

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Expires: August 5, 2006

R. Enns, Ed.
Juniper Networks
February 2006

NETCONF Configuration Protocol
draft-ietf-netconf-prot-12

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 5, 2006.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

Abstract

The NETCONF configuration protocol defined in this document provides mechanisms to install, manipulate, and delete the configuration of network devices. It uses an Extensible Markup Language (XML) based data encoding for the configuration data as well as the protocol messages. The NETCONF protocol operations are realized on top of a simple Remote Procedure Call (RPC) layer.

Please send comments to netconf@ops.ietf.org. To subscribe, use netconf-request@ops.ietf.org.

Internet-Draft

NETCONF Protocol

February 2006

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	6
1.1.	Protocol Overview	7
1.2.	Capabilities	8
1.3.	Separation of Configuration and State Data	8
2.	Transport Protocol Requirements	9
2.1.	Connection-oriented operation	10
2.2.	Authentication, Integrity, and Confidentiality	10
2.3.	Authentication	10
2.4.	Mandatory Transport Protocol	11
3.	XML Considerations	11
3.1.	Namespace	11
3.2.	No Document Type Declarations	11
4.	RPC Model	11
4.1.	<rpc> Element	11
4.2.	<rpc-reply> Element	12
4.3.	<rpc-error> Element	13
4.4.	<ok> Element	16
4.5.	Pipelining	17
5.	Configuration Model	17
5.1.	Configuration Datastores	17
5.2.	Data Modeling	17
6.	Subtree Filtering	18
6.1.	Overview	18
6.2.	Subtree Filter Components	19
6.2.1.	Namespace Selection	19
6.2.2.	Attribute Match Expressions	20
6.2.3.	Containment Nodes	20
6.2.4.	Selection Nodes	21
6.2.5.	Content Match Nodes	21
6.3.	Subtree Filter Processing	23
6.4.	Subtree Filtering Examples	23
6.4.1.	No filter	23
6.4.2.	Empty filter	24
6.4.3.	Select the entire <users> subtree	24
6.4.4.	Select all <name> elements within the <users> subtree	26
6.4.5.	One specific <user> entry	27
6.4.6.	Specific elements from a specific <user> entry	28
6.4.7.	Multiple Subtrees	29
6.4.8.	Elements with attribute naming	31
7.	Protocol Operations	33

7.1.	<get-config>	33
7.2.	<edit-config>	36
7.3.	<copy-config>	43
7.4.	<delete-config>	45
7.5.	<lock>	45

7.6.	<unlock>	48
7.7.	<get>	49
7.8.	<close-session>	51
7.9.	<kill-session>	52
8.	Capabilities	53
8.1.	Capabilities Exchange	54
8.2.	Writable-Running Capability	54
8.2.1.	Description	55
8.2.2.	Dependencies	55
8.2.3.	Capability Identifier	55
8.2.4.	New Operations	55
8.2.5.	Modifications to Existing Operations	55
8.3.	Candidate Configuration Capability	55
8.3.1.	Description	55
8.3.2.	Dependencies	56
8.3.3.	Capability Identifier	56
8.3.4.	New Operations	56
8.3.5.	Modifications to Existing Operations	58
8.4.	Confirmed Commit Capability	59
8.4.1.	Description	59
8.4.2.	Dependencies	59
8.4.3.	Capability Identifier	60
8.4.4.	New Operations	60
8.4.5.	Modifications to Existing Operations	60
8.5.	Rollback on Error Capability	60
8.5.1.	Description	61
8.5.2.	Dependencies	61
8.5.3.	Capability Identifier	61
8.5.4.	New Operations	61
8.5.5.	Modifications to Existing Operations	61
8.6.	Validate Capability	62
8.6.1.	Description	62
8.6.2.	Dependencies	62
8.6.3.	Capability Identifier	62
8.6.4.	New Operations	63
8.7.	Distinct Startup Capability	64

8.7.1.	Description	64
8.7.2.	Dependencies	64
8.7.3.	Capability Identifier	64
8.7.4.	New Operations	64
8.7.5.	Modifications to Existing Operations	64
8.8.	URL Capability	65
8.8.1.	Description	65
8.8.2.	Dependencies	65
8.8.3.	Capability Identifier	65
8.8.4.	New Operations	66
8.8.5.	Modifications to Existing Operations	66
8.9.	XPath Capability	66

8.9.1.	Description	66
8.9.2.	Dependencies	67
8.9.3.	Capability Identifier	67
8.9.4.	New Operations	67
8.9.5.	Modifications to Existing Operations	67
9.	Security Considerations	67
10.	IANA Considerations	69
10.1.	NETCONF XML Namespace	69
10.2.	NETCONF XML Schema	69
10.3.	NETCONF Capability URNs	70
11.	Authors and Acknowledgements	71
12.	References	71
12.1.	Normative References	71
12.2.	Informative References	72
Appendix A.	NETCONF Error List	72
Appendix B.	XML Schema for NETCONF RPC and Protocol Operations	76
Appendix C.	Capability Template	88
C.1.	capability-name (template)	88
C.1.1.	Overview	88
C.1.2.	Dependencies	88
C.1.3.	Capability Identifier	88
C.1.4.	New Operations	88
C.1.5.	Modifications to Existing Operations	88
C.1.6.	Interactions with Other Capabilities	88
Appendix D.	Configuring Multiple Devices with NETCONF	88
D.1.	Operations on Individual Devices	88
D.1.1.	Acquiring the Configuration Lock	89
D.1.2.	Loading the Update	89
D.1.3.	Validating the Incoming Configuration	90

D.1.4.	Checkpointing the Running Configuration	91
D.1.5.	Changing the Running Configuration	92
D.1.6.	Testing the New Configuration	92
D.1.7.	Making the Change Permanent	93
D.1.8.	Releasing the Configuration Lock	93
D.2.	Operations on Multiple Devices	94
Appendix E.	Deferred Features	94
Appendix F.	Change Log	95
F.1.	draft-ietf-netconf-prot-12	95
F.2.	draft-ietf-netconf-prot-11	95
F.3.	draft-ietf-netconf-prot-10	96
F.4.	draft-ietf-netconf-prot-09	97
F.5.	draft-ietf-netconf-prot-08	97
F.6.	draft-ietf-netconf-prot-07	98
F.7.	draft-ietf-netconf-prot-06	98
F.8.	draft-ietf-netconf-prot-05	99
F.9.	draft-ietf-netconf-prot-04	100
F.10.	draft-ietf-netconf-prot-03	101
F.11.	draft-ietf-netconf-prot-02	102

Author's Address	104
Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements	105

1. Introduction

The NETCONF protocol defines a simple mechanism through which a network device can be managed, configuration data information can be retrieved, and new configuration data can be uploaded and manipulated. The protocol allows the device to expose a full, formal, application programming interface (API). Applications can use this straight-forward API to send and receive full and partial configuration data sets.

The NETCONF protocol uses a remote procedure call (RPC) paradigm. A client encodes an RPC in XML [[1](#)] and sends it to a server using a secure, connection-oriented session. The server responds with a reply encoded in XML. The contents of both the request and the response are fully described in XML DTDs or XML schemas, or both,

allowing both parties to recognize the syntax constraints imposed on the exchange.

A key aspect of NETCONF is that it allows the functionality of the management protocol to closely mirror the native functionality of the device. This reduces implementation costs and allows timely access to new features. In addition, applications can access both the syntactic and semantic content of the device's native user interface.

NETCONF allows a client to discover the set of protocol extensions supported by a server. These "capabilities" permit the client to adjust its behavior to take advantage of the features exposed by the device. The capability definitions can be easily extended in a noncentralized manner. Standard and non-standard capabilities can be defined with semantic and syntactic rigor. Capabilities are discussed in [Section 8](#).

The NETCONF protocol is a building block in a system of automated configuration. XML is the lingua franca of interchange, providing a flexible but fully specified encoding mechanism for hierarchical content. NETCONF can be used in concert with XML-based transformation technologies such as XSLT [\[8\]](#) to provide a system for automated generation of full and partial configurations. The system can query one or more databases for data about networking topologies, links, policies, customers, and services. This data can be transformed using one or more XSLT scripts from a task-oriented, vendor-independent data schema into a form that is specific to the vendor, product, operating system, and software release. The resulting data can be passed to the device using the NETCONF protocol.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this

document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [\[3\]](#).

[1.1](#). Protocol Overview

NETCONF uses a simple RPC-based mechanism to facilitate communication between a client and a server. The client can be a script or application typically running as part of a network manager. The server is typically a network device. The terms "device" and

"server" are used interchangeably in this document, as are "client" and "application".

A NETCONF session is the logical connection between a network administrator or network configuration application and a network device. A device **MUST** support at least one NETCONF session, and **SHOULD** support multiple sessions. Global configuration attributes can be changed during any authorized session, and the effects are visible in all sessions. Session-specific attributes affect only the session in which they are changed.

NETCONF can be conceptually partitioned into four layers:

	Layer	Example
(4)	Content	Configuration data
(3)	Operations	<get-config>, <edit-config>
(2)	RPC	<rpc>, <rpc-reply>
(1)	Transport Protocol	BEEP, SSH, SSL, console

1. The transport protocol layer provides a communication path between the client and server. NETCONF can be layered over any transport protocol that provides a set of basic requirements. [Section 2](#) discusses these requirements.
2. The RPC layer provides a simple, transport-independent framing mechanism for encoding RPCs. [Section 4](#) documents this protocol.

3. The operations layer defines a set of base operations invoked as

RPC methods with XML-encoded parameters. [Section 7](#) details the list of base operations.

4. The content layer is outside the scope of this document. Given the current proprietary nature of the configuration data being manipulated, the specification of this content depends on the NETCONF implementation. It is expected that a separate effort to specify a standard data definition language and standard content will be undertaken.

[1.2.](#) Capabilities

A NETCONF capability is a set of functionality that supplements the base NETCONF specification. The capability is identified by a uniform resource identifier (URI). These URIs should follow the guidelines as described in [Section 8](#).

Capabilities augment the base operations of the device, describing both additional operations and the content allowed inside operations. The client can discover the server's capabilities and use any additional operations, parameters, and content defined by those capabilities.

The capability definition may name one or more dependent capabilities. To support a capability, the server **MUST** support any capabilities upon which it depends.

[Section 8](#) defines the capabilities exchange that allows the client to discover the server's capabilities. [Section 8](#) also lists the set of capabilities defined in this document.

Additional capabilities can be defined at any time in external documents, allowing the set of capabilities to expand over time. Standards bodies may define standardized capabilities and implementations may define proprietary ones. A capability URI **MUST** sufficiently distinguish the naming authority to avoid naming collisions.

[1.3.](#) Separation of Configuration and State Data

The information that can be retrieved from a running system is separated into two classes, configuration data and state data. Configuration data is the set of writable data that is required to transform a system from its initial default state into its current state. State data is the additional data on a system that is not configuration data such as read-only status information and collected statistics. When a device is performing configuration operations a

number of problems would arise if state data were included:

- o Comparisons of configuration data sets would be dominated by irrelevant entries such as different statistics.
- o Incoming data could contain nonsensical requests, such as attempts to write read-only data.
- o The data sets would be large.
- o Archived data could contain values for read-only data items, complicating the processing required to restore archived data.

To account for these issues, the NETCONF protocol recognizes the difference between configuration data and state data and provides operations for each. The <get-config> operation retrieves configuration data only while the <get> operation retrieves configuration and state data.

Note that the NETCONF protocol is focused on the information required to get the device into its desired running state. The inclusion of other important, persistent data is implementation specific. For example, user files and databases are not treated as configuration data by the NETCONF protocol.

If a local database of user authentication data is stored on the device, whether it is included in configuration data is an implementation dependent matter.

[2.](#) Transport Protocol Requirements

NETCONF uses an RPC-based communication paradigm. A client sends a series of one or more RPC request operations, which cause the server to respond with a corresponding series of RPC replies.

The NETCONF protocol can be layered on any transport protocol that provides the required set of functionality. It is not bound to any particular transport protocol, but allows a mapping to define how it can be implemented over any specific protocol.

The transport protocol **MUST** provide a mechanism to indicate the session type (client or server) to the NETCONF protocol layer.

This section details the characteristics that NETCONF requires from the underlying transport protocol.

[2.1.](#) Connection-oriented operation

NETCONF is connection-oriented, requiring a persistent connection between peers. This connection must provide reliable, sequenced data delivery.

NETCONF connections are long-lived, persisting between protocol operations. This allows the client to make changes to the state of the connection that will persist for the lifetime of the connection. For example, authentication information specified for a connection remains in effect until the connection is closed.

In addition, resources requested from the server for a particular connection **MUST** be automatically released when the connection closes, making failure recovery simpler and more robust. For example, when a lock is acquired by a client, the lock persists until either explicitly released or the server determines that the connection has been terminated. If a connection is terminated while the client holds a lock, the server can perform any appropriate recovery. The lock operation is further discussed in [Section 7.5](#).

[2.2.](#) Authentication, Integrity, and Confidentiality

NETCONF connections must provide authentication, data integrity, and confidentiality. NETCONF depends on the transport protocol for this capability. A NETCONF peer assumes that an appropriate level of security and confidentiality are provided independent of this document. For example, connections may be encrypted in TLS [[9](#)] or SSH [[10](#)], depending on the underlying protocol.

[2.3.](#) Authentication

NETCONF connections must be authenticated. The transport protocol is responsible for authentication. The peer assumes that the connection's authentication information has been validated by the underlying protocol using sufficiently trustworthy mechanisms and that the peer's identity has been sufficiently proven.

One goal of NETCONF is to provide a programmatic interface to the

device that closely follows the functionality of the device's native interface. Therefore, it is expected that the underlying protocol uses existing authentication mechanisms defined by the device. For example, a device that supports RADIUS [[11](#)] should allow the use of RADIUS to authenticate NETCONF sessions.

The authentication process should result in an identity whose permissions are known to the device. These permissions MUST be enforced during the remainder of the NETCONF session.

[2.4.](#) Mandatory Transport Protocol

A NETCONF implementation MUST support the SSH transport protocol mapping [[4](#)].

[3.](#) XML Considerations

XML serves as the encoding format for NETCONF, allowing complex hierarchical data to be expressed in a text format that can be read, saved, and manipulated with both traditional text tools and tools specific to XML.

This section discusses a small number of XML-related considerations pertaining to NETCONF.

[3.1.](#) Namespace

All NETCONF protocol elements are defined in the following namespace:

urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0

NETCONF capability names MUST be URIs [[5](#)]. NETCONF capabilities are discussed in [Section 8](#).

