

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: July 11, 2010

R. Gayraud
B. Lourdelet
Cisco Systems, Inc.
January 7, 2010

Network Time Protocol (NTP) Server Option for DHCPv6
draft-ietf-ntp-dhcpv6-ntp-opt-06.txt

Abstract

The NTP Server Option for Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6) provides NTP (Network Time Protocol version 4) Server location information to DHCPv6 hosts.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on July 11, 2010.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents

Internet-Draft

NTP Server Option for DHCPv6

January 2010

carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the BSD License.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

Internet-Draft

NTP Server Option for DHCPv6

January 2010

Table of Contents

- [1.](#) Introduction [4](#)
- [2.](#) Requirements notation [4](#)
- [3.](#) Related Work and Usage Model [4](#)
- [4.](#) NTP Server Option for DHCPv6 [4](#)
 - [4.1.](#) NTP Server Address Suboption [6](#)
 - [4.2.](#) NTP Multicast Address Suboption [6](#)
 - [4.3.](#) NTP Server FQDN Suboption [7](#)
- [5.](#) Appearance of this Option [8](#)
- [6.](#) Security Considerations [8](#)
- [7.](#) [RFC 4075](#) Deprecation [8](#)
- [8.](#) IANA Considerations [9](#)
- [9.](#) References [9](#)
 - [9.1.](#) Normative References [9](#)
 - [9.2.](#) Informative References [9](#)
- Authors' Addresses [10](#)

1. Introduction

This document defines a DHCPv6 option and associated suboptions to provide Network Time Protocol version 4 [[draft-ntp4](#)] or greater Server location information to DHCPv6 hosts.

2. Requirements notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

3. Related Work and Usage Model

The NTP service is publicly offered on the Internet by a number of organizations. Those Servers can be used but should not be abused, so any method which is tasked to disseminate locations of NTP Servers must act responsibly in a manner that does not lead to public Server overloading. When using DHCPv6 to offer NTP Server location, and if there is a need to distribute a host with a hardcoded configuration, this configuration MUST NOT include Server location that is not part of the organization that distributes this device. Typical usage of this option is to specify an NTP Server that is part of the organization that operates the DHCPv6 Server.

The location of the NTP service, like any other Internet service, can be specified by an IP address or a Fully Qualified Domain Name

(FQDN). By design, DHCP offers information to multiple devices and is prone to amplification of mistakes, so great care must be taken to define its configuration. Specification of the NTP service by FQDN offers a level of indirection that works as a possible mitigation tool in case of misconfiguration. DNS can be used to redirect misconfigured clients to an IPv6 address that is not configured on any host instead of having to change the address of the NTP Server itself.

While the NTP specification defines a comprehensive set of configuration parameters, modification of those parameters is best left to the decision of the client itself. The DHCPv6 option for NTP is therefore restricted to Server location.

[4.](#) NTP Server Option for DHCPv6

This option serves as a container for Server location information related to one NTP Server or Simple Network Time Protocol (SNTP)

[RFC4330] Server. This option can appear multiple times in a DHCPv6 message. Each instance of this option is to be considered by the NTP client or SNTP client as a Server to include in its configuration.

The option itself does not contain any value. Instead, it contains one or several suboptions that carry NTP Server or SNTP Server location. This option MUST include one, and only one, time source suboption. The currently defined time source suboptions are: NTP_OPTION_SRV_ADDR, NTP_OPTION_SRV_MC_ADDR, NTP_OPTION_SRV_FQDN. It carries the NTP Server or SNTP Server location, as a unicast or multicast IPv6 address or as an NTP Server or SNTP Server FQDN. More time source suboptions may be defined in the future. While the FQDN option offers the most deployment flexibility, resiliency as well as security, the IP address options are defined to cover cases where a DNS dependency is not desirable.

If the NTP Server or SNTP Server location is an IPv6 multicast address, the client SHOULD use this address as an NTP multicast group address and listen to messages sent to this group in order to synchronize its clock.

The format of the NTP Server Option is:

The OPTION_NTP_SERVER option can appear multiple times in a DHCPv6 message. The order in which these options appear is not significant. The client uses its usual algorithms to determine which Server(s) or multicast group(s) should be preferred to synchronize its clock.

