MMS architecture [[Stage 2](#)] and specifications [[Stage 3](#)] refer to interfaces as reference points named MMx. For example, MM1 is the client-server interface, MM4 is the server-server interface, and MM3 is an interface to "external" or non-MMS systems. The specification in this document can be used for message exchange between any system which uses Internet Message formats and protocols and an MMS system; from the perspective of the MMS system, reference point MM3 is used.

This document includes support for voice messages specified by the Voice Profile for Internet Mail [[VPIM](#)]. The VPIM specification allows voice messages to be exchanged between voice mail systems using Internet mail format [[Msg-Fmt](#)] and transported via [[SMTP](#)]. Thus, the MMS MM3 interface supports the ability to exchange voice messages between an MMS system and a voice mail system. Note that such use is distinct from voice media being part of a user-composed multimedia message.

Note that MM3 can also be used for interworking with "external" (non-MMS) systems other than Internet mail, such as Short Messaging Service (SMS) and access to external mail stores (such as a voice mail system). This specification does not address these other uses or sub-interfaces of MM3; it is only concerned with Internet mail interworking and specifically exchange of messages.

All MM3 Stage 2 [[Stage 2](#)] functions are supported except for reply charging and sender address hiding.

### [1.3](#) Definitions

-----	-----
Body	The portion of an [ <a href="#">SMTP</a> ] message's Content  following the Header (that is, following the  first blank line). The Body may contain  structured parts and sub-parts, each of which  may have their own Header and Body. The Body  contains information intended for the message  recipient (human or software). -----

Gellens

[Page 4]

Expires April 2006

Internet Draft

Mapping Between MMS and Internet Mail

October 2005

-----	-----
Content	The portion of an SMTP message that is  delivered. The Content consists of a Header  and a Body. -----
Disposition Report	Feedback information to an originator User  Agent by a recipient User Agent about Message Disposition Notification  handling of an original message. This may  include notification that the message was or  was not read, was deleted unread, etc. -----
Envelope	The portion of an SMTP message not included in  the Content; that is, not in the Header nor in  the Body. While some of it may be copied into  the Content on delivery, envelope information  only exists while the message is in transit,  and contains information used by SMTP agents  (MTAs). -----
Gateway	See [ <a href="#">SMTP</a> ], Section 2.3.8. -----
Header	The first part of an SMTP message's Content.  The Header is separated from the Body by a  blank line. The Header consists of Fields  (such as "To:"), also known as Header Fields  or Headers. The message Header contains  information used by User Agents. -----
Relay/Server	An MMS server. See [ <a href="#">Stage 2</a> ]. For purposes -----

	of this document, an MMS Relay/Server acts as  a gateway when it receives or sends messages  via Internet mail.
-----	-----
User Agent	An MMS or Email user agent
-----	-----

Gellens

[Page 5]

Expires April 2006

Internet Draft

Mapping Between MMS and Internet Mail

October 2005

## [1.4](#) Abbreviations

-----	-----
MSA	Message Submission Agent. A server which accepts messages  from User Agents and processes them; either delivering  them locally or relaying to an MTA.
-----	-----
MTA	Mail Transfer Agent. A server which implements [ <a href="#">SMTP</a> ].
-----	-----

## [1.5](#) Assumptions

It is assumed that the reader is already familiar with the contents of the 3GPP2 MMS Specification Overview [[Overview](#)], MMS Stage 1 (requirements) [[Stage 1](#)] and Stage 2 (architecture and abstract messages) [[Stage 2](#)], and 3GPP/3GPP2 Stage 3 (protocols) [[Stage 3](#)] documents. It is also assumed that the reader is familiar with Internet mail, especially [RFC 2821](#) [[SMTP](#)] and [RFC 2822](#) [[Msg-Fmt](#)].

## [2](#) Mapping Between MMS and Internet Mail

This section defines the interworking between MMS Relay/Servers and

External Servers using native [[SMTP](#)]. That is, information elements are exchanged using standard Internet Message [[Msg-Fmt](#)] header fields and standard [[SMTP](#)] elements.

SMTP and Internet mail extensions are used for features such as delivery reports, message expiration, discovery of server support for optional features, etc.

## [2.1](#) Mapping Specification

### [2.1.1](#) MMS to Internet Mail

When sending a message to an Internet mail system the MMS Relay/Server MUST convert the MM if required, and MUST comply with the requirements of [[SMTP](#)].

The MMS Relay/Server SHOULD use the information elements associated with the MM to define the control information (Internet Message header fields and SMTP envelope values) needed for the transfer protocol.

[Section 2.1.3](#) lists the mappings between X-Mms-\* headers and Internet Message header fields and SMTP values.

Delivery and read report MMs SHOULD be converted to standard Internet Message report format (multipart/report). In addition to converting Internet Message reports, the MMS Relay/Server MUST generate delivery and read report MMs for received messages as appropriate. See [section 2.1.4](#) for more information.

### [2.1.2](#) Internet Mail to MMS

When receiving a message from an Internet mail system the MMS Relay/Server converts incoming messages to the MM format used within the receiving system.

The MMS Relay/Server converts control information received from the Internet mail server into appropriate information elements of an MM.

[Section 2.1.3](#) lists the mappings between X-Mms-\* headers and Internet Message header fields and SMTP values.

Standard Internet Message report format (multipart/report) messages MAY be converted to delivery or read report MMs, as appropriate. In addition to converting report MMs, implementations conforming to this document MUST generate standard Internet Message delivery and disposition reports for received Internet messages as appropriate. See [section 2.1.4](#) for more information.