[3.2.](#) No Document Type Declarations

Document type declarations MUST NOT appear in NETCONF content.

[4.](#) RPC Model

The NETCONF protocol uses an RPC-based communication model. NETCONF peers use `<rpc>` and `<rpc-reply>` elements to provide transport protocol-independent framing of NETCONF requests and responses.

[4.1.](#) `<rpc>` Element

The `<rpc>` element is used to enclose a NETCONF request sent from the client to the server.

The `<rpc>` element has a mandatory attribute "message-id", which is an arbitrary string chosen by the sender of the RPC that will commonly encode a monotonically increasing integer. The receiver of the RPC does not decode or interpret this string but simply saves it to use as a "message-id" attribute in any resulting `<rpc-reply>` message. For example:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <some-method>
    <!-- method parameters here... -->
  </some-method>
</rpc>
```

If additional attributes are present in an `<rpc>` element, a NETCONF peer MUST return them unmodified in the `<rpc-reply>` element.

The name and parameters of an RPC are encoded as the contents of the `<rpc>` element. The name of the RPC is an element directly inside the `<rpc>` element, and any parameters are encoded inside this element.

The following example invokes a method called `<my-own-method>` which has two parameters, `<my-first-parameter>`, with a value of "14", and `<another-parameter>`, with a value of "fred":

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <my-own-method xmlns="http://example.net/me/my-own/1.0">
    <my-first-parameter>14</my-first-parameter>
    <another-parameter>fred</another-parameter>
  </my-own-method>
</rpc>
```

The following example invokes a `<rock-the-house>` method with a `<zip-code>` parameter of "27606-0100":

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <rock-the-house xmlns="http://example.net/rock/1.0">
    <zip-code>27606-0100</zip-code>
  </rock-the-house>
</rpc>
```

The following example invokes the NETCONF `<get>` method with no parameters:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get/>
</rpc>
```

[4.2.](#) `<rpc-reply>` Element

The `<rpc-reply>` message is sent in response to a `<rpc>` operation.

The `<rpc-reply>` element has a mandatory attribute "message-id", which is equal to the "message-id" attribute of the `<rpc>` for which this is a response.

A NETCONF peer MUST also return any additional attributes included in the `<rpc>` element unmodified in the `<rpc-reply>` element.

The response name and response data are encoded as the contents of the `<rpc-reply>` element. The name of the reply is an element directly inside the `<rpc-reply>` element, and any data is encoded inside this element.

For example:

The following `<rpc>` element invokes the NETCONF `<get>` method and includes an additional attribute called "user-id". Note that the "user-id" attribute is not in the NETCONF namespace. The returned `<rpc-reply>` element returns the "user-id" attribute, as well as the

requested content.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"
  xmlns:ex="http://example.net/content/1.0"
  ex:user-id="fred">
  <get/>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"
  xmlns:ex="http://example.net/content/1.0"
  ex:user-id="fred">
  <data>
    <!-- contents here... -->
  </data>
</rpc-reply>
```

[4.3.](#) <rpc-error> Element

The <rpc-error> element is sent in <rpc-reply> messages if an error occurs during the processing of an <rpc> request.

If a server encounters multiple errors during the processing of an <rpc> request, the <rpc-reply> MAY contain multiple <rpc-error> elements. However, a server is not required to detect or report more than one <rpc-error> element, if a request contains multiple errors. A server is not required to check for particular error conditions in a specific sequence. A server MUST return an <rpc-error> element if any error conditions occur during processing, and SHOULD return an

<rpc-error> element if any warning conditions occur during processing.

A server MUST NOT return application level or data model-specific error information in an <rpc-error> element for which the client does not have sufficient access rights.

The <rpc-error> element includes the following information:

error-type: Defines the conceptual layer that the error occurred.
Enumeration. One of:

- * transport
- * rpc
- * protocol
- * application

error-tag: Contains a string identifying the error condition. See [Appendix A](#) for allowed values.

error-severity: Contains a string identifying the error severity, as determined by the device. One of:

- * error
- * warning

error-app-tag: Contains a string identifying the data model-specific or implementation-specific error condition, if one exists. This element will not be present if no appropriate application error tag can be associated with a particular error condition.

error-path: Contains the absolute XPath [2] expression identifying the element path to the node which is associated with the error being reported in a particular rpc-error element. This element will not be present if no appropriate payload element can be associated with a particular error condition, or if the 'bad-element' QString returned in the 'error-info' container is sufficient to identify the node associated with the error. When the XPath expression is interpreted, the set of namespace declarations are those in scope on the rpc-error element, including the default namespace.

error-message: Contains a string suitable for human display which describes the error condition. This element will not be present if no appropriate message is provided for a particular error condition. This element SHOULD include an xml:lang attribute as

defined in [1] and discussed in [12].

error-info: Contains protocol-or data model-specific error content. This element will not be present if no such error content is provided for a particular error condition. The list in [Appendix A](#) defines any mandatory error-info content for each error. After any protocol-mandated content, a data model definition may mandate certain application layer error information be included in the error-info container. An implementation may include additional elements to provide extended and/or implementation-specific debugging information.

[Appendix A](#) enumerates the standard NETCONF errors.

Example:

An error is returned if an <rpc> element is received without a message-id attribute. Note that only in this case is it acceptable for the NETCONF peer to omit the message-id attribute in the <rpc-reply> element.

```
<rpc xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get-config>
    <source>
      <running/>
    </source>
  </get-config>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <rpc-error>
    <error-type>rpc</error-type>
    <error-tag>missing-attribute</error-tag>
    <error-severity>error</error-severity>
    <error-info>
      <bad-attribute>message-id</bad-attribute>
      <bad-element>rpc</bad-element>
    </error-info>
  </rpc-error>
</rpc-reply>
```

The following <rpc-reply> illustrates the case of returning multiple <rpc-error> elements.

```
<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"
  xmlns:xc="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <rpc-error>
    <error-type>application</error-type>
    <error-tag>invalid-value</error-tag>
    <error-severity>error</error-severity>
    <error-message xml:lang="en">
      MTU value 25000 is not within range 256..9192
    </error-message>
    <error-info>
      <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
        <interface>
          <name>Ethernet0/0</name>
          <mtu>25000</mtu>
        </interface>
      </top>
    </error-info>
  </rpc-error>
  <rpc-error>
    <error-type>application</error-type>
    <error-tag>invalid-value</error-tag>
    <error-severity>error</error-severity>
    <error-message xml:lang="en">
      Invalid IP address for interface Ethernet1/0
    </error-message>
    <error-info>
      <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
        <interface xc:operation="replace">
          <name>Ethernet1/0</name>
          <address>
            <name>1.4</name>
            <prefix-length>24</prefix-length>
          </address>
        </interface>
      </top>
    </error-info>
  </rpc-error>
</rpc-reply>
```

[4.4.](#) <ok> Element

The <ok> element is sent in <rpc-reply> messages if no errors or warnings occurred during the processing of an <rpc> request. For

example:

```
<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <ok/>
</rpc-reply>
```

[4.5.](#) Pipelining

NETCONF <rpc> requests MUST be processed serially by the managed device. Additional <rpc> requests MAY be sent before previous ones have been completed. The managed device MUST send responses only in the order the requests were received.

[5.](#) Configuration Model

NETCONF provides an initial set of operations and a number of capabilities that can be used to extend the base. NETCONF peers exchange device capabilities when the session is initiated as described in [Section 8.1](#).

[5.1.](#) Configuration Datastores

NETCONF defines the existence of one or more configuration datastores and allows configuration operations on them. A configuration datastore is defined as the complete set of configuration data that is required to get a device from its initial default state into a desired operational state. The configuration datastore does not include state data or executive commands.

Only the <running> configuration datastore is present in the base model. Additional configuration datastores may be defined by capabilities. Such configuration datastores are available only on devices that advertise the capabilities.

- o Running: The complete configuration currently active on the network device. Only one configuration datastore of this type exists on the device, and it is always present. NETCONF protocol operations refer to this datastore using the <running> element.

The capabilities in [Section 8.3](#) and [Section 8.7](#) define the

<candidate> and <startup> configuration datastores, respectively.

[5.2.](#) Data Modeling

Data modeling and content issues are outside the scope of the NETCONF protocol. An assumption is made that the device's data model is well-known to the application and that both parties are aware of issues such as the layout, containment, keying, lookup, replacement,

Enns

Expires August 5, 2006

[Page 17]

Internet-Draft

NETCONF Protocol

February 2006

and management of the data, as well as any other constraints imposed by the data model.

NETCONF carries configuration data inside the <config> element that is specific to device's data model. The protocol treats the contents of that element as opaque data. The device uses capabilities to announce the set of data models which the device implements. The capability definition details the operation and constraints imposed by data model.

Devices and managers may support multiple data models, including both standard and proprietary data models.

[6.](#) Subtree Filtering

[6.1.](#) Overview

XML subtree filtering is a mechanism that allows an application to select particular XML subtrees to include in the <rpc-reply> for a <get> or <get-config> operation. A small set of filters for inclusion, simple content exact-match, and selection is provided, which allows some useful, but also very limited, selection mechanisms. The agent does not need to utilize any data model-specific semantics during processing, allowing for simple and centralized implementation strategies.

Conceptually, a subtree filter is comprised of zero or more element subtrees, which represent the filter selection criteria. At each containment level within a subtree, the set of sibling nodes is logically processed by the server to determine if its subtree (and path of elements to the root) are included in the filter output.

All elements present in a particular subtree within a filter must match associated nodes present in the server's conceptual data model. XML namespaces may be specified (via 'xmlns' declarations) within the filter data model. If so, the declared namespace must first exactly match a namespace supported by the server. Note that prefix values for qualified namespaces are not relevant when comparing filter elements to elements in the underlying data model. Only data associated with a specified namespace will be included in the filter output.

Each node specified in a subtree filter represents an inclusive filter. Only associated nodes in underlying data model(s) within the specified configuration datastore on the server are selected by the filter. A node must exactly match the namespace and hierarchy of elements given in the filter data, except the filter absolute path

name is adjusted to start from the layer below <filter>.

Response messages contain only the subtrees selected by the filter. Any selection criteria that were present in the request, within a particular selected subtree, is also included in the response. Note that some elements expressed in the filter as leaf nodes will be expanded (i.e., subtrees included) in the filter output. Specific data instances are not duplicated in the response in the event the request contains multiple filter subtree expressions which select the same data.

[6.2.](#) Subtree Filter Components

A subtree filter is comprised of XML elements and their XML attributes. There are five types of components that may be present in a subtree filter:

- o Namespace Selection
- o Attribute Match Expressions
- o Containment Nodes
- o Selection Nodes
- o Content Match Nodes

[6.2.1.](#) Namespace Selection

If namespaces are used then the filter output will only include elements from the specified namespace. A namespace is considered to match (for filter purposes) if the content of the 'xmlns' attributes are the same in the filter and the underlying data model. Note that namespace selection cannot be used by itself. At least one element must be specified in the filter any elements to be included in the filter output.

Example:

```
<filter type="subtree">
  <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config"/>
</filter>
```

In this example the <top> element is a selection node, and only this node and any child nodes (from the underlying data model) in the 'http://example.com/schema/1.2/config' namespace will be included in the filter output.

[6.2.2.](#) Attribute Match Expressions

An attribute that appears in a subtree filter is part of an "attribute match expression". Any number of (unqualified or qualified) XML attributes may be present in any type of filter node. In addition to the selection criteria normally applicable to that node, the selected data must have matching values for every attribute specified in the node. If an element is not defined to include a specified attribute, then it is not selected in the filter output.

Example:

```
<filter type="subtree">
  <t:top xmlns:t="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
    <t:interfaces>
      <t:interface t:ifName="eth0"/>
    </t:interfaces>
  </t:top>
</filter>
```

In this example the <top>, <interfaces> and <interface> elements are containment nodes, and 'ifName' is an attribute match expression. Only 'interface' nodes in the 'http://example.com/schema/1.2/config' namespace which have an 'ifName' attribute with the value 'eth0' and occur within 'interfaces' nodes within 'top' nodes will be included in the filter output.

[6.2.3.](#) Containment Nodes

Nodes which contain child elements within a subtree filter are called "containment nodes". Each child element can be any type of node, including another containment node. For each containment node specified in a subtree filter, all data model instances which exactly match the specified namespaces, element hierarchy, and any attribute match expressions are included in the filter output.

Example:

```
<filter type="subtree">
  <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
    <users/>
  </top>
</filter>
```

In this example the <top> element is a containment node.

[6.2.4.](#) Selection Nodes

An empty leaf node within a filter is called a "selection node", and it represents an "explicit selection" filter on the underlying data model. Presence of any selection nodes within a set of sibling nodes will cause the filter to select the specified subtree(s), and suppress automatic selection of the entire set of sibling nodes in the underlying data model. For filtering purposes, an empty leaf node can be declared with either an empty tag (e.g., <foo/>) or with explicit start and end tags (e.g., <foo> </foo>). Any whitespace characters are ignored in this form.

Example:

```
<filter type="subtree">
  <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
    <users/>
  </top>
</filter>
```

In this example the `<top>` element is a containment node, and the `<users>` element is a selection node. Only 'users' nodes in the 'http://example.com/schema/1.2/config' namespace, which occur within a 'top' element that is the root of the configuration datastore will be included in the filter output.

[6.2.5.](#) Content Match Nodes

A leaf node which contains simple content is called a "content match node". It is used to select some or all of its sibling nodes for filter output, and represents an exact-match filter on the leaf node element content. The following constraints apply to content match nodes:

- o A content match node must not contain nested elements (i.e., must resolve to a simpleType in XSD).
- o Multiple content match nodes (i.e., sibling nodes) are logically combined in an "AND" expression.
- o Filtering of mixed content is not supported.
- o Filtering of list content is not supported.
- o Filtering of whitespace-only content is not supported.
- o A content match node must contain non-whitespace characters. An empty element (e.g., `<foo></foo>`) will be interpreted as a

selection node (e.g., `<foo/>`).

- o Leading and trailing whitespace characters are ignored, but any whitespace characters within a block of text characters are not ignored or modified.

If all specified sibling content match nodes in a subtree filter expression are 'true', then the filter output nodes are selected in the following manner:

- o Each content match node in the sibling set is included in the filter output.
- o If any containment nodes are present in the sibling set then they are processed further, and included if any nested filter criteria are also met.
- o If any selection nodes are present in the sibling set then all of them are included in the filter output.
- o Otherwise (i.e., there are no selection or containment nodes in the filter sibling set) all the nodes defined at this level in the underlying data model (and their subtrees, if any) are returned in the filter output.

If any of the sibling content match node tests are 'false', then no further filter processing is performed on that sibling set, and none of the sibling subtrees are selected by the filter, including the content match node(s).

Example:

```
<filter type="subtree">
  <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
    <users>
      <user>
        <name>fred</name>
      </user>
    </users>
  </top>
</filter>
```

In this example the <users> and <user> nodes are both containment nodes, and <name> is a content match node. Since no sibling nodes of <name> are specified (and therefore no containment or selection nodes) all of the sibling nodes of <name> are returned in the filter output. Only 'user' nodes in the 'http://example.com/schema/1.2/config' namespace, that match the

element hierarchy and for which the <name> element is equal to 'fred' will be included in the filter output.

[6.3.](#) Subtree Filter Processing

The filter output (the set of selected nodes) is initially empty.

Each subtree filter can contain one or more data model fragments, which represent portions of the data model that should be selected (with all child nodes) in the filter output.

Each subtree data fragment is compared by the server to the internal data models supported by the server. If the entire subtree data-fragment filter (starting from the root to the innermost element specified in the filter) exactly matches a corresponding portion of the supported data model, then that node and all its children are included in the result data.

The server processes all nodes with the same parent node (sibling set) together, starting from the root to the leaf nodes. The root elements in the filter are considered to be in the same sibling set (assuming they are in the same namespace), even though they do not have a common parent.

For each sibling set, the server determines which nodes are included (or potentially included) in the filter output, and which sibling subtrees are excluded (pruned) from the filter output. The server first determines which types of nodes are present in the sibling set, and processes the nodes according to the rules for their type. If any nodes in the sibling set are selected, then the process is recursively applied to the sibling sets of each selected node. The algorithm continues until all sibling sets in all subtrees specified in the filter have been processed.

[6.4.](#) Subtree Filtering Examples

[6.4.1.](#) No filter

Leaving out the filter on the get operation returns the entire data model.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get/>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <data>
    <!-- ... entire set of data returned ... -->
  </data>
</rpc-reply>
```

[6.4.2.](#) Empty filter

An empty filter will select nothing because no content match or selection nodes are present. This is not an error. The filter type attribute used in these examples is discussed further in [Section 7.1](#).

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get>
    <filter type="subtree">
    </filter>
  </get>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <data>
  </data>
</rpc-reply>
```

[6.4.3.](#) Select the entire <users> subtree

This filter in this example contains one selection node (<users>), so just that subtree is selected by the filter. This example represents the fully-populated <users> data model in most of the filter examples that follow. In a real data model, the <company-info> would not likely be returned with the list of users for a particular host or network.

NOTE: The filtering and configuration examples used in this document

appear in the namespace "http://example.com/schema/1.2/config". The root element of this namespace is <top>. The <top> element and its descendents represent an example configuration data model only.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
      xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
```

```
<get-config>
  <source>
    <running/>
  </source>
  <filter type="subtree">
    <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
      <users/>
    </top>
  </filter>
</get-config>
</rpc>
```

```
<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <data>
    <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
      <users>
        <user>
          <name>root</name>
          <type>superuser</type>
          <full-name>Charlie Root</full-name>
          <company-info>
            <dept>1</dept>
            <id>1</id>
          </company-info>
        </user>
        <user>
          <name>fred</name>
          <type>admin</type>
          <full-name>Fred Flintstone</full-name>
          <company-info>
            <dept>2</dept>
            <id>2</id>
          </company-info>
        </user>
      </users>
    </top>
  </data>
</rpc-reply>
```

```

    <user>
      <name>barney</name>
      <type>admin</type>
      <full-name>Barney Rubble</full-name>
      <company-info>
        <dept>2</dept>
        <id>3</id>
      </company-info>
    </user>
  </users>
</top>
</data>
</rpc-reply>

```

The following filter request would have produced the same result, but only because the container `<users>` defines one child element (`<user>`).

```

<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get-config>
    <source>
      <running/>
    </source>
    <filter type="subtree">
      <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
        <users>
          <user/>
        </users>
      </top>
    </filter>
  </get-config>
</rpc>

```

[6.4.4.](#) Select all `<name>` elements within the `<users>` subtree

This filter contains two containment nodes (`<users>`, `<user>`), and one selector node (`<name>`). All instances of the `<name>` element in the same sibling set are selected in the filter output. The manager may need to know that `<name>` is used as an instance identifier in this particular data structure, but the server does not need to know that meta-data in order to process the request.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get-config>
    <source>
      <running/>
    </source>
    <filter type="subtree">
      <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
        <users>
          <user>
            <name/>
          </user>
        </users>
      </top>
    </filter>
  </get-config>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <data>
```

```

    <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
      <users>
        <user>
          <name>root</name>
        </user>
        <user>
          <name>fred</name>
        </user>
        <user>
          <name>barney</name>
        </user>
      </users>
    </top>
  </data>
</rpc-reply>

```

[6.4.5.](#) One specific <user> entry

This filter contains two containment nodes (<users>, <user>) and one content match node (<name>). All instances of the sibling set containing <name> for which the value of <name> equals "fred" are selected in the filter output.

```

<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get-config>
    <source>
      <running/>
    </source>
    <filter type="subtree">
      <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
        <users>
          <user>
            <name>fred</name>
          </user>
        </users>
      </top>
    </filter>
  </get-config>
</rpc>

```

```

    </filter>
  </get-config>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <data>
    <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
      <users>
        <user>
          <name>fred</name>
          <type>admin</type>
          <full-name>Fred Flintstone</full-name>
          <company-info>
            <dept>2</dept>
            <id>2</id>
          </company-info>
        </user>
      </users>
    </top>
  </data>
</rpc-reply>

```

[6.4.6.](#) Specific elements from a specific <user> entry

This filter contains two containment nodes (<users>, <user>), one content match node (<name>), and two selector nodes (<type>, <full-name>). All instances of the <type> and <full-name> elements in the same sibling set containing <name> for which the value of <name> equals "fred" are selected in the filter output. The <company-info> element is not included because the sibling set contains selection nodes.

```

<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get-config>
    <source>
      <running/>
    </source>
    <filter type="subtree">

```



```

    <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
      <users>
        <user>
          <name>fred</name>
          <type/>
          <full-name/>
        </user>
      </users>
    </top>
  </filter>
</get-config>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <data>
    <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
      <users>
        <user>
          <name>fred</name>
          <type>admin</type>
          <full-name>Fred Flintstone</full-name>
        </user>
      </users>
    </top>
  </data>
</rpc-reply>

```

[6.4.7.](#) Multiple Subtrees

This filter contains three subtrees (name=root, fred, barney)

The "root" subtree filter contains two containment nodes (<users>, <user>), one content match node (<name>), and one selector node (<company-info>). The subtree selection criteria is met, and just the company-info subtree for "root" is selected in the filter output.

The "fred" subtree filter contains three containment nodes (<users>, <user>, <company-info>), one content match node (<name>), and one selector node (<id>). The subtree selection criteria is met, and just the <id> element within the company-info subtree for "fred" is

selected in the filter output.

The "barney" subtree filter contains three containment nodes (<users>, <user>, <company-info>), two content match nodes (<name>, <type>), and one selector node (<dept>). The subtree selection criteria is not met because user "barney" is not a "superuser", and the entire subtree for "barney" (including its parent <user> entry) is excluded from the filter output.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get-config>
    <source>
      <running/>
    </source>
    <filter type="subtree">
      <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
        <users>
          <user>
            <name>root</name>
            <company-info/>
          </user>
          <user>
            <name>fred</name>
            <company-info>
              <id/>
            </company-info>
          </user>
          <user>
            <name>barney</name>
            <type>superuser</type>
            <company-info>
              <dept/>
            </company-info>
          </user>
        </users>
      </top>
    </filter>
  </get-config>
</rpc>
```

```
<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <data>
    <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
      <users>
        <user>
          <name>root</name>
```

Internet-Draft

NETCONF Protocol

February 2006

```
      <company-info>
        <dept>1</dept>
        <id>1</id>
      </company-info>
    </user>
    <user>
      <name>fred</name>
      <company-info>
        <id>2</id>
      </company-info>
    </user>
  </users>
</top>
</data>
</rpc-reply>
```

[6.4.8.](#) Elements with attribute naming

In this example, the filter contains one containment node (<interfaces>), one attribute match expression (ifName), and one selector node (<interface>). All instances of the <interface> subtree that have an ifName attribute equal to "eth0" are selected in the filter output. The filter data elements and attributes must be qualified because the ifName attribute will not be considered part of the 'schema/1.2' namespace if it is unqualified.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get>
    <filter type="subtree">
      <t:top xmlns:t="http://example.com/schema/1.2/stats">
        <t:interfaces>
          <t:interface t:ifName="eth0"/>
        </t:interfaces>
      </t:top>
    </filter>
  </get>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <data>
    <t:top xmlns:t="http://example.com/schema/1.2/stats">
      <t:interfaces>
        <t:interface t:ifName="eth0">
          <t:ifInOctets>45621</t:ifInOctets>
          <t:ifOutOctets>774344</t:ifOutOctets>
        </t:interface>
      </t:interfaces>
    </t:top>
  </data>
</rpc-reply>
```

If ifName were a child node instead of an attribute, then the following request would produce similar results.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get>
    <filter type="subtree">
      <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/stats">
        <interfaces>
```

```
        <interface>
          <ifName>eth0</ifName>
        </interface>
      </interfaces>
    </top>
  </filter>
</get>
</rpc>
```

[7.](#) Protocol Operations

The NETCONF protocol provides a small set of low-level operations to manage device configurations and retrieve device state information. The base protocol provides operations to retrieve, configure, copy, and delete configuration datastores. Additional operations are provided, based on the capabilities advertised by the device.

The base protocol includes the following protocol operations:

- o get
- o get-config
- o edit-config
- o copy-config
- o delete-config
- o lock
- o unlock
- o close-session
- o kill-session

A protocol operation may fail for various reasons, including

"operation not supported". An initiator should not assume that any operation will always succeed. The return values in any RPC reply should be checked for error responses.

The syntax and XML encoding of the protocol operations are formally defined in the XML schema in [Appendix B](#). The following sections describe the semantics of each protocol operation.

[7.1](#). <get-config>

Description:

Retrieve all or part of a specified configuration.

Parameters:

source:

Name of the configuration datastore being queried, such as <running/>.

filter:

The filter element identifies the portions of the device configuration to retrieve. If this element is unspecified, the entire configuration is returned.

The filter element may optionally contain a "type" attribute. This attribute indicates the type of filtering syntax used within the filter element. The default filtering mechanism in NETCONF is referred to as subtree filtering and is described in [Section 6](#). The value "subtree" explicitly identifies this type of filtering.

If the NETCONF peer supports the :xpath capability ([Section 8.9](#)), the value "xpath" may be used to indicate that the filter element contains an XPath expression.

Positive Response:

If the device can satisfy the request, the server sends an `<rpc-reply>` element containing a `<data>` element with the results of the query.

Negative Response:

An `<rpc-error>` element is included in the `<rpc-reply>` if the request cannot be completed for any reason.

Example: To retrieve the entire `<users>` subtree:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get-config>
    <source>
      <running/>
    </source>
    <filter type="subtree">
      <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
        <users/>
      </top>
    </filter>
  </get-config>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
```

```

    xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
<data>
  <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
    <users>
      <user>
        <name>root</name>
        <type>superuser</type>
        <full-name>Charlie Root</full-name>
        <company-info>
          <dept>1</dept>
          <id>1</id>
        </company-info>
      </user>
      <!-- additional <user> elements appear here... -->
    </users>
  </top>
</data>
</rpc-reply>

```

If the configuration is available in multiple formats, such as XML and text, an XML namespace can be used to specify which format is desired. In the following example, the client uses a specific element (<config-text>) in a specific namespace to indicate to the server the desire to receive the configuration in an alternative format. The server may support any number of distinct formats or views into the configuration data, with the client using the <filter> parameter to select between them.

```

<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get-config>
    <source>
      <running/>
    </source>
    <filter type="subtree">
      <!-- request a text version of the configuration -->

```



```

        <config-text xmlns="http://example.com/text/1.2/config"/>
    </filter>
</get-config>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <data>
    <config-text xmlns="http://example.com/text/1.2/config">
      <!-- configuration text... -->
    </config-text>
  </data>
</rpc-reply>

```

[Section 6](#) contains additional examples of subtree filtering.

[7.2.](#) <edit-config>

Description:

The <edit-config> operation loads all or part of a specified configuration to the specified target configuration. This operation allows the new configuration to be expressed in several ways, such as using a local file, a remote file, or inline. If the target configuration does not exist, it will be created. If a NETCONF peer supports the :url capability ([Section 8.8](#)), the <url> element can appear instead of the <config> parameter and should identify a local configuration file.

The device analyzes the source and target configurations and performs the requested changes. The target configuration is not necessarily replaced, as with the <copy-config> message. Instead the target configuration is changed in accordance with the source's data and requested operations.

Attributes:

operation:

Elements in the <config> subtree may contain an "operation" attribute. The attribute identifies the point in the configuration to perform the operation, and MAY appear on multiple elements throughout the <config> subtree.

If the operation attribute is not specified, the configuration is merged into the configuration datastore.

The operation attribute has one of the following values:

merge: The configuration data identified by the element containing this attribute is merged with the configuration at the corresponding level in the configuration datastore identified by the target parameter. This is the default behavior.

replace: The configuration data identified by the element containing this attribute replaces any related configuration in the configuration datastore identified by the target parameter. Unlike a <copy-config> operation, which replaces the entire target configuration, only the configuration actually present in the config parameter is affected.

create: The configuration data identified by the element containing this attribute is added to the configuration if and only if the configuration data does not already exist on the device. If the configuration data exists, an <rpc-error> element is returned with an <error-tag> value of data-exists.

delete: The configuration data identified by the element containing this attribute is deleted in the configuration datastore identified by the target parameter.

Parameters:

target:

Name of the configuration datastore being edited, such as <running/> or <candidate/>.

default-operation:

Selects the default operation (as described in the "operation" attribute) for this <edit-config> request. The default value for the default-operation parameter is "merge".

The default-operation parameter is optional, but if provided, must have one of the following values:

merge: The configuration data in the <config> parameter is merged with the configuration at the corresponding level in the target datastore. This is the default behavior.

replace: The configuration data in the <config> parameter completely replaces the configuration in the target datastore. This is useful for loading previously saved configuration data.

none: The target datastore is unaffected by the configuration in the <config> parameter, unless and until the incoming configuration data uses the "operation" attribute to request a different operation. If the configuration in the <config> parameter contains data for which there is not a corresponding level in the target datastore, an <rpc-error> is returned with an <error-tag> value of data-missing. Using "none" allows operations like "delete" to avoid unintentionally creating the parent hierarchy of the element to be deleted.

test-option:

The test-option element may be specified only if the device advertises the :validate capability ([Section 8.6](#)).

The test-option element has one of the following values:

test-then-set: Perform a validation test before attempting to set. If validation errors occur, do not perform the <edit-config> operation. This is the default test-option.

set: Perform a set without a validation test first.

error-option:

The error-option element has one of the following values:

stop-on-error: Abort the edit-config operation on first error. This is the default error-option.

continue-on-error: Continue to process configuration data on error; error is recorded and negative response is generated if any errors occur.

rollback-on-error: If an error condition occurs such that an error severity <rpc-error> element is generated, the server will stop processing the edit-config operation and restore the specified configuration to its complete state at the start of this edit-config operation. This option requires the server to support the :rollback-on-error capability described in [Section 8.5](#).

config:

A hierarchy of configuration data as defined by one of the device's data models. The contents MUST be placed in an appropriate namespace, to allow the device to detect the appropriate data model, and the contents MUST follow the constraints of that data model, as defined by its capability definition. Capabilities are discussed in [Section 8](#).

Positive Response:

If the device was able to satisfy the request, an <rpc-reply> is sent containing an <ok> element.

Negative Response:

An <rpc-error> response is sent if the request cannot be completed for any reason.

Example:

Set the MTU to 1500 on an interface named "Ethernet0/0" in the running configuration:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <edit-config>
    <target>
      <running/>
    </target>
    <config>
      <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
        <interface>
          <name>Ethernet0/0</name>
          <mtu>1500</mtu>
        </interface>
      </top>
    </config>
  </edit-config>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <ok/>
</rpc-reply>
```

