

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: October 30, 2008

P. Pillay-Esnault
Cisco Systems
A. Lindem
Redback Networks
April 28, 2008

OSPFv3 Graceful Restart
draft-ietf-ospf-ospfv3-graceful-restart-08.txt

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 30, 2008.

Abstract

This document describes the OSPFv3 graceful restart. The OSPFv3 graceful restart is identical to OSPFv2 except for the differences described in this document. These differences include the format of the grace Link State Advertisements (LSA) and other considerations.

Internet-Draft

OSPFv3 Graceful Restart

April 2008

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Grace Link State Advertisement	3
2.1.	Grace LSA - LS Type	3
2.2.	Grace LSA Format	4
3.	Additional Considerations for OSPFv3 Graceful Restart	5
3.1.	Preservation of LSA ID to Prefix Correspondence	5
3.2.	Preservation of Interface IDs for Link-LSAs, Network-LSAs, and Router-LSAs	5
4.	Security Considerations	6
5.	IANA Considerations	6
6.	Acknowledgments	6
7.	References	6
7.1.	Normative References	6
7.2.	Informative References	7
	Authors' Addresses	7
	Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements	8

Internet-Draft

OSPFv3 Graceful Restart

April 2008

1. Introduction

Graceful OSPF restart [[GRACE](#)] describes a mechanism to restart the control plane of an OSPFv2 [[OSPFv2](#)] router which still has its forwarding plane intact with a minimum of disruption to the network.

In general, the methods described in [[GRACE](#)] work for OSPFv3 [[OSPFv3](#)] as well. However, OSPFv3 will use a grace-LSA with a different format to signal that a router is initiating (or is about to initiate) a graceful restart. This document describes other OSPFv3 differences as well.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

2. Grace Link State Advertisement

An OSPFv3 router initiating a graceful restart of its OSPFv3 software originates grace-LSAs. A grace-LSA requests that the router's neighbors aid in its graceful restart by continuing to advertise the router as fully adjacent during the specified grace period. The grace-LSA contains the restarting router grace-period and the reason code indicating the reason for the graceful restart.

In OSPFv3 (refer 2.11 of [[OSPFv3](#)]), neighboring routers on any link are always identified by their router IDs. This contrasts with the OSPFv2 behavior where neighbors on point-to-point networks and virtual links are identified by their Router IDs while neighbors on broadcast, NBMA, and point-to-multipoint links are identified by their IPv4 interface addresses. Consequently, there is no requirement for the router-address TLV [[GRACE](#)] for OSPFv3 graceful restart.

The TLV formats of the grace-LSA described in [[GRACE](#)] remain

unchanged.

2.1. Grace LSA - LS Type

A grace-LSA is defined as link-local scope LSA with the LS type equal to 0x000b.


LSA function code	LS Type	Description
11	0x000b	Grace LSA

Grace-LSA Type and Function code

The U-bit is set to 0 since this is a link-local scoped LSA and the flooding scope is not impacted by whether or not the LSA is known. The S2-bit and S1-bit are also set to 0 to indicate link-local flooding scope.

2.2. Grace LSA Format


The format of a grace LSA is:



Grace-LSA Format

The Link State ID of a grace-LSA in OSPFv3 is the interface ID of the interface originating the LSA.

The format of each TLV is:



TLV Format

Grace-LSA TLVs are formatted according to section 2.3.2 of [\[OSPF-TE\]](#).

The following is the list of TLVs that can appear in the body of a grace-LSA.

Grace Period (Type=1, length=4). The number of seconds that the router's neighbors should continue to advertise the router as fully adjacent, regardless of the state of database synchronization between the router and its neighbors. This TLV **MUST** always appear in a grace-LSA.

Graceful restart reason (Type=2, length=1). Encodes the reason for the router restart, as one of the following: 0 (unknown), 1 (software restart), 2 (software reload/upgrade) or 3 (switch to redundant control processor). This TLV MUST always appear in a grace-LSA.

3. Additional Considerations for OSPFv3 Graceful Restart

This section describes OSPFv3 unique considerations in addition to those described in [GRACE].