### [2.1.3](#) MMS Information Element Mappings

The mappings between MMS elements and SMTP/Internet Message elements ([SMTP] parameters, [Msg-Fmt] headers, and [Dsn-Msg] fields) are summarized in the table below, and detailed in subsequent sections. The "MMS Headers" are from [OMA-MMS]. Note that only information elements which need to be mapped are listed. [Msg-Fmt] headers not listed here SHOULD be passed unaltered

#### [2.1.3.1](#) Table 1: Information Element Mappings

Information Elem	[SMTP] Element	[Msg-Fmt] Header	MMS Header
------------------	----------------	------------------	------------



3GPP MMS Version	N/A	N/A	X-Mms-3GPP-MMS- Version:
Message Type (of PDU)	N/A	N/A	X-Mms-Message- Type:
Transaction ID	N/A	N/A	X-Mms-Transact ion-Id:
Message ID	N/A	Message-ID:	Message-ID:
Recipient address(es)	RCPT TO address(es)	To:, Cc:, or omitted (Bcc)	To:, Cc:, Bcc:
Sender's address	MAIL FROM address if user-originated; MUST set MAIL FROM to null ("<>") for all automatically- generated MMs	From:	From:
Content type	N/A	Content-Type:  For voice mes- sages compliant to [ <a href="#">VPIM</a> ], see Note 2	Content-type:
=====	=====	=====	=====

=====	=====	=====	=====
Information Elem	[ <a href="#">SMTP</a> ] Element	[ <a href="#">Msg-Fmt</a> ] Header	MMS Header
=====	=====	=====	=====
Message class	Class=auto: MUST set MAIL FROM to null ("<>").	MAY set 'Prece dence: bulk' on class=auto	X-Mms-Message- Class:
-----	-----	-----	-----
Date and time	N/A	Date:	Date:

of submission			
Time of expiry	DELIVER-BY [ <a href="#">Deliver-By</a> ]	N/A	X-Mms-Expiry:
Earliest delivery time	(only for submission; not relay)	N/A	X-Mms-Delivery-Time:
Delivery report request	DSN [ <a href="#">DSN-SMTP</a> ] SHOULD also specify recipient address as ORCPT; SHOULD also specify ENVID	N/A	X-Mms-Delivery-Report:
Importance (a/k/a "priority")	N/A	Importance:	X-Mms-Priority:
Sender visibility	(not currently supported)	(not currently supported)	X-Mms-Sender-Visibility:
Read reply request	N/A	Disposition-Notification-To: [ <a href="#">MDN</a> ]	X-Mms-Read-Reply:
Reply-charging permission	(not currently supported)	(not currently supported)	X-Mms-Reply-Charging:
Reply-charging permission deadline	(not currently supported)	(not currently supported)	X-Mms-Reply-Charging-Deadline:
Reply-charging permission limitation	(not currently supported)	(not currently supported)	X-Mms-Reply-Charging-Size:
=====	=====	=====	=====

=====	=====	=====	=====
Information Elem	[ <a href="#">SMTP</a> ] Element	[ <a href="#">Msg-Fmt</a> ] Header	MMS Header
=====	=====	=====	=====
Reply charging	(not currently	(not currently	X-Mms-Reply-

usage request	supported)	supported)	Charging-Id:
Reply charging usage reference	(not currently supported)	(not currently supported)	X-Mms-Reply-Charging:
Subject	N/A	Subject:	Subject:
Previously-sent by	N/A	Resent-From:	X-Mms-Previously-Sent-By:
Previously-sent date	N/A	Resent-Date:	X-Mms-Previously-Sent-Date-and-Time:
Hop/host trace	N/A	Received:	(Not supported)
Sensitivity	N/A	Sensitivity: see Note 1	N/A
Content	N/A	<message body>	<message body>
=====	=====	=====	=====

Note 1: The [\[VPIM\]](#) 'Sensitivity' header element indicates the privacy requested by the message originator (values are "personal" or "private"); per [\[VPIM\]](#), a message recipient MUST NOT forward a message with a 'Sensitivity' header. Since sensitivity is not an MMS feature, any messages which contain a 'Sensitivity:' header SHOULD NOT be sent to an MMS system.

Note 2: [\[VPIM\]](#) specifies how conforming messages are identified.

#### [2.1.3.2](#) Conversion of messages from MMS to Internet format

##### 3GPP MMS Version

The 'X-Mms-3GPP-MMS-Version:' header, if present, SHOULD be removed.

##### Message Type (of PDU)

The 'X-Mms-Message-Type:' header, if present, SHOULD be removed.

#### Transaction ID

The 'X-Mms-Transaction-Id:' header, if present, SHOULD be removed.

#### Message ID

The 'Message-Id:' header MUST be retained. If not present it MUST be created, with a unique value, per [\[Msg-Fmt\]](#).

To facilitate the case where an MMS message traverses the Internet prior to returning to an MMS system, implementations might wish to retain the 'X-Mms-Message-Id:' header. Such systems should be aware that headers which begin with "X-" might be removed during transit through Internet MTAs.

#### Recipient(s) address

The address of each recipient MUST be transmitted in the [\[SMTP\]](#) envelope as a RCPT TO value. All disclosed recipients SHOULD also appear in a 'To:' or 'Cc:' header. At least one 'To:', 'Cc:', or 'Bcc:' header MUST be present. If none are present, a 'To:' header SHOULD be created using empty group syntax whose name gives an indication to a human reader, for example 'To: undisclosed-recipients;:'.

The 'To:' header SHOULD NOT appear more than once. The 'Cc:' header SHOULD NOT appear more than once.

Each recipient address MUST obey the length restrictions per [\[SMTP\]](#).

Current Internet message format requires that only 7-bit US-ASCII characters be present in headers. Non-7-bit characters in an address domain must be encoded with [\[IDN\]](#). If there are any Non-7-bit characters in the local part of an address, the message MUST be rejected. Non-7-bit characters elsewhere in a header MUST be encoded according to [\[Hdr-Enc\]](#).

All recipient addresses in the [\[SMTP\]](#) envelope must be fully-qualified in accordance with [\[SMTP\]](#). In particular, messages MUST NOT be sent to an Internet mail system with an unqualified E.164 number (i.e., a number with no domain) instead of a fully-qualified domain name.

All addresses in 'To:', 'Cc:', and 'Bcc:' headers MUST be in the form of fully-qualified domains. Unqualified E.164 numbers MUST NOT be used.