Add an interface named "Ethernet0/0" to the running configuration, replacing any previous interface with that name:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <edit-config>
    <target>
      <running/>
    </target>
    <config xmlns:xc="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
      <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
        <interface xc:operation="replace">
          <name>Ethernet0/0</name>
          <mtu>1500</mtu>
          <address>
            <name>192.0.2.4</name>
            <prefix-length>24</prefix-length>
          </address>
        </interface>
      </top>
    </config>
  </edit-config>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <ok/>
</rpc-reply>
```

Delete the configuration for an interface named "Ethernet0/0" from the running configuration:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <edit-config>
    <target>
      <running/>
    </target>
    <default-operation>none</default-operation>
    <config xmlns:xc="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
      <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
        <interface xc:operation="delete">
          <name>Ethernet0/0</name>
        </interface>
      </top>
    </config>
  </edit-config>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
```

```
    xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
    <ok/>
</rpc-reply>
```

Delete interface 192.0.2.4 from an OSPF area (other interfaces configured in the same area are unaffected):

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <edit-config>
    <target>
      <running/>
    </target>
    <default-operation>none</default-operation>
    <config xmlns:xc="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
      <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
        <protocols>
          <ospf>
```



```

        <area>
          <name>0.0.0.0</name>
          <interfaces>
            <interface xc:operation="delete">
              <name>192.0.2.4</name>
            </interface>
          </interfaces>
        </area>
      </ospf>
    </protocols>
  </top>
</config>
</edit-config>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <ok/>
</rpc-reply>

```

[7.3.](#) <copy-config>

Description:

Create or replace an entire configuration datastore with the contents of another complete configuration datastore. If the target datastore exists, it is overwritten. Otherwise, a new one is created, if allowed.

If a NETCONF peer supports the `:url` capability ([Section 8.8](#)), the `<url>` element can appear as the `<source>` or `<target>` parameter.

Even if it advertises the `:writable-running` capability, a device may choose not to support the `<running/>` configuration datastore as the `<target>` parameter of a `<copy-config>` operation. A device may choose not to support remote-to-remote copy operations, where both the `<source>` and `<target>` parameters use the `<url>` element.

If the source and target parameters identify the same URL or configuration datastore, an error **MUST** be returned with an error-tag containing `invalid-value`.

Parameters:

target:

Name of the configuration datastore to use as the destination of the copy operation.

source:

Name of the configuration datastore to use as the source of the copy operation or the <config> element containing the configuration subtree to copy.

Positive Response:

If the device was able to satisfy the request, an <rpc-reply> is sent that includes an <ok> element.

Negative Response:

An <rpc-error> element is included within the <rpc-reply> if the request cannot be completed for any reason.

Example:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <copy-config>
    <target>
      <running/>
    </target>
    <source>
      <url>https://user@example.com:passphrase/cfg/new.txt</url>
    </source>
  </copy-config>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <ok/>
</rpc-reply>
```

[7.4.](#) <delete-config>

Description:

Delete a configuration datastore. The <running> configuration datastore cannot be deleted.

If a NETCONF peer supports the :url capability ([Section 8.8](#)), the <url> element can appear as the <target> parameter.

Parameters:

target:

Name of the configuration datastore to delete.

Positive Response:

If the device was able to satisfy the request, an <rpc-reply> is sent that includes an <ok> element.

Negative Response:

An <rpc-error> element is included within the <rpc-reply> if the request cannot be completed for any reason.

Example:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <delete-config>
    <target>
      <startup/>
    </target>
  </delete-config>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <ok/>
</rpc-reply>
```

[7.5.](#) <lock>

Internet-Draft

NETCONF Protocol

February 2006

Description:

The lock operation allows the client to lock the configuration system of a device. Such locks are intended to be short-lived and allow a client to make a change without fear of interaction with other NETCONF clients, non-NETCONF clients (e.g. SNMP and CLI scripts) and human users.

An attempt to lock the configuration **MUST** fail if an existing session or other entity holds a lock on any portion of the lock target.

When the lock is acquired, the server **MUST** prevent any changes to the locked resource other than those requested by this session. SNMP and CLI requests to modify the resource **MUST** fail with an appropriate error.

The duration of the lock is defined as beginning when the lock is acquired and lasting until either the lock is released or the NETCONF session closes. The session closure may be explicitly performed by the client, or implicitly performed by the server based on criteria such as failure of the underlying transport, or simple inactivity timeout. This criteria is dependent on the implementation and the underlying transport.

The lock operation takes a mandatory parameter, target. The target parameter names the configuration that will be locked. When a lock is active, using the <edit-config> operation on the locked configuration and using the locked configuration as a target of the <copy-config> operation will be disallowed by any other NETCONF session. Additionally, the system will ensure that these locked configuration resources will not be modified by other non-NETCONF management operations such as SNMP and CLI. The <kill-session> message (at the RPC layer) can be used to force the release of a lock owned by another NETCONF session. It is beyond the scope of this document to define how to break locks held by other entities.

A lock **MUST** not be granted if any of the following conditions are true:

- * a lock is already held by another NETCONF session or another entity
- * the target configuration is <candidate> and it has already been modified and these changes have not been committed or rolled back

The server MUST respond with either an <ok> element or an <rpc-error>.

A lock will be released by the system if the session holding the lock is terminated for any reason.

Parameters:

target:

Name of the configuration datastore to lock.

Positive Response:

If the device was able to satisfy the request, an <rpc-reply> is sent that contains an <ok> element.

Negative Response:

An <rpc-error> element is included in the <rpc-reply> if the request cannot be completed for any reason.

If the lock is already held, the <error-tag> element will be 'lock-denied' and the <error-info> element will include the <session-id> of the lock owner. If the lock is held by a non-NETCONF entity, a <session-id> of 0 (zero) is included. Note that any other entity performing a lock on even a partial piece of a target will prevent a NETCONF lock (which is global) from being obtained on that target.

Example:

The following example shows a successful acquisition of a lock.

```

<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <lock>
    <target>
      <running/>
    </target>
  </lock>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <ok/> <!-- lock succeeded -->
</rpc-reply>

```

Example:

The following example shows a failed attempt to acquire a lock when the lock is already in use.

```

<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <lock>
    <target>
      <running/>
    </target>
  </lock>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <rpc-error> <!-- lock failed -->
    <error-type>protocol</error-type>
    <error-tag>lock-denied</error-tag>
    <error-severity>error</error-severity>
    <error-message>
      Lock failed, lock is already held
    </error-message>
    <error-info>
      <session-id>454</session-id>
    </error-info>
  </rpc-error>
</rpc-reply>

```

```
        <!-- lock is held by NETCONF session 454 -->
    </error-info>
</rpc-error>
</rpc-reply>
```

[7.6.](#) <unlock>

Description:

The unlock operation is used to release a configuration lock, previously obtained with the <lock> operation.

An unlock operation will not succeed if any of the following conditions are true:

- * the specified lock is not currently active
- * the session issuing the <unlock> operation is not the same session that obtained the lock

The server MUST respond with either an <ok> element or an <rpc-error>.

Parameters:

target:

Name of the configuration datastore to unlock.

A NETCONF client is not permitted to unlock a configuration datastore that it did not lock.

Positive Response:

If the device was able to satisfy the request, an <rpc-reply> is sent that contains an <ok> element.

Negative Response:

An <rpc-error> element is included in the <rpc-reply> if the request cannot be completed for any reason.

Example:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <unlock>
    <target>
      <running/>
    </target>
  </unlock>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <ok/>
</rpc-reply>
```

[7.7.](#) <get>

Description:

Retrieve running configuration and device state information.

Parameters:

filter:

This parameter specifies the portion of the system configuration and state data to retrieve. If this parameter is empty, all the device configuration and state information is returned.

The filter element may optionally contain a 'type' attribute. This attribute indicates the type of filtering syntax used within the filter element. The default filtering mechanism in NETCONF is referred to as subtree filtering and is described in [Section 6](#). The value 'subtree' explicitly identifies this type

of filtering.

If the NETCONF peer supports the :xpath capability ([Section 8.9](#)), the value 'xpath' may be used to indicate that the filter element contains an XPath expression.

Positive Response:

If the device was able to satisfy the request, an <rpc-reply> is sent. The <data> section contains the appropriate subset.

Negative Response:

An <rpc-error> element is included in the <rpc-reply> if the request cannot be completed for any reason.

Example:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get>
    <filter type="subtree">
      <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/stats">
```

```

        <interfaces>
          <interface>
            <ifName>eth0</ifName>
          </interface>
        </interfaces>
      </top>
    </filter>
  </get>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <data>
    <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/stats">
      <interfaces>
        <interface>
          <ifName>eth0</ifName>
          <ifInOctets>45621</ifInOctets>
          <ifOutOctets>774344</ifOutOctets>
        </interface>
      </interfaces>
    </top>
  </data>
</rpc-reply>

```

7.8. <close-session>

Description:

Request graceful termination of a NETCONF session.

When a NETCONF server receives a <close-session> request, it will gracefully close the session. The server will release any locks and resources associated with the session and gracefully close any associated connections. Any NETCONF requests received after a <close-session> request will be ignored.

Positive Response:

If the device was able to satisfy the request, an <rpc-reply> is sent that includes an <ok> element.

Negative Response:

An `<rpc-error>` element is included in the `<rpc-reply>` if the request cannot be completed for any reason.

Example:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <close-session/>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <ok/>
</rpc-reply>
```

[7.9.](#) `<kill-session>`

Description:

Force the termination of a NETCONF session.

When a NETCONF entity receives a `<kill-session>` request for an open session, it will abort any operations currently in process, release any locks and resources associated with the session and close any associated connections.

If a NETCONF server receives a `<kill-session>` request while processing a confirmed commit ([Section 8.4](#)), it must restore the configuration to its state before the confirmed commit was issued.

Otherwise, the `<kill-session>` operation does not roll back configuration or other device state modifications made by the entity holding the lock.

Parameters:

`session-id`:

Session identifier of the NETCONF session to be terminated. If this value is equal to the current session ID, an 'invalid-value' error is returned.

Internet-Draft

NETCONF Protocol

February 2006

Positive Response:

If the device was able to satisfy the request, an `<rpc-reply>` is sent that includes an `<ok>` element.

Negative Response:

An `<rpc-error>` element is included in the `<rpc-reply>` if the request cannot be completed for any reason.

Example:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <kill-session>
    <session-id>4</session-id>
  </kill-session>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <ok/>
</rpc-reply>
```

[8.](#) Capabilities

This section defines a set of capabilities that a client or a server MAY implement. Each peer advertises its capabilities by sending them during an initial capabilities exchange. Each peer needs to understand only those capabilities that it might use and MUST ignore any capability received from the other peer that it does not require or does not understand.

Additional capabilities can be defined using the template in [Appendix C](#). Future capability definitions may be published as standards by standards bodies or published as proprietary extensions.

A NETCONF capability is identified with a URI. The base capabilities are defined using URNs following the method described in [RFC 3553](#) [6]. Capabilities defined in this document have the following format:

urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:{name}:1.0

where {name} is the name of the capability. Capabilities are often referenced in discussions and email using the shorthand `:{name}`. For example, the foo capability would have the formal name

"urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:foo:1.0" and be called `:foo`. The shorthand form **MUST NOT** be used inside the protocol.

[8.1](#). Capabilities Exchange

Capabilities are advertised in messages sent by each peer during session establishment. When the NETCONF session is opened, each peer (both client and server) **MUST** send a `<hello>` element containing a list of that peer's capabilities. Each peer **MUST** send at least the base NETCONF capability, "urn:ietf:params:netconf:base:1.0".

A server sending the `<hello>` element **MUST** include a `<session-id>` element containing the session ID for this NETCONF session. A client sending the `<hello>` element **MUST NOT** include a `<session-id>` element.

A server receiving a `<session-id>` element **MUST NOT** continue the NETCONF session. Similarly, a client that does not receive a `<session-id>` element in the server's `<hello>` message **MUST NOT** continue the NETCONF session. In both cases the underlying transport should be closed.

In the following example, a server advertises the base NETCONF capability, one NETCONF capability defined in the base NETCONF document, and one implementation-specific capability.

