

Network Working Group
Internet Draft
Intended Status: Informational
Expires: August 3, 2010

Sean Turner, IECA
Dan Brown, Certicom
February 3, 2010

Elliptic Curve Private Key Structure
draft-turner-ecprivatekey-04.txt

Abstract

This document specifies the syntax and semantics for conveying Elliptic Curve (EC) private key information. This syntax and semantics defined herein are based on a similar syntax and semantics defined in Standards for Efficient Cryptography Group (SECG).

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 3, 2010.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents

Internet-Draft Elliptic Curve Private Key Structure February 2009

carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

[1.](#) Introduction

This document specifies a syntax and semantics for Elliptic Curve (EC) private key information. EC private key information includes a private key and parameters. Additionally, it may include the corresponding public key. The syntax and semantics defined herein are based on a similar syntax and semantics defined in Standards for Efficient Cryptography Group (SECG) [[SECG1](#)].

Most Public Key Infrastructures (PKIs) mandate local key generation; however, there are some PKIs that also support centralized key generation (e.g., the public-private key pair is generated by a CA). The structure defined in this document allows the entity that generates the private and public keys to distribute the key pair and the associated domain parameters.

A scenario in which this syntax is useful distributes EC private keys using PrivateKeyInfo, as defined in PKCS #8 [[RFC5208](#)]. Distributing an EC private key with PKCS#8 [[RFC5208](#)] involves including:

- a) id-ecPublicKey, id-ecDH, or id-ecMQV (from [[RFC5480](#)]) with the namedCurve as the parameters in the privateKeyAlgorithm field
- b) ECPrivateKey in the PrivateKey field, which is an OCTET STRING.

When a public key is included, the publicKey field in ECPrivateKey is used.

[2.](#) Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

[3.](#) Elliptic Curve Private Key Format

This section gives the syntax for an EC private key. Computationally an EC private key is an unsigned integer, but for representation, EC

private key information SHALL have ASN.1 type ECPrivateKey:

```
ECPrivateKey ::= SEQUENCE {  
    version          INTEGER { ecPrivkeyVer1(1) } (ecPrivkeyVer1),  
    privateKey       OCTET STRING,  
    parameters [0] ECPParameters [{ NamedCurve }] OPTIONAL,  
    publicKey [1] BIT STRING OPTIONAL  
}
```

The fields of type ECPrivateKey have the following meanings:

- o version specifies the syntax version number of the elliptic curve private key structure. For this version of the document, it SHALL be set to ecPrivkeyVer1, which is of type INTEGER and whose value is one (1).
- o privateKey is the private key. It is an octet string of length ceiling ($\log_2(n/8)$) (where n is the order of the curve) obtained from the unsigned integer via the Integer-to-Octet-String-Primitive (I2OSP) defined in [[RFC3447](#)].
- o parameters specifies the elliptic curve domain parameters associated to the private key. The type ECPParameters are discussed in [[RFC5480](#)]. As specified in [[RFC5480](#)], only the namedCurve CHOICE is permitted. namedCurve is an object identifier that fully identifies the required values for a particular set of elliptic curve domain parameters. Though the ASN.1 indicates that the parameters field is OPTIONAL, implementations that conform to this document MUST always include the parameters field.
- o publicKey contains the elliptic curve public key associated with the private key in question. The format of the public key is specified in [Section 2.2 of \[\[RFC5480\]\(#\)\]](#). Though the ASN.1 indicates publicKey is OPTIONAL, implementations that conform to this document SHOULD always include the publicKey field. The publicKey field can be omitted when the public key has been distributed via another mechanism, which is beyond the scope of this document. Given the private key and the parameters the public key can always be recomputed; this field exists as a convenience to the consumer.

[4.](#) Other Considerations

When generating a transfer encoding, generators SHOULD use DER

[X.690] and receivers SHOULD be prepared to handle BER [X.690] and DER [X.690].

[Section 1](#) described a format for transporting EC private keys (i.e., converting ECPrivateKey to PrivateKeyInfo [PKCS#8]); however, this format can also be used for local storage.

Local storage of an unencrypted ECPrivateKey object is out of scope of this document. However, one popular format uses the .pem file extension. It is a PEM encoding, which is the Base64 encoding [RFC4648], of the DER encoded ECPrivateKey object sandwiched between:

```
-----BEGIN EC PRIVATE KEY-----  
-----END EC PRIVATE KEY-----
```

Another local storage format uses the .der file extension. In this case, it is a DER [X.690] encoding of the ECPrivateKey object.

Local storage of an encrypted ECPrivateKey object is out of scope of this document. However, ECPrivateKey should be the format for the plaintext key being encrypted. DER [X.690] encoding ECPrivateKey will promote interoperability if the key is encrypted for transport to another party. PEM encoding the DER encoded ECPrivateKey is common; "Proc-Type:" and "DEK-INFO:" fields [RFC1421] followed by the DER Encoded ECPrivateKey are sandwiched between:

```
-----BEGIN EC PRIVATE KEY-----  
-----END EC PRIVATE KEY-----
```

[5](#). Security Considerations

This structure does not protect the EC private key information in any way. This structure should be combined with a security protocol to protect it.