Internet Draft      Mapping Between MMS and Internet Mail      October 2005

### Sender address

The address of the message sender SHOULD appear in the 'From:' header.

The address of the message sender for all user-generated messages ('X-Mms-Message-Class: Personal') SHOULD be transmitted in the [[SMTP](#)] envelope as the MAIL FROM value.

The return addresses in the [[SMTP](#)] envelope must be fully-qualified in accordance with [[SMTP](#)]. In particular, messages MUST NOT be sent to an Internet mail system with an E.164 number instead of a fully-qualified domain name. Note that qualified E.164 numbers, that is, those that contain an E.164 number as the local-part of an address that also includes a domain, are acceptable.

The address(es) in the 'From:' header SHOULD be in the form of fully-qualified domains. Unqualified E.164 numbers SHOULD NOT be used.

Because of the risk of mail loops, it is critical that the MAIL FROM be set to null ("<>") for all automatically-generated MMs (such as 'X-Mms-Message-Class: Auto'). The MAIL FROM value MUST be set to null for all automatically-generated messages. This includes reports, "out-of-office" replies, etc.

Current Internet message format requires that only 7-bit US-ASCII characters be present in headers. Non-7-bit characters in an address domain must be encoded with [[IDN](#)]. If there are any Non-7-bit characters in the local part of an address, the message MUST be rejected. Non-7-bit characters elsewhere in a header MUST be encoded according to [[Hdr-Enc](#)]. Note that it would be possible to define an [[SMTP](#)] extension to permit transmission of unencoded 8-bit characters, but in the absence of such an extension [[Hdr-Enc](#)] MUST be used.

The sender address MUST obey the length restrictions of [[SMTP](#)].

### Content type

The 'Content-Type:' header SHOULD be preserved.

### Message class

The 'X-Mms-Message-Class:' header MAY be retained in order to

provide information on the source of the message. A 'Precedence: bulk' header MAY be inserted for class=auto or class=advertisement. See 'Sender Address' above. (Class=personal and class=informational do not require special handling.)

Gellens

[Page 12]

Expires April 2006

Internet Draft

Mapping Between MMS and Internet Mail

October 2005

### Time of Expiry

The 'X-Mms-Expiry:' header, if present, SHOULD be removed.

The remaining time until the message is considered expired SHOULD be transmitted in the [\[SMTP\]](#) envelope by using the DELIVER-BY extension with a by-mode of "R", as specified in [\[Deliver-By\]](#).

Note that the [\[SMTP\]](#) DELIVER-BY extension carries time remaining until expiration; each server decrements the value by the amount of time it has possessed the message. The 'X-Mms-Expiry:' header may contain either the absolute time at which the message is considered expired or the relative time until the message is considered expired.

### Earliest delivery time

The 'X-Mms-Delivery-Time:' header, if present, SHOULD be removed.

Future delivery is a message submission, not message relay feature.

### Delivery report request

Requests for delivery status notifications (DSNs) SHOULD be transmitted in the [\[SMTP\]](#) envelope by using the DSN extension as specified in [\[DSN-SMTP\]](#) to request "success" or "none" notification (depending on the value of the 'X-Mms-Delivery-Report' header). When the NOTIFY extension is used, the unaltered recipient address SHOULD be transmitted as the ORCPT value.

The 'X-Mms-Delivery-Report:' header, if present, SHOULD be removed.

### Importance

The message sender's importance value (also called "priority", although this can be confused with class-of-service values) SHOULD be transmitted using an 'Importance:' header.

### Suggested mappings:

#### [2.1.3.2.1](#) Table 2: Importance Mappings (MMS to Internet Message)

----- ----- 'X-Mms-Priority: High' 'Importance: High' ----- -----
'X-Mms-Priority: Normal' [omit] ----- -----
'X-Mms-Priority: Low' 'Importance: Low' ----- -----

Gellens

[Page 13]

Expires April 2006

Internet Draft

Mapping Between MMS and Internet Mail

October 2005

Normal importance messages should omit the 'Importance:' header.

The 'X-Mms-Priority:' header, if present, SHOULD be removed.

#### Sender visibility

Support for sender address hiding is not currently supported.

A message that contains an 'X-Mms-Sender-Visibility:' header with a value of 'Hide' SHOULD be rejected.

The 'X-Mms-Sender-Visibility:' header, if present, SHOULD be removed.

#### Read reply request

A request for a read reply SHOULD be transmitted using a 'Disposition-Notification-To:' header as specified in [\[MDN\]](#).

The 'X-Mms-Read-Reply:' header, if present, SHOULD be removed.

#### Reply-charging

Reply charging permission and acceptance are complex issues requiring both user agent and server support. Misapplied reply charging may cause incorrect billing. Until the security issues have been properly addressed, reply charging SHOULD NOT be honored when using this interface.

The 'X-Mms-Reply-Charging:', 'X-Mms-Reply-Charging-Deadline:', 'X-Mms-Reply-Charging-Size:', and 'X-Mms-Reply-Charging-Id:' headers MAY be removed. Messages containing a reply-charging usage request ('X-Mms-Reply-Charging-Id:' and 'X-Mms-Reply-Charging: accepted' or 'X-Mms-Reply-Charging: accepted (text only)' headers) SHOULD be rejected.

## Subject

The 'Subject:' header MUST be preserved. Current Internet message format requires that only 7-bit US-ASCII characters be present. Other characters MUST be encoded according to [[Hdr-Enc](#)]. Note that it is possible for an [[SMTP](#)] extension to be defined which would permit transmission of unencoded 8-bit characters, but in the absence of such an extension [[Hdr-Enc](#)] MUST be used.

## Resending

Gellens

[Page 14]

Expires April 2006

Internet Draft

Mapping Between MMS and Internet Mail

October 2005

A message may be resent to one or more new recipients; it may be resent more than once; each time new 'Resent-' headers are added at the top of the existing headers. Thus, if more than one series of 'Resent-' headers are present, the original series is the last; the most recent is the first.