```
<hello xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <capabilities>
    <capability>
      urn:ietf:params:netconf:base:1.0
    </capability>
    <capability>
      urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:startup:1.0
    </capability>
    <capability>
      http://example.net/router/2.3/myfeature
    </capability>
  </capabilities>
</hello>
```

```
</capability>
</capabilities>
<session-id>4</session-id>
</hello>
```

Each peer sends its <hello> element simultaneously as soon as the connection is open. A peer MUST NOT wait to receive the capability set from the other side before sending its own set.

[8.2.](#) Writable-Running Capability

Enns

Expires August 5, 2006

[Page 54]

Internet-Draft

NETCONF Protocol

February 2006

[8.2.1.](#) Description

The :writable-running capability indicates that the device supports writes directly to the <running> configuration datastore. In other words, the device supports edit-config and copy-config operations where the <running> configuration is the target.

[8.2.2.](#) Dependencies

None.

[8.2.3.](#) Capability Identifier

The :writable-running capability is identified by the following capability string:

```
urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:writable-running:1.0
```

[8.2.4.](#) New Operations

None.

[8.2.5.](#) Modifications to Existing Operations

[8.2.5.1.](#) <edit-config>

The :writable-running capability modifies the <edit-config> operation to accept the <running> element as a <target>.

[8.2.5.2.](#) <copy-config>

The :writable-running capability modifies the <copy-config> operation to accept the <running> element as a <target>.

[8.3.](#) Candidate Configuration Capability

[8.3.1.](#) Description

The candidate configuration capability, :candidate, indicates that the device supports a candidate configuration datastore, which is used to hold configuration data that can be manipulated without impacting the device's current configuration. The candidate configuration is a full configuration data set that serves as a work place for creating and manipulating configuration data. Additions, deletions, and changes may be made to this data to construct the desired configuration data. A <commit> operation may be performed at any time that causes the device's running configuration to be set to the value of the candidate configuration.

The <commit> operation effectively sets the running configuration to the current contents of the candidate configuration. While it could be modeled as a simple copy, it is done as a distinct operation for a number of reasons. In keeping high level concepts as first class operations, we allow developers to see more clearly both what the client is requesting and what the server must perform. This keeps the intentions more obvious, the special cases less complex, and the interactions between operations more straight-forward. For example, the :confirmed-commit capability ([Section 8.4](#)) would make no sense as a "copy confirmed" operation.

The candidate configuration may be shared among multiple sessions. Unless a client has specific information that the candidate configuration is not shared, it must assume that other sessions may be able to modify the candidate configuration at the same time. It is therefore prudent for a client to lock the candidate configuration before modifying it.

The client can discard any uncommitted changes to the candidate configuration by executing the <discard-changes> operation. This operation reverts the contents of the candidate configuration to the

contents of the running configuration.

[8.3.2.](#) Dependencies

None.

[8.3.3.](#) Capability Identifier

The :candidate capability is identified by the following capability string:

urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:candidate:1.0

[8.3.4.](#) New Operations

[8.3.4.1.](#) <commit>

Description:

When a candidate configuration's content is complete, the configuration data can be committed, publishing the data set to the rest of the device and requesting the device to conform to the behavior described in the new configuration.

To commit the candidate configuration as the device's new current configuration, use the <commit> operation.

The <commit> operation instructs the device to implement the configuration data contained in the candidate configuration. If the device is unable to commit all of the changes in the candidate configuration datastore, then the running configuration **MUST** remain unchanged. If the device does succeed in committing, the running configuration **MUST** be updated with the contents of the candidate configuration.

If the system does not have the :candidate capability, the <commit> operation is not available.

Positive Response:

If the device was able to satisfy the request, an <rpc-reply>

is sent that contains an <ok> element.

Negative Response:

An <rpc-error> element is included in the <rpc-reply> if the request cannot be completed for any reason.

Example:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <commit/>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <ok/>
</rpc-reply>
```

[8.3.4.2.](#) <discard-changes>

If the client decides that the candidate configuration should not be committed, the <discard-changes> operation can be used to revert the candidate configuration to the current running configuration.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <discard-changes/>
</rpc>
```

This operation discards any uncommitted changes by resetting the candidate configuration with the content of the running configuration.

[8.3.5.](#) Modifications to Existing Operations

[8.3.5.1.](#) <get-config>, <edit-config>, <copy-config>, and <validate>

The candidate configuration can be used as a source or target of any <get-config>, <edit-config>, <copy-config>, or <validate> operation as a <source> or <target> parameter. The <candidate> element is used

to indicate the candidate configuration:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get-config> <!-- any NETCONF operation -->
    <source>
      <candidate/>
    </source>
  </get-config>
</rpc>
```

[8.3.5.2.](#) <lock> and <unlock>

The candidate configuration can be locked using the <lock> operation with the <candidate> element as the <target> parameter:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <lock>
    <target>
      <candidate/>
    </target>
  </lock>
</rpc>
```

Similarly, the candidate configuration is unlocked using the <unlock> operation with the <candidate> element as the <target> parameter:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <unlock>
    <target>
      <candidate/>
    </target>
  </unlock>
</rpc>
```

When a client fails with outstanding changes to the candidate configuration, recovery can be difficult. To facilitate easy recovery, any outstanding changes are discarded when the lock is released, whether explicitly with the <unlock> operation or

implicitly from session failure.

[8.4.](#) Confirmed Commit Capability

[8.4.1.](#) Description

The `:confirmed-commit` capability indicates that the server will support the `<confirmed>` and `<confirm-timeout>` parameters for the `<commit>` protocol operation. See section [Section 8.3](#) for further details on the `<commit>` operation.

A confirmed commit operation **MUST** be reverted if a follow-up commit (called the "confirming commit") is not issued within 600 seconds (10 minutes). The timeout period can be adjusted with the `<confirm-timeout>` element. The confirming commit can itself include a `<confirmed>` parameter.

If the session issuing the confirmed commit is terminated for any reason before the confirm timeout expires, the server **MUST** restore the configuration to its state before the confirmed commit was issued.

If the device reboots for any reason before the confirm timeout expires, the server **MUST** restore the configuration to its state before the confirmed commit was issued.

If a confirming commit is not issued, the device will revert its configuration to the state prior to the issuance of the confirmed commit. Note that any commit operation, including a commit which introduces additional changes to the configuration, will serve as a confirming commit. Thus to cancel a confirmed commit and revert changes without waiting for the confirm timeout to expire, the manager can explicitly restore the configuration to its state before the confirmed commit was issued.

For shared configurations, this feature can cause other configuration changes (for example, via other NETCONF sessions) to be inadvertently altered or removed, unless the configuration locking feature is used (in other words, lock obtained before the edit-config operation is started). Therefore, it is strongly suggested that in order to use this feature with shared configuration databases, configuration locking should also be used.

[8.4.2.](#) Dependencies

The `:confirmed-commit` capability is only relevant if the `:candidate` capability is also supported.

Internet-Draft

NETCONF Protocol

February 2006

[8.4.3.](#) Capability Identifier

The :confirmed-commit capability is identified by the following capability string:

urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:confirmed-commit:1.0

[8.4.4.](#) New Operations

None.

[8.4.5.](#) Modifications to Existing Operations

[8.4.5.1.](#) <commit>

The :confirmed-commit capability allows 2 additional parameters to the <commit> operation.

Parameters:

confirmed:

Perform a confirmed commit operation.

confirm-timeout:

Timeout period for confirmed commit, in seconds. If unspecified, the confirm timeout defaults to 600 seconds.

Example:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <commit>
    <confirmed/>
    <confirm-timeout>120</confirm-timeout>
  </commit>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <ok/>
</rpc-reply>
```

[8.5.](#) Rollback on Error Capability

Enns

Expires August 5, 2006

[Page 60]

Internet-Draft

NETCONF Protocol

February 2006

[8.5.1.](#) Description

This capability indicates that the server will support the 'rollback-on-error' value in the <error-option> parameter to the <edit-config> operation.

For shared configurations, this feature can cause other configuration changes (for example, via other NETCONF sessions) to be inadvertently altered or removed, unless the configuration locking feature is used (in other words, lock obtained before the edit-config operation is started). Therefore, it is strongly suggested that in order to use this feature with shared configuration databases, configuration locking must also be used.

[8.5.2.](#) Dependencies

None

[8.5.3.](#) Capability Identifier

The :rollback-on-error capability is identified by the following capability string:

urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:rollback-on-error:1.0

[8.5.4.](#) New Operations

None.

[8.5.5.](#) Modifications to Existing Operations

[8.5.5.1.](#) <edit-config>

The :rollback-on-error capability allows the 'rollback-on-error' value to the <error-option> parameter on the <edit-config> operation.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <edit-config>
    <target>
      <running/>
    </target>
    <error-option>rollback-on-error</error-option>
    <config>
      <top xmlns="http://example.com/schema/1.2/config">
        <interface>
          <name>Ethernet0/0</name>
          <mtu>100000</mtu>
        </interface>
      </top>
    </config>
  </edit-config>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <ok/>
</rpc-reply>
```

[8.6.](#) Validate Capability

[8.6.1.](#) Description

Validation consists of checking a candidate configuration for syntactical and semantic errors before applying the configuration to

the device.

If this capability is advertised, the device supports the <validate> protocol operation and checks at least for syntax errors. In addition, this capability supports the test-option parameter to the <edit-config> operation and, when it is provided, checks at least for syntax errors.

[8.6.2.](#) Dependencies

None.

[8.6.3.](#) Capability Identifier

The :validate capability is identified by the following capability string:

urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:validate:1.0

[8.6.4.](#) New Operations

[8.6.4.1.](#) <validate>

Description:

This protocol operation validates the contents of the specified configuration.

Parameters:

source:

Name of the configuration datastore being validated, such as <candidate> or the <config> element containing the configuration subtree to validate.

Positive Response:

If the device was able to satisfy the request, an <rpc-reply> is sent that contains an <ok> element.

Negative Response:

An `<rpc-error>` element is included in the `<rpc-reply>` if the request cannot be completed for any reason.

A validate operation can fail for any of the following reasons:

- + Syntax errors
- + Missing parameters
- + References to undefined configuration data

Example:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <validate>
    <source>
      <candidate/>
    </source>
  </validate>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <ok/>
</rpc-reply>
```


[8.7.1.](#) Description

The device supports separate running and startup configuration datastores. Operations which affect the running configuration will not be automatically copied to the startup configuration. An explicit `<copy-config>` operation from the `<running>` to the `<startup>` must be invoked to update the startup configuration to the current contents of the running configuration. NETCONF protocol operations refer to the startup datastore using the `<startup>` element.

[8.7.2.](#) Dependencies

None.

[8.7.3.](#) Capability Identifier

The `:startup` capability is identified by the following capability string:

`urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:startup:1.0`

[8.7.4.](#) New Operations

None.

[8.7.5.](#) Modifications to Existing Operations

[8.7.5.1.](#) General

The `:startup` capability adds the `<startup/>` configuration datastore to arguments of several NETCONF operations. The server **MUST** support the following additional values:

Operation	Parameters	Notes
<code><get-config></code>	<code><source></code>	
<code><copy-config></code>	<code><source></code> <code><target></code>	
<code><lock></code>	<code><target></code>	
<code><unlock></code>	<code><target></code>	
<code><validate></code>	<code><source></code>	If <code>:validate</code> is

		advertised
--	--	------------

To save the startup configuration, use the copy-config operation to copy the <running> configuration datastore to the <startup> configuration datastore.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <copy-config>
    <source>
      <running/>
    </source>
    <target>
      <startup/>
    </target>
  </copy-config>
</rpc>
```

[8.8.](#) URL Capability

[8.8.1.](#) Description

The NETCONF peer has the ability to accept the <url> element in <source> and <target> parameters. The capability is further identified by URL arguments indicating the URL schemes supported.

[8.8.2.](#) Dependencies

None.

[8.8.3.](#) Capability Identifier

The :url capability is identified by the following capability string:

```
urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:url:1.0?scheme={name,...}
```

The :url capability URI MUST contain a "scheme" argument assigned a comma-separated list of scheme names indicating which schemes the NETCONF peer supports. For example:

[8.8.4.](#) New Operations

None.

[8.8.5.](#) Modifications to Existing Operations

[8.8.5.1.](#) <edit-config>

The :url capability modifies the <edit-config> operation to accept the <url> element as an alternative to the <config> parameter. If the <url> element is specified, then it should identify a local configuration file.

[8.8.5.2.](#) <copy-config>

The :url capability modifies the <copy-config> operation to accept the <url> element as the value of the the <source> and the <target> parameters.

[8.8.5.3.](#) <delete-config>

The :url capability modifies the <delete-config> operation to accept the <url> element as the value of the the <target> parameters. If this parameter contains a URL, then it should identify a local configuration file.

[8.8.5.4.](#) <validate>

The :url capability modifies the <validate> operation to accept the <url> element as the value of the the <source> parameter.

[8.9.](#) XPath Capability

[8.9.1.](#) Description

The XPath capability indicates that the NETCONF peer supports the use of XPath expressions in the <filter> element. XPath is described in [2].

The XPath expression must return a node-set.

The XPath expression is evaluated in a context where the context node is the root node, and the set of namespace declarations are those in scope on the filter element, including the default namespace.

[8.9.2.](#) Dependencies

None.

[8.9.3.](#) Capability Identifier

The :xpath capability is identified by the following capability string:

```
urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:xpath:1.0
```

[8.9.4.](#) New Operations

None.

[8.9.5.](#) Modifications to Existing Operations

[8.9.5.1.](#) <get-config> and <get>

The :xpath capability modifies the <get> and <get-config> operations to accept the value "xpath" in the type attribute of the filter element. When the type attribute is set to "xpath", the contents of the filter element will be treated as an xpath expression and used to filter the returned data.