The OPTION_NTP_SERVER option MUST NOT appear in messages other than the following: Solicit, Advertise, Request, Renew, Rebind, Information-Request, and Reply. If this option appears in messages other than those specified above, the receiver MUST ignore it.

The option number for this option MAY appear in the "Option Request" option [[RFC3315](#)] in the following messages: Solicit, Request, Renew, Rebind, Information-Request, and Reconfigure. If this option number appears in the "Option Request" option in messages other than those specified above, the receiver SHOULD ignore it.

6. Security Considerations

This option could be used by an intruder to advertise the address of a malicious NTP Server or SNTP Server and adversely affect the clock of clients on the network. The consequences of such an attack can be critical, because many security protocols depend on time synchronization to run their algorithms. As an example, an attacker could break connectivity between SEND-enabled nodes [[RFC3971](#)], simply by affecting the clock on these nodes.

To prevent these attacks, it is strongly advisable to secure the use of this option either by:

- using the NTPv4 Autokey public key authentication, as defined in [[draft-autokey](#)] or,
- using authenticated DHCP as described in [[RFC3315](#)] [section 21](#).

7. [RFC 4075](#) Deprecation

[[RFC4075](#)] (SNTP Configuration Option for DHCPv6) provides some degree of automatic time Server configuration for IPv6, as it specifies how to transmit SNTP [[RFC4330](#)] Servers addresses through DHCPv6. However this approach is not suitable for all NTP deployments. It is not an extensible mechanism and introduces some semantic confusion through the use of the "SNTP" acronym. Additionally the approach of only offering IPv6 addresses to specify Server location does not meet NTP requirements that make use of a FQDN (Fully Qualified Domain Name) as

well. For all the abovementioned reasons, this document makes [\[RFC4075\]](#) deprecated.

[8.](#) IANA Considerations

When this document is published, the IANA is requested to assign an option code from the "DHCPv6 Options Codes" registry for OPTION_NTP_SERVER.

IANA is required to maintain a new number space of NTP time source suboptions, located in the BOOTP-DHCP Parameters Registry. The initial suboptions are described in [section 4](#) of this document. IANA assigns future NTP time source suboptions with a "IETF Consensus" policy as described in [\[RFC5226\]](#). Future proposed suboptions are to be referenced symbolically in the Internet-Drafts that describe them, and shall be assigned numeric codes by IANA when approved for publication as an RFC.

[9.](#) References

[9.1.](#) Normative References

[RFC3315] Droms, R., Bound, J., Volz, B., Lemon, T., Perkins, C., and M. Carney, "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6)", [RFC 3315](#), July 2003.

[RFC5226] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", [BCP 26](#), [RFC 5226](#), May 2008.

[\[draft-ntp4\]](#)

Burbank, J., Kasch, W., Martin, J., and D. Mills, "Network Time Protocol Version 4 Protocol And Algorithms Specification", [draft-ietf-ntp-ntp4-proto-11](#) (work in progress), September 2008.

[\[draft-autokey\]](#)

Haberman, B. and D. Mills, "Network Time Protocol Version 4 Autokey Specification", [draft-ietf-ntp-autokey-06](#) (work in progress), July 2009.

[9.2.](#) Informative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate

Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.

Gayraud & Lourdelet

Expires July 11, 2010

[Page 9]

Internet-Draft

NTP Server Option for DHCPv6

January 2010

- [RFC3971] Arkko, J., Kempf, J., Zill, B., and P. Nikander, "SEcure Neighbor Discovery (SEND)", [RFC 3971](#), March 2005.
- [RFC4075] Kalusivalingam, V., "Simple Network Time Protocol (SNTP) Configuration Option for DHCPv6", [RFC 4075](#), May 2005.
- [RFC4330] Mills, D., "Simple Network Time Protocol (SNTP) Version 4 for IPv4, IPv6 and OSI", [RFC 4330](#), January 2006.

Authors' Addresses

Richard Gayraud

Email: richard.gayraud@free.fr

Benoit Lourdelet
Cisco Systems, Inc.
Village ent. GreenSide, Bat T3,
400, Av de Roumanille,
06410 BIOT - Sophia-Antipolis Cedex
France

Phone: +33 4 97 23 26 23

Email: blourdel@cisco.com