### Forward counter

An 'X-Mms-Forward-Counter:' header, if present, SHOULD be removed. The 'Resent-Count:' header is NOT RECOMMENDED. Loop control is usually done by counting 'Received' headers, which are more general than 'Resent-' headers.

### Previously-Sent Information

MMS lists the resending history of a message in two headers:

'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-By:' and

'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-Date-and-Time:'. 'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-By:' contains a number followed by one or more addresses.

'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-Date-and-Time:' contains a number followed by a date-time. With both headers, the number "0" is used for the entry that corresponds to the original submission of the message, with higher values being used for each subsequent resending. The final (most recent) resending information is in the 'From:' and 'Date:' headers. There is also an 'X-Mms-Forward-Counter:' that indicates how many times the message has been resent.

Any 'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-By:',

'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-Date-and-Time:', and 'X-Mms-Forward-Counter:' headers, if present, SHOULD be removed. The information contained



in them SHOULD be translated into [[Msg-Fmt](#)] headers as follows:

The 'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-Date-and-Time:' header whose value starts with "0" SHOULD be used to create a 'Date:' header, converting the date and time from HTTP-date [[HTTP](#)] to date-time [[Msg-Fmt](#)]. The 'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-By:' header whose value starts with "0" SHOULD be used to create a 'From:' header.

A 'To:' header SHOULD be created using list syntax with a value of "unrecoverable-recipients" and no mailboxes.

A 'Message-ID:' header SHOULD be created.

Any 'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-Date-and-Time:' headers whose value starts with "1" or a larger value are mapped to 'Resent-Date:' headers. Any 'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-By:' headers whose value starts with "1" or a larger value are mapped to 'Resent-By:' headers.

Gellens

[Page 15]

Expires April 2006

Internet Draft

Mapping Between MMS and Internet Mail

October 2005

The 'From:', 'To:', 'Date:', and 'Message-ID:' headers are mapped to 'Resent-From:', 'Resent-To:', 'Resent-Date:', and 'Resent-Message-ID:' headers in the top-most block of 'Resent-\*' headers.

Example:

The MMS message:

```
X-Mms-Forward-Counter: 2
X-Mms-Previously-Sent-Date-and-Time: 0, Fri, 01 Apr 2005 06:02:03 GMT
X-Mms-Previously-Sent-By: 0, General Failure <mfail@example.mil>
X-Mms-Previously-Sent-Date-and-Time: 1, Fri, 01 Apr 2005 08:02:03 GMT
X-Mms-Previously-Sent-By: 1, Colonel Corn <gcorn@example.mil>
Date: Fri, 1 Apr 2005 18:02:03 -0800
From: L. Eva Message <lem@example.org>
To: blff@mms.example.com
Message-ID: <99887766.112233@mail.example.org>
```

is mapped to an Internet mail message:

```
Resent-Date: Fri, 1 Apr 2005 18:02:03 -0800
Resent-From: L. Eva Message <lem@example.org>
Resent-To: blff@mms.example.com
Resent-Message-ID: <99887766.112233@mail.example.org>
```

Resent-Date: Fri, 1 Apr 2005 08:02:03 +0000  
Resent-From: Colonel Corn <gcorn@example.mil>  
Date: Fri, 1 Apr 2005 06:02:03 +0000  
From: General Failure <mfail@example.mil>  
To: Colonel Corn <gcorn@example.mil>  
Message-ID: <000.000.000@gateway.example.org>

#### 'Received:' Headers

When a message is gatewayed from MMS to Internet mail, a 'Received:' header MUST be added as per [[SMTP](#)]. The "with" clause should specify "MMS".

A message MAY be rejected if the number of 'Received:' headers exceeds a locally-defined maximum, which MUST conform to [[SMTP section 6.2](#)] and SHOULD be no less than 100.

#### Privacy

Note that MMS systems do not currently support the 'Privacy' header field as described by [[VPIM](#)].

Gellens

[Page 16]

Expires April 2006

Internet Draft

Mapping Between MMS and Internet Mail

October 2005

#### Content

The message content appears in the message body. Note that Internet message format requires that line-endings be encoded as US-ASCII CR LF octets, thus charset encodings that do not have this property cannot be used in text/\* body parts. (They may be used in other body parts, but only when they are suitably encoded or when binary transmission has been negotiated.) In particular, MMS allows UTF-16, while Internet message format does not. UTF-16 encoding MUST be translated to UTF-8 or another charset and encoding which is suitable for use in Internet message format/protocols.

#### [2.1.3.3](#) Conversion of messages from Internet to MMS format

##### 3GPP MMS Version

An 'X-Mms-3GPP-MMS-Version:' header SHOULD be added.

Message Type (of PDU)

An 'X-Mms-Message-Type:' header SHOULD be used in accordance with the specific MMS interface (e.g., MM1, MM4).

#### Transaction ID

An 'X-Mms-Transaction-Id:' header SHOULD be used in accordance with the specific MMS interface (e.g., MM1, MM4).

#### Message ID

The 'Message-Id:' header MUST be retained. If not present it MUST be created, with a unique value.

#### Recipient(s) address

'To:' and 'Cc:' headers MUST be retained.

Each recipient contained in the [[SMTP](#)] envelope (RCPT TO values) MUST be considered a recipient of the message. Recipients who appear in address headers but not the [[SMTP](#)] envelope MUST be ignored. Recipients who appear in the [[SMTP](#)] envelope but do not appear in headers are considered "blind" (Bcc) recipients; such recipients MUST NOT be added to message headers (including address and trace headers) unless there is only one recipient total.

#### Sender address

The 'From:' header MUST be retained.

#### Content type

The complete 'Content-Type:' header (including any parameters) SHOULD be preserved.

#### Message class

An 'X-Mms-Message-Class: personal' header MAY be created for all received messages with a non-null return path (MAIL FROM value in the SMTP envelope). An 'X-Mms-Message-Class: auto' header MAY be created for messages with a null return path.