For example:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get-config>
    <source>
      <running/>
    </source>
    <filter type="xpath"> <!-- get the user named fred -->
      top/users/user[name="fred"]
    </filter>
  </get-config>
</rpc>
```

[9.](#) Security Considerations

This document does not specify an authorization scheme, as such a scheme should be tied to a meta-data model or a data model. Implementors SHOULD provide a comprehensive authorization scheme with NETCONF.

not map 1:1 to other interfaces. First, the data models may be incompatible. Second, it may be desirable to authorize based on mechanisms available in the transport protocol layer (TELNET, SSH, etc).

In addition, operations on configurations may have unintended consequences if those operations are also not guarded by the global lock on the files or objects being operated upon. For instance, a partially complete access list could be committed from a candidate configuration unbeknownst to the owner of the lock of the candidate configuration, leading to either an insecure or inaccessible device if the lock on the candidate configuration does not also apply to the <copy-config> operation when applied to it.

Configuration information is by its very nature sensitive. Its transmission in the clear and without integrity checking leaves devices open to classic eavesdropping attacks. Configuration information often contains passwords, user names, service descriptions, and topological information, all of which are sensitive. Because of this, this protocol should be implemented carefully with adequate attention to all manner of attack one might expect to experience with other management interfaces.

The protocol, therefore, must minimally support options for both confidentiality and authentication. It is anticipated that the underlying protocol (SSH, BEEP, etc) will provide for both confidentiality and authentication, as is required. It is further expected that the identity of each end of a NETCONF session will be available to the other in order to determine authorization for any given request. One could also easily envision additional information such as transport and encryption methods being made available for purposes of authorization. NETCONF itself provide no means to reauthenticate, much less authenticate. All such actions occur at lower layers.

Different environments may well allow different rights prior to and then after authentication. Thus, an authorization model is not specified in this document. When an operation is not properly authorized then a simple "access denied" is sufficient. Note that

authorization information may be exchanged in the form of configuration information, which is all the more reason to ensure the security of the connection.

That having been said, it is important to recognize that some operations are clearly more sensitive by nature than others. For instance, <copy-config> to the startup or running configurations is clearly not a normal provisioning operation, where-as <edit-config> is. Such global operations MUST disallow the changing of information

that an individual does not have authorization to perform. For example, if a user A is not allowed to configure an IP address on an interface but user B has configured an IP address on an interface in the <candidate> configuration, user A must not be allowed to commit the <candidate> configuration.

Similarly, just because someone says go write a configuration through the URL capability at a particular place does not mean that an element should do it without proper authorization.

The <lock> operation will demonstrate that NETCONF is intended for use by systems that have at least some trust of the administrator. As specified in this document, it is possible to lock portions of a configuration that a principal might not otherwise have access to. After all, the entire configuration is locked. To mitigate this problem there are two approaches. It is possible to kill another NETCONF session programmatically from within NETCONF if one knows the session identifier of the offending session. The other possible way to break a lock is to provide an function within the device's native user interface. These two mechanisms suffer from a race condition that may be ameliorated by removing the offending user from an AAA server. However, such a solution is not useful in all deployment scenarios, such as those where SSH public/private key pairs are used.

[10.](#) IANA Considerations

[10.1.](#) NETCONF XML Namespace

This document requests that IANA register a URI for the NETCONF XML namespace in the IETF XML registry [\[7\]](#).

Following the format in [RFC 3688](#), the following registration is requested.

URI: Please assign the URI "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0" for use by the NETCONF protocol.

Registrant Contact: The IESG.

XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

[10.2.](#) NETCONF XML Schema

This document requests that IANA register a URI for the NETCONF XML schema in the IETF XML registry [\[7\]](#).

Following the format in [RFC 3688](#), the following registration is

Enns

Expires August 5, 2006

[Page 69]

Internet-Draft

NETCONF Protocol

February 2006

requested.

URI: Please assign the URI "urn:ietf:params:xml:schema:netconf" for use by the NETCONF protocol.

Registrant Contact: The IESG.

XML: [Appendix B](#) of this document.

[10.3.](#) NETCONF Capability URNs

This document requests that IANA create a registry for allocating NETCONF capability identifiers. Additions to the registry require IETF Standards Action.

The initial content of the registry will be the capability URNs defined in [Section 8](#).

Following the guidelines in [RFC 3553](#) [\[6\]](#), IANA is requested to assign a NETCONF sub-namespace as follows:

Registry name: netconf

Specification: [Section 8](#) of this document.

Repository: The following table.

Index	Capability Identifier
:writable-running	urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:writable-running:1.0
:candidate	urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:candidate:1.0
:confirmed-commit	urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:confirmed-commit:1.0
:rollback-on-error	urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:rollback-on-error:1.0
:validate	urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:validate:1.0
:startup	urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:startup:1.0
:url	urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:url:1.0
:xpath	urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:xpath:1.0

Index value: The capability name.

[11.](#) Authors and Acknowledgements

This document was written by:

Andy Bierman

Ken Crozier, Cisco Systems

Rob Enns, Juniper Networks

Ted Goddard, IceSoft

Eliot Lear, Cisco Systems

Phil Shafer, Juniper Networks

Steve Waldbusser

The authors would like to acknowledge the members of the NETCONF working group. In particular, we would like to thank Wes Hardaker for his persistence and patience in assisting us with security considerations. We would also like to thank Randy Presuhn, Sharon Chisholm, Juergen Schoenwalder, Glenn Waters, David Perkins, Weijing Chen, Simon Leinen, Keith Allen and Dave Harrington for all of their valuable advice.

[12.](#) References

[12.1.](#) Normative References

- [1] Bray, T., Paoli, J., Sperberg-McQueen, C., and E. Maler, "Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Second Edition)", W3C REC REC-xml-20001006, October 2000.
- [2] Clark, J. and S. DeRose, "XML Path Language (XPath) Version 1.0", W3C REC REC-xpath-19991116, November 1999.
- [3] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [4] Wasserman, M. and T. Goddard, "Using the NETCONF Configuration Protocol over Secure Shell (SSH)", [draft-ietf-netconf-ssh-04](#) (work in progress), April 2005.
- [5] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform

- Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, [RFC 3986](#), January 2005.
- [6] Mealling, M., Masinter, L., Hardie, T., and G. Klyne, "An IETF URN Sub-namespace for Registered Protocol Parameters", [BCP 73](#), [RFC 3553](#), June 2003.
- [7] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", [BCP 81](#), [RFC 3688](#), January 2004.

[12.2.](#) Informative References

- [8] Clark, J., "XSL Transformations (XSLT) Version 1.0", W3C REC REC-xslt-19991116, November 1999.
- [9] Dierks, T. and C. Allen, "The TLS Protocol Version 1.0", [RFC 2246](#), January 1999.
- [10] Ylonen, T. and C. Lonvick, "SSH Protocol Architecture", [draft-ietf-secsh-architecture-22](#) (work in progress), March 2005.
- [11] Rigney, C., Willens, S., Rubens, A., and W. Simpson, "Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADIUS)", [RFC 2865](#), June 2000.
- [12] Hollenbeck, S., Rose, M., and L. Masinter, "Guidelines for the Use of Extensible Markup Language (XML) within IETF Protocols", [BCP 70](#), [RFC 3470](#), January 2003.

[Appendix A](#). NETCONF Error List

Tag: in-use
Error-type: protocol, application
Severity: error
Error-info: none
Description: The request requires a resource that already in use.

Tag: invalid-value
Error-type: protocol, application
Severity: error
Error-info: none
Description: The request specifies an unacceptable value for one or more parameters.

Tag: too-big
Error-type: transport, rpc, protocol, application

Severity: error
Error-info: none
Description: The request or response (that would be generated) is too large for the implementation to handle.

Tag: missing-attribute
Error-type: rpc, protocol, application
Severity: error
Error-info: <bad-attribute> : name of the missing attribute
<bad-element> : name of the element that should contain the missing attribute
Description: An expected attribute is missing

Tag: bad-attribute
Error-type: rpc, protocol, application
Severity: error
Error-info: <bad-attribute> : name of the attribute w/ bad value
<bad-element> : name of the element that contains the attribute with the bad value
Description: An attribute value is not correct; e.g., wrong type, out of range, pattern mismatch

Tag: unknown-attribute
Error-type: rpc, protocol, application
Severity: error
Error-info: <bad-attribute> : name of the unexpected attribute
<bad-element> : name of the element that contains the unexpected attribute
Description: An unexpected attribute is present

Tag: missing-element
Error-type: rpc, protocol, application
Severity: error
Error-info: <bad-element> : name of the missing element
Description: An expected element is missing

Tag: bad-element
Error-type: rpc, protocol, application
Severity: error
Error-info: <bad-element> : name of the element w/ bad value
Description: An element value is not correct; e.g., wrong type, out of range, pattern mismatch

Tag: unknown-element
Error-type: rpc, protocol, application
Severity: error
Error-info: <bad-element> : name of the unexpected element
Description: An unexpected element is present

Tag: unknown-namespace
Error-type: rpc, protocol, application
Severity: error
Error-info: <bad-element> : name of the element that contains
the unexpected namespace
<bad-namespace> : name of the unexpected namespace
Description: An unexpected namespace is present

Tag: access-denied
Error-type: rpc, protocol, application
Severity: error
Error-info: none
Description: Access to the requested RPC, protocol operation,
or data model is denied because authorization failed

Tag: lock-denied
Error-type: protocol
Severity: error
Error-info: <session-id> : session ID of session holding the
requested lock, or zero to indicate a non-NETCONF
entity holds the lock
Description: Access to the requested lock is denied because the
lock is currently held by another entity

Tag: resource-denied
Error-type: transport, rpc, protocol, application
Severity: error
Error-info: none
Description: Request could not be completed because of insufficient
resources

Tag: rollback-failed
Error-type: protocol, application
Severity: error
Error-info: none
Description: Request to rollback some configuration change (via
rollback-on-error or discard-changes operations) was
not completed for some reason.

Tag: data-exists
Error-type: application
Severity: error
Error-info: none
Description: Request could not be completed because the relevant
data model content already exists. For example,
a 'create' operation was attempted on data which
already exists.

Internet-Draft

NETCONF Protocol

February 2006

Tag: data-missing
Error-type: application
Severity: error
Error-info: none
Description: Request could not be completed because the relevant data model content does not exist. For example, a 'replace' or 'delete' operation was attempted on data which does not exist.

Tag: operation-not-supported
Error-type: rpc, protocol, application
Severity: error
Error-info: none
Description: Request could not be completed because the requested operation is not supported by this implementation.

Tag: operation-failed
Error-type: rpc, protocol, application
Severity: error
Error-info: none
Description: Request could not be completed because the requested operation failed for some reason not covered by any other error condition.

Tag: partial-operation
Error-type: application
Severity: error
Error-info: <ok-element> : identifies an element in the data model for which the requested operation has been completed for that node and all its child nodes. This element can appear zero or more times in the <error-info> container.

<err-element> : identifies an element in the data model for which the requested operation has failed for that node and all its child nodes. This element can appear zero or more times in the <error-info> container.

<noop-element> : identifies an element in the data model

for which the requested operation was not attempted for that node and all its child nodes. This element can appear zero or more times in the <error-info> container.

Description: Some part of the requested operation failed or was not attempted for some reason. Full cleanup has not been performed (e.g., rollback not supported)

Enns

Expires August 5, 2006

[Page 75]

Internet-Draft

NETCONF Protocol

February 2006

by the server. The error-info container is used to identify which portions of the application data model content for which the requested operation has succeeded (<ok-element>), failed (<bad-element>), or not attempted (<noop-element>).

[Appendix B](#). XML Schema for NETCONF RPC and Protocol Operations

BEGIN

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"
  targetNamespace="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"
  elementFormDefault="qualified"
  attributeFormDefault="unqualified"
  xml:lang="en">
  <!--
    import standard XML definitions
  -->
  <xs:import namespace="http://www.w3.org/XML/1998/namespace"
    schemaLocation="http://www.w3.org/2001/xml.xsd">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:documentation>
        This import accesses the xml: attribute groups for the
        xml:lang as declared on the error-message element.
      </xs:documentation>
    </xs:annotation>
  </xs:import>
  <!--
    message-id attribute
```

```

-->
<xs:simpleType name="messageIdType">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
    <xs:maxLength value="4095"/>
  </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
<!--
  Types used for session-id
-->
<xs:simpleType name="SessionId">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:unsignedInt">
    <xs:minInclusive value="1"/>
  </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
<xs:simpleType name="SessionIdOrZero">

```

```

  <xs:restriction base="xs:unsignedInt"/>
</xs:simpleType>
<!--
  <rpc> element
-->
<xs:complexType name="rpcType">
  <xs:sequence>
    <xs:element ref="rpcOperation"/>
  </xs:sequence>
  <xs:attribute name="message-id" type="messageIdType"
    use="required"/>
<!--
  Arbitrary attributes can be supplied with <rpc> element.
-->
  <xs:anyAttribute processContents="lax"/>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:element name="rpc" type="rpcType"/>
<!--
  data types and elements used to construct rpc-errors
-->
<xs:simpleType name="ErrorType">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
    <xs:enumeration value="transport"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="rpc"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="protocol"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="application"/>

```

```

    </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
<xs:simpleType name="ErrorTag">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
    <xs:enumeration value="in-use"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="invalid-value"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="too-big"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="missing-attribute"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="bad-attribute"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="unknown-attribute"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="missing-element"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="bad-element"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="unknown-element"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="unknown-namespace"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="access-denied"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="lock-denied"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="resource-denied"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="rollback-failed"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="data-exists"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="data-missing"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="operation-not-supported"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="operation-failed"/>
  </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>

```

```

    <xs:enumeration value="partial-operation"/>
  </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
<xs:simpleType name="ErrorSeverity">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
    <xs:enumeration value="error"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="warning"/>
  </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
<xs:complexType name="errorInfoType">
  <xs:sequence>
    <xs:choice>
      <xs:element name="session-id" type="SessionIdOrZero"/>
      <xs:sequence minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded">
        <xs:sequence>
          <xs:element name="bad-attribute" type="xs:QName"
            minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"/>
          <xs:element name="bad-element" type="xs:QName"
            minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"/>
        </xs:sequence>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:choice>
  </xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>

```



```

        <xs:element name="ok-element" type="xs:QName"
            minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"/>
        <xs:element name="err-element" type="xs:QName"
            minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"/>
        <xs:element name="noop-element" type="xs:QName"
            minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"/>
        <xs:element name="bad-namespace" type="xs:QName"
            minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"/>
    </xs:sequence>
</xs:sequence>
</xs:choice>
<!-- elements from any other namespace are also allowed
    to follow the NETCONF elements -->
<xs:any namespace="##other"
    minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
</xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:complexType name="rpcErrorType">
    <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="error-type" type="ErrorType"/>
        <xs:element name="error-tag" type="ErrorTag"/>
        <xs:element name="error-severity" type="ErrorSeverity"/>
        <xs:element name="error-app-tag" type="xs:string"
            minOccurs="0"/>
        <xs:element name="error-path" type="xs:string" minOccurs="0"/>
        <xs:element name="error-message" minOccurs="0">
            <xs:complexType>
                <xs:simpleContent>
                    <xs:extension base="xs:string">

```

```

        <xs:attribute ref="xml:lang" use="optional"/>
    </xs:extension>
</xs:simpleContent>
</xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:element name="error-info" type="errorInfoType"
    minOccurs="0"/>
</xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>
<!--
    <rpc-reply> element
-->