Protection of the private-key information is vital to public-key cryptography. The consequences of disclosure depends on the purpose of the private key. If a private key is used for signature, then the disclosure allows unauthorized signing. If a private key is used for key management, then disclosure allows unauthorized parties to access the managed keying material. The encryption algorithm used in the encryption process must be as 'strong' as the key it is protecting.

[6.](#) IANA Considerations

None: All identifiers are already registered. Please remove this section prior to publication as an RFC.

[7.](#) References

7.1. Normative References

- [RFC1421] J. Linn, "Privacy Enhancement for Internet Electronic Mail: Part I: Message Encryption and Authentication Procedures," [RFC 1421](#), February 1993.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC3447] Kaliski, B., and J. Jonsson, "Public-Key Cryptography Standards (PKCS) #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.1", [RFC 3447](#), February 2003.

Turner & Brown

Expires August 3, 2010

[Page 4]

Internet-Draft Elliptic Curve Private Key Structure February 2009

- [RFC4648] Josefsson, S., "The Base16, Base32, and Base64 Data Encodings", [RFC 4648](#), October 2006.
- [RFC5480] Turner, S., Brown, D., Yiu, K., Housley, R., and W. Polk, "Elliptic Curve Cryptography Subject Public Key Information", [RFC 5480](#), March 2009.
- [RFCXXXX] Schaad, J., and P. Hoffman, "New ASN.1 Modules for PKIX", [draft-ietf-pkix-new-asn1-07.txt](#), work-in-progress.

/**

 RFC Editor: Please replace "RFCXXXX" with "RFC####" where ###
 is the number of the published RFC.

**/

- [SECG1] Standards for Efficient Cryptography Group (SECG), "SEC 1: Elliptic Curve Cryptography", Version 2.0, May 2009.
- [X.680] ITU-T Recommendation X.680 (2002) | ISO/IEC 8824-1:2002. Information Technology - Abstract Syntax Notation One.

- [X.681] ITU-T Recommendation X.681 (2002) | ISO/IEC 8824-2:2002. Information Technology - Abstract Syntax Notation One: Information Object Specification.
- [X.682] ITU-T Recommendation X.682 (2002) | ISO/IEC 8824-3:2002. Information Technology - Abstract Syntax Notation One: Constraint Specification.
- [X.683] ITU-T Recommendation X.683 (2002) | ISO/IEC 8824-4:2002. Information Technology - Abstract Syntax Notation One: Parameterization of ASN.1 Specifications, 2002.
- [X.690] ITU-T Recommendation X.690 (2002) | ISO/IEC 8825-1:2002. Information Technology - ASN.1 encoding rules: Specification of Basic Encoding Rules (BER), Canonical Encoding Rules (CER) and Distinguished Encoding Rules (DER).

7.2. Informative References

- [RFC5208] Kaliski, B., "Public-Key Cryptography Standards (PKCS) #8: Private-Key Information Syntax Specification Version 1.2, [RFC 5208](#), May 2008.

[Appendix A](#) ASN.1 Module

This appendix provides ASN.1 definitions for the structures described in this specification using ASN.1 as defined in [\[X.680\]](#), [\[X.681\]](#), [\[X.682\]](#), and [\[X.683\]](#) for compilers that support the 2002 ASN.1.

```
ECPrivateKey { iso(1) identified-organization(3) dod(6)
  internet(1) security(5) mechanisms(5) pkix(7) id-mod(0)
  id-mod-ecprivateKey(65) }
```

DEFINITIONS EXPLICIT TAGS ::=

BEGIN

```

-- EXPORTS ALL;

IMPORTS

-- FROM New PKIX ASN.1 [RFCXXXX]

ECParameters, NamedCurve
  FROM PKIXAlgs-2009
    { iso(1) identified-organization(3) dod(6) internet(1)
      security(5) mechanisms(5) pkix(7) id-mod(0)
      id-mod-pkix1-algorithms2008-02(56) }

;

ECPrivateKey ::= SEQUENCE {
  version          INTEGER { ecPrivkeyVer1(1) } (ecPrivkeyVer1),
  privateKey       OCTET STRING,
  parameters [0] ECParameters {{ NamedCurve }} OPTIONAL,
  publicKey  [1] BIT STRING OPTIONAL
}

END

```

Appendix B Differences with SECG1

This appendix lists the differences between this document and [[SECG1](#)]:

1. This document uses the I2OSP routine defined in [[RFC3447](#)] while SECG1 defines its own routine. The two routines result in the same output.
2. SECG1 constrains its parameters (i.e., the curves) to SECGCurveNames. This document constrains the parameters to NamedCurve from [[RFC5480](#)].

3. This document requires parameters be present while SECG1 does not.
4. This document specifies requirements for encoding rules while SECG1 did not.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Simon Blake-Wilson and John O. Goyo for their work on defining the structure in [[SECG1](#)]. The authors would also like to thank Pasi Eronen, Alfred Hoenes, Joel Jaeggli, Avshalom Hour, Russ Housley, Jim Schaad, and Carl Wallace for their comments.

Authors' Addresses

Sean Turner
IECA, Inc.
3057 Nutley Street, Suite 106
Fairfax, VA 22031
USA

E-Mail: turners@ieca.com

Daniel R. L. Brown
Certicom Corp
5520 Explorer Drive #400
Mississauga, ON L4W 5L1
CANADA

Email: dbrown@certicom.com