## Time of Expiry

An 'X-Mms-Expiry:' header SHOULD be created if the message contains a relative time to expiration in the DELIVER-BY extension with a by-mode of "R", as specified in [[Deliver-By](#)].

If the by-mode is "N", a "relayed" DSN MUST be issued per [[Deliver-By](#)] and an 'X-Mms-Expiry:' header SHOULD NOT be created.

## Earliest delivery time

An 'X-Mms-Delivery-Time:' header SHOULD NOT be created.

## Delivery report request

An 'X-Mms-Delivery-Report:' header SHOULD be created for messages which request 'success' or 'none' delivery status notification by use of the DSN extension as specified in [[DSN-SMTP](#)]. Requests for 'delay' notifications or non-default actions, such as that only the message headers should be returned, cannot be mapped onto MMS headers and thus SHOULD be ignored.

## Importance

The message sender's importance value (also called "priority", although this can be confused with class-of-service values) is expressed with an 'Importance:' header. Historically, some clients used the older and non-standard 'X-Priority:' header for this purpose. As a result, some clients generate both.

An 'X-Priority:' or 'Importance:' header, if present, SHOULD be replaced with an 'X-Mms-Priority:' header. If both headers are present, 'Importance:' SHOULD be used. Suggested mappings:

### [2.1.3.3.1](#) Table 4: Priority Mappings (Internet Message to MMS)

----- -----	
'X-Priority: 1 (highest)'	'X-Mms-Priority: High'
----- -----	
'X-Priority: 2 (high)'	'X-Mms-Priority: High'

'Importance: High'	'X-Mms-Priority: High'
'X-Priority: 3 (normal)'	[omitted]
'Importance: Normal'	[omitted]
'X-Priority: 4 (low)'	'X-Mms-Priority: Low'
'Importance: Low'	'X-Mms-Priority: Low'
'X-Priority: 5 (lowest)'	'X-Mms-Priority: Low'

Normal importance messages SHOULD omit the 'X-Mms-Priority:' header.

#### Sender visibility

Support for sender address hiding is not currently supported.

#### Read reply request

A request for a read reply contained in a 'Disposition-Notification-To:' header as specified in [\[MDN\]](#) SHOULD be replaced with an 'X-Mms-Read-Reply:' header.

#### Subject

The 'Subject:' header MUST be preserved.

#### Resending

Mapping from 'Resent-' and other [\[Msg-Fmt\]](#) headers to 'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-' headers SHOULD be done as follows:

The original 'From:' header is mapped to an 'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-By:' header with a leading "0" value. The value of the top-most 'Resent-From:' header is mapped to the 'From:' header. The value of each subsequent 'Resent-From:' header is

mapped to an 'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-By:' header with the next larger leading value.

The original 'Date:' header is mapped to 'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-Date-and-Time:' header with a leading "0"

value. Note that the value is also converted from date-time syntax [[Msg-Fmt](#)] to HTTP-date syntax [[HTTP](#)]. The value of the top-most 'Resent-Date:' header is mapped to the 'Date:' header. The value of each subsequent 'Date:' header is mapped to an 'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-Date-and-Time:' header with the next larger leading value.

If one or more 'Resent-Message-ID:' headers are present, the top-most one SHOULD be mapped to 'Message-ID: '; otherwise the 'Message-ID:' header should be retained.

An 'X-Mms-Forward-Counter:' header SHOULD be created when 'Resent-' headers have been mapped to 'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-' headers. Its value SHOULD be the number of 'Resent-' blocks that existed prior to mapping.

Example:

The original message:

```
Date:      Fri, 1 Apr 2005 14:02:03 -0800
From:      General Failure <mfail@example.mil>
To:        Colonel Corn <gcorn@example.mil>
Message-ID: <msg123@mail.example.mil>
```

Is resent by Colonel Corn to L. Eva Message:

```
Resent-Date: Fri, 1 Apr 2005 16:02:03 -0800
Resent-From: Colonel Corn <gcorn@example.mil>
Resent-To:   L. Eva Message <lem@example.org>
Resent-Message-ID: <msg234@mail.example.mil>
Date:       Fri, 1 Apr 2005 14:02:03 -0800
From:       General Failure <mfail@example.mil>
To:         Colonel Corn <gcorn@example.mil>
Message-ID: <msg123@mail.example.mil>
```

L. Eva then resends to her MMS device:

```
Resent-Date: Fri, 1 Apr 2005 18:02:03 -0800
Resent-From: L. Eva Message <lem@example.org>
Resent-To:   blff@mms.example.com
Resent-Message-ID: <99887766.112233@mail.example.org>
Resent-Date: Fri, 1 Apr 2005 16:02:03 -0800
Resent-From: Colonel Corn <gcorn@example.mil>
```

Resent-To: L. Eva Message <lem@example.org>  
Resent-Message-ID: <msg234@mail.example.mil>  
Date: Fri, 1 Apr 2005 14:02:03 -0800  
From: General Failure <mfail@example.mil>  
To: Colonel Corn <gcorn@example.mil>  
Message-ID: <msg123@mail.example.mil>

This would be mapped to an MMS message as:

X-Mms-Forward-Counter: 2  
X-Mms-Previously-Sent-Date-and-Time: 0, Fri, 01 Apr 2005 06:02:03 GMT  
X-Mms-Previously-Sent-By: 0, General Failure <mfail@example.mil>  
X-Mms-Previously-Sent-Date-and-Time: 1, Fri, 01 Apr 2005 08:02:03 GMT  
X-Mms-Previously-Sent-By: 1, Colonel Corn <gcorn@example.mil>  
Date: Fri, 1 Apr 2005 18:02:03 -0800  
From: L. Eva Message <lem@example.org>  
To: b1ff@mms.example.com  
Message-ID: <99887766.112233@mail.example.org>

Note that the original 'From:' and 'Date:' values were moved to 'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-By:' and 'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-Date-and-Time:' headers with a leading "0" value. The first 'Resent-From:' and 'Resent-Date:' values were moved to a second set of 'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-' headers, with a leading "1" value. The third set of 'Resent-' headers were moved to the 'Date:', 'To:', and 'From:' headers.