```

```

<xs:complexType name="rpcReplyType">
  <xs:choice>
    <xs:element name="ok"/>
    <xs:group ref="rpcResponse"/>
  </xs:choice>
  <xs:attribute name="message-id" type="messageIdType"
    use="optional"/>
  <!--
    Any attributes supplied with <rpc> element must be returned
    on <rpc-reply>.
  -->
  <xs:anyAttribute processContents="lax"/>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:group name="rpcResponse">
  <xs:sequence>
    <xs:element name="rpc-error" type="rpcErrorType"
      minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    <xs:element name="data" type="dataInlineType" minOccurs="0"/>
  </xs:sequence>
</xs:group>
<xs:element name="rpc-reply" type="rpcReplyType"/>
<!--
  Type for <test-option> parameter to <edit-config>
-->
<xs:simpleType name="testOptionType">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
    <xs:enumeration value="test-then-set"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="set"/>
  </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
<!--
  Type for <error-option> parameter to <edit-config>
-->
<xs:simpleType name="errorOptionType">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
    <xs:annotation>

```

```

  <xs:documentation>
    Use of the rollback-on-error value requires
    the :rollback-on-error capability.
  </xs:documentation>
</xs:annotation>

```

```

        <xs:enumeration value="stop-on-error"/>
        <xs:enumeration value="continue-on-error"/>
        <xs:enumeration value="rollback-on-error"/>
    </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
<!--
    rpcOperationType: used as a base type for all
    NETCONF operations
-->
<xs:complexType name="rpcOperationType"/>
<xs:element name="rpcOperation"
    type="rpcOperationType" abstract="true"/>
<!--
    Type for <config> element
-->
<xs:complexType name="configInlineType">
    <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:restriction base="xs:anyType"/>
    </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
<!--
    Type for <data> element
-->
<xs:complexType name="dataInlineType">
    <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:restriction base="xs:anyType"/>
    </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
<!--
    Type for <filter> element
-->
<xs:simpleType name="FilterType">
    <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
        <xs:annotation>
            <xs:documentation>
                Use of the xpath value requires the :xpath capability.
            </xs:documentation>
        </xs:annotation>
        <xs:enumeration value="subtree"/>
        <xs:enumeration value="xpath"/>
    </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
<xs:complexType name="filterInlineType">

```

```

<xs:complexContent>
  <xs:restriction base="xs:anyType">
    <xs:attribute name="type"
                  type="FilterType" default="subtree"/>
  </xs:restriction>
</xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
<!--
  configuration datastore names
-->
<xs:annotation>
  <xs:documentation>
    The startup datastore can be used only if the :startup
    capability is advertised. The candidate datastore can
    be used only if the :candidate datastore is advertised.
  </xs:documentation>
</xs:annotation>
<xs:complexType name="configNameType"/>
<xs:element name="config-name"
             type="configNameType" abstract="true"/>
<xs:element name="startup" type="configNameType"
             substitutionGroup="config-name"/>
<xs:element name="candidate" type="configNameType"
             substitutionGroup="config-name"/>
<xs:element name="running" type="configNameType"
             substitutionGroup="config-name"/>
<!--
  operation attribute used in <edit-config>
-->
<xs:simpleType name="editOperationType">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
    <xs:enumeration value="merge"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="replace"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="create"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="delete"/>
  </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
<xs:attribute name="operation"
              type="editOperationType" default="merge"/>
<!--
  <default-operation> element
-->
<xs:simpleType name="defaultOperationType">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
    <xs:enumeration value="merge"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="replace"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="none"/>
  </xs:restriction>

```

```
</xs:simpleType>
<!--
  <url> element
-->
<xs:complexType name="configURIType">
  <xs:annotation>
    <xs:documentation>
      Use of the url element requires the :url capability.
    </xs:documentation>
  </xs:annotation>
  <xs:simpleContent>
    <xs:extension base="xs:anyURI"/>
  </xs:simpleContent>
</xs:complexType>
<!--
  Type for <source> element (except <get-config>)
-->
<xs:complexType name="rpcOperationSourceType">
  <xs:choice>
    <xs:element name="config" type="configInlineType"/>
    <xs:element ref="config-name"/>
    <xs:element name="url" type="configURIType"/>
  </xs:choice>
</xs:complexType>
<!--
  Type for <source> element in <get-config>
-->
<xs:complexType name="getConfigSourceType">
  <xs:choice>
    <xs:element ref="config-name"/>
    <xs:element name="url" type="configURIType"/>
  </xs:choice>
</xs:complexType>
<!--
  Type for <target> element
-->
<xs:complexType name="rpcOperationTargetType">
  <xs:choice>
    <xs:element ref="config-name"/>
    <xs:element name="url" type="configURIType"/>
  </xs:choice>
</xs:complexType>
```

```

<!--
  <get-config> operation
-->
<xs:complexType name="getConfigType">
  <xs:complexContent>
    <xs:extension base="rpcOperationType">

```

```

    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="source"
        type="getConfigSourceType"/>
      <xs:element name="filter"
        type="filterInlineType" minOccurs="0"/>
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:extension>
</xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:element name="get-config" type="getConfigType"
  substitutionGroup="rpcOperation"/>
<!--
  <edit-config> operation
-->
<xs:complexType name="editConfigType">
  <xs:complexContent>
    <xs:extension base="rpcOperationType">
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:annotation>
          <xs:documentation>
            Use of the test-option element requires the
            :validate capability. Use of the url element
            requires the :url capability.
          </xs:documentation>
        </xs:annotation>
        <xs:element name="target"
          type="rpcOperationTargetType"/>
        <xs:element name="default-operation"
          type="defaultOperationType"
          minOccurs="0"/>
        <xs:element name="test-option"
          type="testOptionType"
          minOccurs="0"/>
        <xs:element name="error-option"
          type="errorOptionType"

```

```

        minOccurs="0"/>
    <xs:choice>
        <xs:element name="config"
            type="configInlineType"/>
        <xs:element name="url"
            type="configURIType"/>
    </xs:choice>
</xs:sequence>
</xs:extension>
</xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:element name="edit-config" type="editConfigType"
    substitutionGroup="rpcOperation"/>

```

```

<!--
    <copy-config> operation
-->
<xs:complexType name="copyConfigType">
    <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:extension base="rpcOperationType">
            <xs:sequence>
                <xs:element name="target" type="rpcOperationTargetType"/>
                <xs:element name="source" type="rpcOperationSourceType"/>
            </xs:sequence>
        </xs:extension>
    </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:element name="copy-config" type="copyConfigType"
    substitutionGroup="rpcOperation"/>
<!--
    <delete-config> operation
-->
<xs:complexType name="deleteConfigType">
    <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:extension base="rpcOperationType">
            <xs:sequence>
                <xs:element name="target" type="rpcOperationTargetType"/>
            </xs:sequence>
        </xs:extension>
    </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:element name="delete-config" type="deleteConfigType"

```

```

        substitutionGroup="rpcOperation"/>
<!--
    <get> operation
    -->
<xs:complexType name="getType">
    <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:extension base="rpcOperationType">
            <xs:sequence>
                <xs:element name="filter"
                    type="filterInlineType" minOccurs="0"/>
            </xs:sequence>
        </xs:extension>
    </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:element name="get" type="getType"
    substitutionGroup="rpcOperation"/>
<!--
    <lock> operation
    -->
<xs:complexType name="lockType">

```

```

    <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:extension base="rpcOperationType">
            <xs:sequence>
                <xs:element name="target"
                    type="rpcOperationTargetType"/>
            </xs:sequence>
        </xs:extension>
    </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:element name="lock" type="lockType"
    substitutionGroup="rpcOperation"/>
<!--
    <unlock> operation
    -->
<xs:complexType name="unlockType">
    <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:extension base="rpcOperationType">
            <xs:sequence>
                <xs:element name="target" type="rpcOperationTargetType"/>
            </xs:sequence>
        </xs:extension>
    </xs:complexContent>

```



```

    </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:element name="unlock" type="unlockType"
             substitutionGroup="rpcOperation"/>
<!--
    <validate> operation
-->
<xs:complexType name="validateType">
    <xs:annotation>
        <xs:documentation>
            The validate operation requires the :validate capability.
        </xs:documentation>
    </xs:annotation>
    <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:extension base="rpcOperationType">
            <xs:sequence>
                <xs:element name="source" type="rpcOperationSourceType"/>
            </xs:sequence>
        </xs:extension>
    </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:element name="validate" type="validateType"
             substitutionGroup="rpcOperation"/>
<!--
    <commit> operation
-->
<xs:simpleType name="confirmTimeoutType">

```

```

    <xs:restriction base="xs:unsignedInt">
        <xs:minInclusive value="1"/>
    </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
<xs:complexType name="commitType">
    <xs:annotation>
        <xs:documentation>
            The commit operation requires the :candidate capability.
        </xs:documentation>
    </xs:annotation>
    <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:extension base="rpcOperationType">
            <xs:sequence>
                <xs:annotation>

```

```

        <xs:documentation>
            Use of the confirmed and confirm-timeout elements
            requires the :confirmed-commit capability.
        </xs:documentation>
    </xs:annotation>
    <xs:element name="confirmed" minOccurs="0"/>
    <xs:element name="confirm-timeout"
        type="confirmTimeoutType"
        minOccurs="0"/>
    </xs:sequence>
</xs:extension>
</xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:element name="commit" type="commitType"
    substitutionGroup="rpcOperation"/>
<!--
    <discard-changes> operation
-->
<xs:complexType name="discardChangesType">
    <xs:annotation>
        <xs:documentation>
            The discard-changes operation requires the
            :candidate capability.
        </xs:documentation>
    </xs:annotation>
    <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:extension base="rpcOperationType"/>
    </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:element name="discard-changes"
    type="discardChangesType"
    substitutionGroup="rpcOperation"/>
<!--
    <close-session> operation

```

```

-->
<xs:complexType name="closeSessionType">
    <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:extension base="rpcOperationType"/>
    </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:element name="close-session" type="closeSessionType"

```

```

        substitutionGroup="rpcOperation"/>
<!--
    <kill-session> operation
    -->
<xs:complexType name="killSessionType">
    <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:extension base="rpcOperationType">
            <xs:sequence>
                <xs:element name="session-id"
                    type="SessionId" minOccurs="1"/>
            </xs:sequence>
        </xs:extension>
    </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:element name="kill-session" type="killSessionType"
    substitutionGroup="rpcOperation"/>
<!--
    <hello> element
    -->
<xs:element name="hello">
    <xs:complexType>
        <xs:sequence>
            <xs:element name="capabilities">
                <xs:complexType>
                    <xs:sequence>
                        <xs:element name="capability" type="xs:anyURI"
                            maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
                    </xs:sequence>
                </xs:complexType>
            </xs:element>
            <xs:element name="session-id"
                type="SessionId" minOccurs="0"/>
        </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
</xs:schema>

```

END

[Appendix C.](#) Capability Template

[C.1.](#) capability-name (template)

[C.1.1.](#) Overview

[C.1.2.](#) Dependencies

[C.1.3.](#) Capability Identifier

The {name} capability is identified by following capability string:

{capability uri}

[C.1.4.](#) New Operations

[C.1.4.1.](#) <op-name>

[C.1.5.](#) Modifications to Existing Operations

[C.1.5.1.](#) <op-name>

If existing operations are not modified by this capability, this section may be omitted.

[C.1.6.](#) Interactions with Other Capabilities

If this capability does not interact with other capabilities, this section may be omitted.

[Appendix D.](#) Configuring Multiple Devices with NETCONF

[D.1.](#) Operations on Individual Devices

Consider the work involved in performing a configuration update against a single individual device. In making a change to the configuration, the application needs to build trust that its change has been made correctly and that it has not impacted the operation of the device. The application (and the application user) should feel confident that their change has not damaged the network.

Protecting each individual device consists of a number of steps:

- o Acquiring the configuration lock.
- o Loading the update.

Internet-Draft

NETCONF Protocol

February 2006

- o Validating the incoming configuration.
- o Checkpointing the running configuration.
- o Changing the running configuration.
- o Testing the new configuration.
- o Making the change permanent (if desired).
- o Releasing the configuration lock.

Let's look at the details of each step.

[D.1.1.](#) Acquiring the Configuration Lock

A lock should be acquired to prevent simultaneous updates from multiple sources. If multiple sources are affecting the device, the application is hampered in both testing of its change to the configuration and in recovery should the update fail. Acquiring a short-lived lock is a simple defense to prevent other parties from introducing unrelated changes.

The lock can be acquired using the <lock> operation.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <lock>
    <target>
      <running/>
    </target>
  </lock>
</rpc>
```

[D.1.2.](#) Loading the Update

The configuration can be loaded onto the device without impacting the running system. If the :url capability is supported and lists "file" as a supported scheme, incoming changes can be placed in a local file.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <copy-config>
    <target>
      <url>file://incoming.conf</url>
    </target>
    <source>
      <config>
        <!-- place incoming configuration here -->
      </config>
    </source>
  </copy-config>
</rpc>
```

If the :candidate capability is supported, the candidate configuration can be used.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <edit-config>
    <target>
      <candidate/>
    </target>
    <config>
      <!-- place incoming configuration here -->
    </config>
  </edit-config>
</rpc>
```

If the update fails, the user file can be deleted using the <delete-config> operation or the candidate configuration reverted using the <discard-changes> operation.

[D.1.3.](#) Validating the Incoming Configuration

Before applying the incoming configuration, it is often useful to

validate it. Validation allows the application to gain confidence that the change will succeed and simplifies recovery if it does not.

If the device supports the :url capability and lists "file" as a supported scheme, use the <validate> operation with the <source> parameter set to the proper user file:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <validate>
    <source>
      <url>file://incoming.conf</url>
    </source>
  </validate>
</rpc>
```

If the device supports the :candidate capability, some validation will be performed as part of loading the incoming configuration into the candidate. For full validation, either pass the <validate> parameter during the <edit-config> step given above, or use the <validate> operation with the <source> parameter set to <candidate>.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <validate>
    <source>
      <candidate/>
    </source>
  </validate>
</rpc>
```

[D.1.4.](#) Checkpointing the Running Configuration

The running configuration can be saved into a local file as a checkpoint before loading the new configuration. If the update fails, the configuration can be restored by reloading the checkpoint

file.

The checkpoint file can be created using the <copy-config> operation.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <copy-config>
    <target>
      <url>file://checkpoint.conf</url>
    </target>
    <source>
      <running/>
    </source>
  </copy-config>
</rpc>
```

To restore the checkpoint file, reverse the source and target parameters.

[D.1.5.](#) Changing the Running Configuration

When the incoming configuration has been safely loaded onto the device and validated, it is ready to impact the running system.