3.1. Preservation of LSA ID to Prefix Correspondence

In OSPFv2, there is a direct correspondence between summary and external LSA IDs and the prefixes being advertised. However, in

OSPFv3, the LSA ID for inter-area prefix LSAs and external LSAs is simply an unsigned 32-bit integer. Hence, to avoid network churn during graceful restart, the restarting router MUST preserve the LSA ID to prefix correspondence across graceful restarts.

[3.2.](#) Preservation of Interface IDs for Link-LSAs, Network-LSAs, and Router-LSAs

In OSPFv3, the LSA ID for link-local-LSAs and network-LSAs and link descriptions in router-LSAs map to their corresponding Interface ID. Changes in the Interface ID during graceful restart will result in a mismatch between the restarting router's pre-restart LSAs and its neighbor adjacency state. These disparities will cause the graceful restart to terminate prematurely.

Synchronizing interface ID changes between neighbors is possible. However, placing the burden on the restarting router to preserve interface IDs across restarts provides for a more robust, more deterministic, and simpler mechanism. Therefore, the OSPFv3 interface ID, as described in section 3.1.2 of [[OSPFv3](#)], MUST be preserved by the restarting router across restarts.

Many implementations currently use the interface's MIB-II IfIndex [[MIB-INTF](#)] for Interface ID. The persistence of Interface ID across reboots is described in section 3.1.5 of [[MIB-PERS](#)].

[4.](#) Security Considerations

[OSPFv3-AUTH] relies on manual key distribution which precludes the use of replay protection utilizing sequence numbers. The replay of an OSPF Link-Update containing a grace-LSA would allow an attacker to deceive neighboring routers into believing that a router that has been taken out of service (either intentionally or via a malicious action by the same attacker) is still active and is in the process of graceful restart. However, this attack is much more difficult than the obvious replay of standard OSPFv3 hello packets to accomplish the same thing by keeping the adjacency up. Since hello packets are sent more predictably and knowledge of the key is not required, the risk added by OSPFv3 graceful restart is insignificant. Hence, this document does not raise any new security concerns other than those covered in [[OSPFv3](#)], [[OSPFv3-AUTH](#)], and [[GRACE](#)].

[5.](#) IANA Considerations

A new LSA function code will be required for the OSPFv3 grace-LSA. Assignment of 0x000b has been suggested herein in the "OSPFv3 LSA Function Codes" sub-registry of the "Open Shortest Path First v3 (OSPFv3) Parameters" registry. OSPFv3 grace-LSA TLVs and sub-TLVs use the "OSPFv2 Grace LSA Top Level TLV" IANA sub-registry of the "Open Shortest Path First v2 (OSPFv2) Parameters" registry.

[6.](#) Acknowledgments

Many thanks to Kireeti Kompella, Les Ginsberg, and David Ward with whom much of this was discussed. The authors also wish to thank Kunihiro Ishiguro and Vivek Dubey for their comments.

This document was produced using Marshall Rose's `xml2rfc` tool.

[7.](#) References

[7.1.](#) Normative References

- [GRACE] Moy, J., Pillay-Esnault, P., and A. Lindem, "Graceful OSPF Restart", [RFC 3623](#), November 2003.
- [OSPF-TE] Katz, D., Yeung, D., and K. Kompella, "Traffic Engineering Extensions to OSPF", [RFC 3630](#), September 2003.
- [OSPFv2] Moy, J., "OSPF Version 2", [RFC 2328](#), April 1998.

- [OSPFv3] Moy, J., Ferguson, D., and R. Coltun, "OSPF for IPv6", [RFC 2740](#), March 1997.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFC's to Indicate Requirement Levels", [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.

[7.2.](#) Informative References

[MIB-INTF]

McCloghrie, K. and M. Rose, "Management Information Base for network management of TCP/IP-based internets: MIB-II", [RFC 1213](#), March 1991.

[MIB-PERS]

McCloghrie, K. and F. Kastenholz, "The Interfaces Group MIB", [RFC 2863](#), June 2000.

[OSPFv3-AUTH]

Gupta, M. and N. Melam, "Authentication/Confidentiality for OSPFv3", [RFC 4552](#), June 2006.

Authors' Addresses

Padma Pillay-Esnault
Cisco Systems
3750 Cisco Way
San Jose, CA 95134
USA

Email: ppe@cisco.com

Acee Lindem
Redback Networks
102 Carric Bend Court
Cary, NC 27519
USA

Email: acee@redback.com

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2008).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.