Note also that the format of the date and time differs between the 'Date:' / 'Resent-Date:' and the 'X-Mms-Previously-Sent-Date-and-Time:' headers, in that the latter use HTTP-date [[HTTP](#)] instead of date-time [[Msg-Fmt](#)].

### 'Received:' Headers

Each system that processes a message SHOULD add a 'Received:' header as per [[SMTP](#)]. A message MAY be rejected if the number of 'Received:' headers exceeds a locally-defined maximum, which MUST conform to [[SMTP](#)] [section 6.2](#) and SHOULD be no less than 100.

### Sensitivity

The 'Sensitivity:' header field (value = "personal" or "private") [[VPIM](#)] indicates the desire of a voice message originator to send the message contents to the original recipient list with assurance that the message will not be forwarded further by either the messaging system or the actual message recipient(s). Since sensitivity is not an MMS feature, any messages which contain a 'Sensitivity:' header MUST NOT be sent to an MMS system. The associated negative delivery status report MUST include the extended

status code [[RESP](#)] 5.6.0 as specified in [[VPIM](#)] ("Other or undefined protocol status") indicating that privacy could not be assured.

## Content

The message content appears in the message body.

### [2.1.4](#) Report Generation and Conversion

Internet Message systems use the multipart/report MIME type for delivery and disposition reports as specified in [[Report-Fmt](#)]. This format is a two- or three-part MIME message; one part is a structured format describing the event being reported in an easy-to-parse format. Specific reports have a format which is built on [[Report-Fmt](#)]. Delivery reports are specified in [[DSN-Msg](#)]. Message disposition reports, which include read reports, are specified in [[MDN](#)].

By contrast, MMS reports are plain text, with no defined structure specified. This makes it difficult to convert from an MMS report to a standard Internet report.

An implementation conforming to this specification MUST convert reports received from one side (MMS or Internet mail) destined for the other. In addition, reports MUST be generated as appropriate for messages received from either side. For example, if an MM to be sent via Internet mail is not deliverable, a delivery status MM shall be generated. Likewise, if an Internet message is received that cannot be further relayed or delivered, a delivery status report [[DSN-Msg](#)] MUST be generated.

When creating delivery or disposition reports from MMS reports, the MMS report should be parsed to determine the reported event and time, status, and the headers of the referenced (original) message. These elements, once determined, are used to populate the subparts of the delivery or disposition report. The first subpart is of type text/plain, and contains a human-readable explanation of the event. This text may include a statement that the report was synthesized based on an MMS report. The second subpart is of type report/delivery-status (for delivery reports) or report/disposition-notification (for disposition reports). This second part contains a structured itemization of the event. The optional third subpart is of type message/rfc822 and includes the headers and optionally the body of the referenced (original) message. Note that, per [[Dsn-Msg](#)], the 'DSN-Gateway:' field in



delivery reports MUST be created.

#### [2.1.4.1](#) Delivery Report Mapping from MMS to Internet Message

The following table maps information elements from MMS delivery reports to the format specified in [[DSN-Msg](#)].

[2.1.4.1.1](#) Table 5: Delivery Report Mappings (MMS to Internet Message)

Information Element	MMS Delivery Report Elem	[ <a href="#">DSN-Msg</a> ] Element
ID of message whose delivery status is being reported	Message-Id:	'Message-ID:' preserved in third subpart of delivery report.
Recipient address of the original message (object of delivery report)	From:	'Final-Recipient' field of the per-recipient section.
Destination address of report	To:	'To:' header field value of top-level.
Date and time the message was handled	Date:	'Date:' header field value of top-level

Information Element	MMS Delivery Report Elem	[ <a href="#">DSN-Msg</a> ] Element
Delivery status of original message to each recipient	X-Mms-Status:	<p>Action and Status fields of per-recipient section.</p> <p>The 'Action' field indicates if the message was delivered.</p> <p>For failed delivery an appropriate 'Status' value shall be included per [<a href="#">DSN-Msg</a>].</p> <p>The Action field is set to one of the following values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* delivered (used for MMS status values 'retrieved' and 'rejected', depending on 'Status' code).</li> <li>* failed (used for MMS status values 'expired' and 'unreachable')</li> <li>* delayed MAY be used for MMS status value 'deferred'</li> <li>* relayed (used for MMS status value 'indeterminate')</li> <li>* expanded (SHOULD NOT be used)</li> </ul>
Status Text		Text in first part (human-readable part)

When an MMS Relay/Server generates a [[DSN-Msg](#)] in response to a message received using [[SMTP](#)] on MM3:

- \* Top-level header field 'To:' SHOULD be the [[SMTP](#)] return-path of the message whose status is being reported.
- \* Top-level header field 'From:' SHOULD be the address of the recipient that the delivery-report concerns.
- \* The first part of the [[DSN-Msg](#)] SHOULD include the MM Status Text field that would have been generated for an MM1 delivery-report.

Gellens

[Page 24]

Expires April 2006

Internet Draft

Mapping Between MMS and Internet Mail

October 2005

#### [2.1.4.2](#) Delivery Report Mapping from Internet Message to MMS

The following table maps information elements from a delivery report as specified in [[DSN-Msg](#)] to the format of an MMS delivery report. Note that a single DSN which reports multiple recipients will result in several MMS delivery reports.

##### [2.1.4.2.1](#) Table 6: Delivery Report Mappings (Internet Message to MMS)

Information Element	MMS Delivery Report Element	[ <a href="#">DSN-Msg</a> ] Element
ID of the original message (object of delivery report)	Message-Id:	'Message-ID:' header preserved in third sub-part of report.
Recipient address of the original message (object of delivery report)	From:	If available, the 'Original-Recipient' field of the per-recipient section should be used; otherwise the 'Final-Recipient' field of the per-recipient section is used
Destination address of report	To:	'To:' header field value of top-level.  Value taken from [ <a href="#">SMTP</a> ] envelope return-path of message being reported, not its 'From:' header field.