If the device supports the :url capability and lists "file" as a supported scheme, use the <edit-config> operation to merge the incoming configuration into the running configuration.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <edit-config>
    <target>
      <running/>
    </target>
    <config>
      <url>file://incoming.conf</url>
    </config>
  </edit-config>
</rpc>
```

If the device supports the :candidate capability, use the <commit>

operation to set the running configuration to the candidate configuration. Use the `<confirmed>` parameter to allow automatic reverting to the original configuration if connectivity to the device fails.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <commit>
    <confirmed/>
    <confirm-timeout>120</confirm-timeout>
  </commit>
</rpc>
```

[D.1.6.](#) Testing the New Configuration

Now that the incoming configuration has been integrated into the running configuration, the application needs to gain trust that the change has affected the device in the way intended without affecting it negatively.

To gain this confidence, the application can run tests of the operational state of the device. The nature of the test is dependent on the nature of the change and is outside the scope of this document. Such tests may include reachability from the system running the application (using ping), changes in reachability to the rest of the network (by comparing the device's routing table), or

inspection of the particular change (looking for operational evidence of the BGP peer that was just added).

[D.1.7.](#) Making the Change Permanent

When the configuration change is in place and the application has sufficient faith in the proper function of this change, the application should make the change permanent.

If the device supports the `:startup` capability, the current configuration can be saved to the startup configuration by using the startup configuration as the target of the `<copy-config>` operation.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
```

```
<copy-config>
  <target>
    <startup/>
  </target>
  <source>
    <running/>
  </source>
</copy-config>
</rpc>
```

If the device supports the :candidate capability and a confirmed commit was requested, the confirming commit must be sent before the timeout expires.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <commit/>
</rpc>
```

[D.1.8.](#) Releasing the Configuration Lock

When the configuration update is complete, the lock must be released, allowing other applications access to the configuration.

Use the <unlock> operation to release the configuration lock.

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <unlock>
    <target>
      <running/>
    </target>
  </unlock>
```

```
</rpc>
```

[D.2.](#) Operations on Multiple Devices

When a configuration change requires updates across a number of devices, care should be taken to provide the required transaction semantics. The NETCONF protocol contains sufficient primitives upon which transaction-oriented operations can be built. Providing

complete transactional semantics across multiple devices is prohibitively expensive, but the size and number of windows for failure scenarios can be reduced.

There are two classes of multidevice operations. The first class allows the operation to fail on individual devices without requiring all devices to revert to their original state. The operation can be retried at a later time, or its failure simply reported to the user. An example of this class might be adding an NTP server. For this class of operations, failure avoidance and recovery are focused on the individual device. This means recovery of the device, reporting the failure, and perhaps scheduling another attempt.

The second class is more interesting, requiring that the operation should complete on all devices or be fully reversed. The network should either be transformed into a new state or be reset to its original state. For example, a change to a VPN may require updates to a number of devices. Another example of this might be adding a class-of-service definition. Leaving the network in a state where only a portion of the devices have been updated with the new definition will lead to future failures when the definition is referenced.

To give transactional semantics, the same steps used in single device operations listed above are used, but are performed in parallel across all devices. Configuration locks should be acquired on all target devices and kept until all devices are updated and the changes made permanent. Configuration changes should be uploaded and validation performed across all devices. Checkpoints should be made on each device. Then the running configuration can be changed, tested, and made permanent. If any of these steps fail, the previous configurations can be restored on any devices upon which it was changed. After the changes have been completely implemented or completely discarded, the locks on each device can be released.

[Appendix E](#). Deferred Features

The following features have been deferred until a future revision of this document.

- o Granular locking of configuration objects.

- o Named configuration files/datastores.
- o Support for multiple NETCONF channels.
- o Asynchronous notifications.
- o Explicit protocol support for rollback of configuration changes to prior versions.

[Appendix F.](#) Change Log

RFC Editor: Please remove this section before RFC publication.

[F.1.](#) [draft-ietf-netconf-prot-12](#)

- o s/as/instead of/ to indicate that the <url> element can replace the <config> element.
- o Add further discussion of <config> element to [section 5.2](#).
- o Expand description of config parameter to edit-config.
- o Update XSD to fix complaints from IBM XML schema checker.
- o Replace privacy with confidentiality.
- o Change "permission denied" to "access denied" in security considerations.
- o Update <error-info> content for unknown-namespace error.

[F.2.](#) [draft-ietf-netconf-prot-11](#)

- o Update authors and acknowledgements.
- o Clarify that restrictions on locking a modified configuration apply to the candidate configuration.
- o Update IANA considerations. New NETCONF capabilities require IETF Standards Action.
- o Target should be the first parameter to <copy-config>.
- o Maximum length of the message-id attribute is 4095.

- o Update [Section 4.4](#) to indicate that <ok> is sent only if no errors OR WARNINGS occur.
- o Update <error-info> type in XSD.
- o Update sections [8.9.1](#) and [4.3](#) to indicate what namespace declarations are in scope for XPath expressions.
- o <confirm-timeout> is an unsignedInt with minimum of 1.
- o Added a section indicating data model issues are outside scope.
- o Minor clarifications to subtree filtering.
- o XML namespace in filter can be used to select different formats of configuration data from device.
- o More detail on why <commit> is a separate operation.
- o More detail on behavior of candidate configuration.
- o More detail on :startup capability.
- o Refer to the layer on which NETCONF rides as "transport" (rather than an inconsistent use of both transport and application).
- o URL capability uses URL "schemes".
- o New XSD type SessionIdOrZero used in errors.
- o Change ignore-error to continue-on-error.

[F.3. draft-ietf-netconf-prot-10](#)

- o Typo, s/modify/replace/ in data-missing error.
- o Update URI reference to [RFC3986](#).
- o Update IANA considerations. New NETCONF capabilities require IETF approval.
- o Correct inconsistency in [Section 7.1](#). <source> parameter is mandatory.
- o Update [Appendix C](#) to use a placeholder for the capability URI.

- o Ask IANA to register (not assign) an XML namespace and schema URI in IANA considerations.

- o Remove `errorInfoContent` from XSD.
- o Clarify description of `<discard-changes>` operation in [Section 8.3](#).

[F.4. draft-ietf-netconf-prot-09](#)

- o Minor spelling and grammar corrections.
- o Add a table enumerating all capability identifiers to IANA considerations.
- o Clarify that `<kill-session>` does in fact roll back changes if received during a confirmed commit.
- o In IANA considerations, request `...:netconf:base:1.0` as the NETCONF namespace.

[F.5. draft-ietf-netconf-prot-08](#)

- o Second `<rpc-error>` example was missing `message-id` attribute.
- o Fix namespace in section [Section 6.2.2](#).
- o Fix namespaces in examples in sections [6.4.8](#) and [7.7](#).
- o Edit [Section 6.2.3](#) for clarification.
- o Change "committed" to "running" in [Section 8.3.4.2](#).
- o Convert example addresses to use the range 192.0.2.0/24.
- o Clarify optional support of `<running/>` as target of `<copy-config>`.
- o Clarify that `<kill-session>` does not restore configuration or device state.
- o Clarify that both client and server must send a `<hello>` element with capabilities.

- o NETCONF over SSH is mandatory.
- o Add IANA considerations.
- o Add BEGIN/END markers to NETCONF XML schema per [RFC 3688](#).
- o NETCONF capability URNs should be in the protocol parameters namespace as described in [RFC 3553](#).

[F.6. draft-ietf-netconf-prot-07](#)

- o Add clarifying text to :confirmed-commit capability.
- o Change units of 'confirm-timeout' parameter to seconds.
- o Type confirm-timeout element as a positiveInteger in the XSD.
- o Update XSD to allow <url> element in <edit-config> as required by :url capability.
- o Denote attribute names with single quotes in the text; some cases were missed.
- o Update <rpc-error> to state that the server must not return data that the client has no access rights on.
- o [XMLDir] Moderate use of the term API.
- o [XMLDir] Clarify capability naming requirements in [Section 3.1](#).
- o [XMLDir] Remove "DTD" acronym from [Section 3.2](#).
- o [XMLDir] Remove # naming scheme from capability URNs.
- o Error code when a lock is in use is 'lock-denied'.

[F.7. draft-ietf-netconf-prot-06](#)

- o Allow an xml:lang attribute in the <error-message> tag.
- o Update XSD to permit arbitrary attributes on <rpc> and <rpc-

reply>.

- o Add example showing retrieval of textual configuration to <get-config>.
- o Indicate that URLs passed to <edit-config> should be local.
- o Update <copy-config> example to use https.
- o Update <rpc-error> description to explicitly allow multiple <rpc-error> elements. Add example. Update XSD.
- o Incorporate clarifying text on subtree filtering.
- o Annotate XSD with capability information.

Enns

Expires August 5, 2006

[Page 98]

Internet-Draft

NETCONF Protocol

February 2006

- o Make error tags lower case separated with dashes.
- o Add unknown-namespace error tag.
- o Add text explicitly stating that a server must discontinue the NETCONF session if it receives a <session-id> element, and similarly a client must discontinue if it does not receive one.

[F.8. draft-ietf-netconf-prot-05](#)

- o Change XPATH to XPath.
- o Fix I-D nits (mostly long lines).
- o Remove "--" from XSD comments.
- o Add <source> attribute where it was missing in <get-config> examples.
- o Clarified [Section 8.1](#) by indicating that each peer MUST send a <hello> element at session startup.
- o Typo propriety -> proprietary in [Section 8](#).
- o Fix some bugs in examples.

- o [Section 7.1](#): typo: change <config> to <data> in the positive response section.
- o [Section 7.1](#): If <filter> is unspecified, the entire configuration is returned. If it is empty, nothing is returned.
- o Be explicit about <commit> being atomic.
- o s/MAY/SHOULD/ wrt supporting more than one NETCONF session.
- o Strengthen language to say that NETCONF requests MUST be processed serially.
- o Fix misspelling of "unknownst."
- o Change "Expect scripts" to "CLI scripts" in [Section 7.5](#).
- o Change "system software" to "device" in [Section 1.3](#).
- o The <hello> element must also include the session ID (issue I002).

- o Address all accepted clarifications from working group last call. See the NETCONF mailing list for details.
- o Address all closed issues from working group last call. See the NETCONF mailing list for details.

[F.9. draft-ietf-netconf-prot-04](#)

Refer to the NETCONF issue list for further detail on the issue numbers below. The issue list is found at <http://www.nextbeacon.com/netconf/>.

- o Update security considerations (action from IETF 60).
- o Add type attribute on filter element (issue 14.1).
- o Add #xpath capability (issue 14.1).

- o <rpc-reply> for <get-config> returns <data> element, not <config> element (issue 14.1).
- o Add detailed description of subtree filtering (issue 14.1.2).
- o Typo: change confirmed-timeout -> confirm-timeout in XSD.
- o Typo: correct misnaming of test-option parameter in text for the validate capability.
- o <target> is now a mandatory parameter for <lock> and <unlock>. There is no default target (action from IETF 60).
- o Remove XML schema for NETCONF state data (action from IETF 60).
- o Correct namespace handling a number of examples. The fix is to put the device's configuration under a top level tag called <top> which is in the device's namespace.
- o Use message-id 101 everywhere.
- o Add default-operation parameter to <edit-config> (action from IETF 60).
- o Fix <edit-config> examples in [Appendix D](#).
- o Update and reformat protocol XSD.
- o Remove XML usage guidelines. Add a section on XML considerations covering the NETCONF namespace and no DTD restriction (action from

IETF 60).

[F.10. draft-ietf-netconf-prot-03](#)

Refer to the NETCONF issue list for further detail on the issue numbers below. The issue list is found at <http://www.nextbeacon.com/netconf/>.

- o Consistent naming of <confirm-timeout> element.
- o Add #confirmed-commit capability (issue 10.3.2)

- o Use a URN for the NETCONF namespace (issue 11.1.2) and capabilities
- o Remove #manager capability (issue 11.2.1)
- o Remove #agent capability (issue 11.2.2)
- o Add "create" as a value for the operation attribute in <edit-config> (issue 13.3.1)
- o Add #rollback-on-error capability (issue 13.3.2)
- o Rename <get-all> operation to <get>.
- o Remove format parameter from two <get-config> and one <get> examples missed in the -02 draft (issue 13.3.3).
- o Add text indicating that the session-id is returned if the lock is already held (issue 13.12.3). Add example of this.
- o Remove <discard-changes> parameter on the <lock> operation (issue 13.16.1), all outstanding changes are to be discarded when the candidate configuration is unlocked.
- o Remove [section 8.7](#), guidelines on namespace construction.
- o Add clarifying text regarding locks held by other entities.
- o Update the abstract.
- o Remove mention of the format parameter from the <get-config> and <get> operations and the XSD.
- o Updated security considerations section.

- o Removed terminology section, moved session description to protocol overview section.
- o New text describing <rpc-error>.

- o Updated NETCONF protocol schema (to reflect new <rpc-error> details, among other things).
- o Add <filter> parameter to <get> and <get-config>. Rename <state> response the <get> operation to <data>.
- o Better description of the <kill-session> operation.
- o Add <close-session> operation.
- o Removed format parameter to <copy-config>.
- o Removed restriction that a changed <candidate/> configuration datastore can't be locked.
- o Add note in [section 2](#) that the transport protocol must provide an indication of session type (manager or agent) to the NETCONF layer.

[F.11. draft-ietf-netconf-prot-02](#)

Refer to the NETCONF issue list for further detail on the issue numbers below. The issue list is found at <http://www.nextbeacon.com/netconf/>.

- o Remove <rpc-abort>, <rpc-abort-reply>, and <rpc-progress> (issues 12.1, 12.2, 12.3).
- o Remove channels (issues 3.*).
- o Remove notifications (issues 2.*, 4.2, 13.9, 13.10, 13.11).
- o Move version number to last component of the capability URI (issue 11.1.1).
- o Remove format parameter from <get-config> (issue 13.3.3).
- o Remove mention of #lock capability from [Appendix D](#). Locking is a mandatory NETCONF operation.
- o Added text indicating that attributes received in <rpc> should be echoed on <rpc-reply> (issue 16.1).

- o Reworded [Section 7.3](#) to encourage always prefixing attributes with namespaces.

Internet-Draft

NETCONF Protocol

February 2006

Author's Address

Rob Enns (editor)
Juniper Networks
1194 North Mathilda Ave
Sunnyvale, CA 94089
US

Email: rpe@juniper.net

Internet-Draft

NETCONF Protocol

February 2006

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Disclaimer of Validity

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED

WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.