=====|=====|=====

Gellens

[Page 25]

Expires April 2006

Internet Draft

Mapping Between MMS and Internet Mail

October 2005

Information Element	MMS Delivery Report Element	[ <a href="#">DSN-Msg</a> ] Element
Date and time the message was handled	Date:	'Date:' header field value of top-level
Delivery status of original message	X-Mms-Status: Set to one of the following values: 'retrieved' (used for 'Action' value 'delivered'). 'unreachable' (used for 'Action' value 'failed') 'forwarded' (used for 'Action' value 'relayed') 'deferred' MUST NOT be used	'Action' and 'Status' fields of per-recipient section

	(ignore DSNs with 'Action' value 'delayed')	
Status Text		Text in first part (human- readable part)

#### [2.1.4.3](#) Read Report Mapping from MMS to Internet Message

The following table maps information elements from MMS read reports to the format specified in [\[MDN\]](#).

##### [2.1.4.3.1](#) Table 7: Read Report Mappings (MMS to Internet Message)

Information Element	MMS Delivery Report Elem	<a href="#">[MDN]</a> Element
ID of the original message (object of read report)	Message-Id:	'Message-ID:' header preserved in third part of report.
Recipient address of the original message	From:	'Final-Recipient' field
Destination address of report	To:	'To:' header field value of top- level.

		Value taken from 'Disposition-Notification-To:' header field of message being reported, not its 'From:' header field.
-----	-----	-----
Date and time the message was handled	Date:	'Date:' header field value of top-level
-----	-----	-----
Disposition of message being reported	X-Mms-Read-Status:	Disposition-field  For X-MMS-Read-Status value 'read', use 'disposition-type' value 'displayed'; for X-MMS-Read-Status value 'Deleted without being read', use 'disposition-type' value 'deleted')
-----	-----	-----
Status Text		Text in first part (human-readable part)
=====	=====	=====

When an MMS Relay/Server generates an [\[MDN\]](#) in response to a message received using [\[SMTP\]](#) on MM3:

\* Top-level header field 'To:' SHOULD be the value of the 'Disposition-Notification-To:' header field of the message whose disposition is being reported .

Gellens

[Page 27]

Expires April 2006

Internet Draft

Mapping Between MMS and Internet Mail

October 2005

\* Top-level header field 'From:' SHOULD be the address of the recipient that the read report concerns.

#### [2.1.4.4](#) Disposition Report Mapping from Internet Message to MMS

The following table maps information elements from a disposition report as specified in [\[MDN\]](#) to the format of an MMS read report.

##### [2.1.4.4.1](#) Table 8: Disposition Report Mappings (Internet Message to MMS)

=====	=====	=====
Information Element	MMS Read Report Element	<a href="#">[MDN]</a> Element
=====	=====	=====

ID of the original message (object of disposition report)	Message-Id:	'Message-ID:' header preserved in third subpart of report.
-----	-----	-----
Recipient address of the original message	From:	'Final-Recipient' field
-----	-----	-----
Destination address of report	To:	'To:' header field value of top-level.
		Value taken from 'Disposition-Notification-To:' header field of message being reported, not its 'From:' header field.
-----	-----	-----
Date and time the message was handled	Date:	'Date:' header field value of top-level
=====	=====	=====

=====	=====	=====
Information Element	MMS Read Report Element	[ <a href="#">MDN</a> ] Element
-----	-----	-----
Disposition of message being reported	X-Mms-Read-Status:	disposition-field
	Set to one of the following values:	
	'read' (used for disposition-type	

	value 'displayed')	
	'Deleted without	
	being read' (used	
	for disposition-	
	types 'deleted',	
	'denied' and	
	'failed' when	
	action-mode is	
	'automatic-	
	action')	
-----	-----	-----
Status Text		Text in first part (human- readable part)
=====	=====	=====

### [2.1.5](#) Message Delivery

Within Internet mail, when [[SMTP](#)] is used and delivery reports are requested [[DSN-SMTP](#)], delivery is considered to be acceptance of a message by the final server, that is, the server closest to the recipient. When an MMS Relay/Server receives a message using [[SMTP](#)] and a delivery report is requested, the MMS Relay/Server MAY consider the message delivered when it has been sent to the MMS User Agent.

## [3](#) Security Considerations

Both MMS and Internet mail have their own set of security risks and considerations. This document specifies how to exchange messages between these two environments, so it is only appropriate to discuss considerations specific to this functionality, not those inherent in either environment.

When a message uses end-to-end security mechanisms such as [[PGP](#)] or S/MIME [[SMIME](#)], servers MUST be careful not to accidentally destroy the integrity of the protected content (for example, by altering any text within the region covered by a signature while mapping between MMS and email). [[Mime-Sec-gw](#)] discusses issues with use of such mechanisms in gateways.



Some MMS features contain inherently more risk than others, including reply charging and sender address hiding. Support for these mechanisms are not included in this document.

#### [4](#) IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to add "MMS" as a "WITH protocol types" under its "MAIL Parameters" registry. The description is "Multimedia Messaging Service"; the reference is to this document.

#### [5](#) Acknowledgments

A number of people contributed to this document, especially the members of the IETF Lemonade group, including Greg Vaudreuil. John Klensin did a very thorough and helpful review. Greg White caught a large number of nits. Ted Hardie was very helpful. Alexey Melnikov and Chris Newman sent very useful and detailed comments.

#### [6](#) Normative References

IETF:

[DSN-Msg] "An Extensible Message Format for Delivery Status Notifications", Moore, Vaudreuil, [RFC 3464](#), January 2003.

[DSN-SMTP] "SMTP Service Extension for Delivery Status Notifications", Moore, [RFC 3461](#), January 2003.

[Hdr-Enc] "MIME (Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text", Moore, [RFC 2047](#), November 1996.

[HTTP] "Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1", Fielding et al, [RFC 2616](#), June 1999.

[IDN] "Internationalizing Domain Names in Applications (IDNA)", Faltstrom, Hoffman, Costello, [RFC 3490](#), March 2003.

Requirement Levels", [RFC 2119](#), Harvard University, March 1997.

[MDN] "Message Disposition Notification", Hansen, Vaudreuil, [RFC 3798](#), May 2004.

[Msg-Fmt] "Internet Message Format", Resnick, [RFC 2822](#), April 2001.

[Report-Fmt] "The Multipart/Report Content Type for the Reporting of Mail System Administrative Messages", Vaudreuil, [RFC 3462](#), January 2003

[RESP] "Enhanced Mail System Status Codes", Vaudreuil, [RFC 3463](#), January 2003.

[SMTP] "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol", Klensin, [RFC 2821](#), April 2001.

OMA:

OMA specifications are available at the OMA web site  
<<http://www.openmobilealliance.org>>.

[OMA-MMS] OMA-WAP-MMS-ENC-V1\_2-20040323-C

3GPP2 and 3GPP:

3GPP2 specifications are available at the 3GPP2 (Third Generation Partnership Project 2) web site  
<<http://www.3gpp2.org>>.

3GPP specifications are available at the 3GPP (Third Generation Partnership Project) web site <<http://www.3gpp.org>>

[Stage\_3] "MMS MM1 Stage 3 using OMA/WAP", X.S0016-310

"MMS MM4 Stage 3 Inter-Carrier Interworking", X.S0016-340

"Multimedia Messaging Service: Functional description; Stage 2", TS 23.140 Release 5.

## [7](#) Informative References

IETF:

[BINARY] "SMTP Service Extensions for Transmission of Large and Binary MIME Messages", Vaudreuil, Parsons, [RFC 3030](#), December 2000.

[Codes] "SMTP Service Extension for Returning Enhanced Error Codes", Freed, [RFC 2034](#), October 1996.

[Deliver-By] "Deliver By SMTP Service Extension", Newman, [RFC 2852](#), June 2000.

[Hdrs] "Common Internet Message Headers", J. Palme, [RFC 2076](#), February 1997.

[Mime-Sec-gw] "Gateways and MIME Security Multiparts", N. Freed, [RFC 2480](#), January 1999.

[PGP] "MIME Security with OpenPGP", Elkins, Del Torto, Levien, Roessler, [RFC 3156](#), August 2001

[SMIME] "S/MIME Version 3 Message Specification", Ramsdell, [RFC 2633](#), June 1999

[Submission] "Message Submission", Gellens, Klensin, [RFC 2476](#), December 1998.

[VPIM] "Voice Profile for Internet Mail - version 2 (VPIMv2)", Vaudreuil, Parsons, [RFC 3801](#), June 2004.

[Formats] "Multimedia Messaging Service (MMS) Media Format and Codecs for cdma2000 Spread Spectrum Systems", C.S0045

[Overview] "Multimedia Messaging Services (MMS) Overview", X.S0016-000

[Stage\_1] "Multimedia Messaging Services (MMS); Stage 1", Requirements, October 2002, S.R0064-0.

[Stage\_2] "Multimedia Messaging Service (MMS); Stage 2", Functional Specification, April 2003, X.S0016-200.

"Multimedia Messaging Service; Media formats and codecs", TS26.140Release 5.

## [8](#) Author's Address

Randall Gellens  
QUALCOMM Incorporated

5775 Morehouse Drive  
San Diego, CA 92121

Gellens

[Page 32]

Expires April 2006

Internet Draft      Mapping Between MMS and Internet Mail      October 2005

randy@qualcomm.com

## Appendix A: Changes Since Last Version

[ This section to be deleted upon publication ]

Changes from -05 to -06:

- o Editorial cleanups
- o Clarified that X-Priority is an older, non-standard header. Clarified that if both X-Priority and Importance appear, Importance SHOULD be used.
- o Clarified text regarding E.164 numbers
- o Changed SHOULD NOT to MUST NOT on unqualified E.164 numbers in address headers (otherwise messages are non-replyable)
- o Deleted X-Priority mapping table from MMS to Internet Mail
- o Deleted wording (accidentally pasted) in table on Delivery Report Mappings (MMS to Internet Message)
- o Deleted unused [Auth], [IPSec], and [StartTLS] references
- o Rewrote text on mapping between Resent- and X-Mms-Previously-Sent- headers.
- o Corrected text that confused Envelope-ID and Message-ID.

Changes from -04 to -05:

- o Abstract now mentions use of X-MMS-\* headers in MMS.
- o Deleted "(MAY set to locally-generated value to hide sender identity)" from Table 1.
- o Deleted X-Priority from Table 1.
- o Replaced comment with empty group syntax' header in [section 2.1.3.2](#).
- o Added Acknowledgements section.
- o Removed distinction between SMTP/821 and ESMTP/2821.
- o Removed discussion of sender address hiding.
- o Removed content transformation.
- o Replaced "Gateway" with reference to [RFC 2821 Section 2.3.8](#).
- o Deleted 'Resent-Count:'
- o Deleted background information on resending/forwarding.
- o Changed 'Received:' header generation to MUST on MMS->Internet.
- o Specified response code for "sensitivity" as per [\[VPIM\]](#).
- o Changed "sensitivity" response code from SHOULD to MUST.
- o Removed reference to [RFC 934](#).
- o Removed definition and mentions of anonymous remailer.

- o Rewrote and greatly simplified Security Considerations.
- o Added "MMS" as a "WITH protocol type" and requested IANA to register this in its "MAIL Parameters" registry.
- o Moved MMS references from Informative to Normative.
- o Removed hand-waving about Message-ID.
- o Attempted to clarify responsibility for report generation.

Gellens

[Page 33]

Expires April 2006

Internet Draft

Mapping Between MMS and Internet Mail

October 2005

## Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org).

## Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2005).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR

IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.