

marf
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: March 25, 2012

K. Li
B. Leiba
Huawei Technologies
September 22, 2011

Email Feedback Report Type Value : not-spam
draft-ietf-marf-not-spam-feedback-03

Abstract

This document defines a new Abuse Reporting Format (ARF) feedback report type value: "not-spam". It can be used to report an email message that was mistakenly marked as spam.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 25, 2012.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Internet-Draft

Email Feedback Type: not-spam

September 2011

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
1.1.	Discussion	3
2.	Feedback Report Type: Not-Spam	4
3.	Example	4
4.	Security Considerations	6
5.	IANA Considerations	6
6.	Acknowledgements	6
7.	References	6
7.1.	Normative References	6
7.2.	Informative References	7
	Authors' Addresses	7

Internet-Draft

Email Feedback Type: not-spam

September 2011

1. Introduction

In [RFC 5965](#) [[RFC5965](#)], an Abuse Reporting Format (ARF) is defined for reporting email abuse. Currently two feedback report types are defined that are related to the spam problem, and that can be used to report abusive or fraudulent email messages:

- o abuse: indicates unsolicited email or some other kind of email abuse.
- o fraud: indicates some kind of fraud or phishing activity.

This specification defines a new feedback report type: "not-spam". It can be used to report a message that was mistakenly marked as spam.

1.1. Discussion

In some cases, the email client receives an email message that was incorrectly tagged as spam, perhaps by the email system, or accidentally by the user. The email client accepts the end user's "not-spam" report instruction, retrieves information related to the message, and reports this email as not-spam to the email operator. When the email operator receives the report, it can determine what action is appropriate for the particular message and user. (The requirement for a not-spam report type is from the Open Mobile Alliance (OMA) Spam Report Requirement Document [[OMA-SpamRep-RD](#)].)

For example, in response to a "not-spam" report the email system can remove the spam tag or otherwise reclassify the message, possibly preventing future similar email for this user from being marked as spam. The report can be used to adjust the training of an automated classifier. After processing the report, the email operator might send a notification to the email client about the processing result (for example, by moving the message from one mailbox to another, such as from "Junk" to "Inbox").

In most cases, "not-spam" reports will probably not be taken on their

own, but will be considered along with other information, analysis of the message, etc. Because different users have different needs and different views of what constitutes spam, reports from one user might or might not be applicable to others. And because users might sometimes press a "report not spam" button accidentally, immediate strong action, such as marking all similar messages as "good" based on a single report, is probably not the right approach. Recipients of "not-spam" reports need to consider what's right in their environments.

There are anti-spam systems that use (non-standard) "not spam" feedback today. All of them take the reports and mix them with other

spam reports and other data, using their own algorithms, to determine appropriate action. In no case do the existing systems use a "not spam" report as an immediate, automatic override.

The feedback types "abuse" and "not-spam" can be taken as opposites. A mistaken "not-spam" report could be countermanded by a subsequent "abuse" report from the same user, and an operator could consider collected reports of "abuse" and "not-spam" in making future assessments.

[2.](#) Feedback Report Type: Not-Spam

This document only defines a new feedback report type, "not-spam", extending the Email Feedback Reports specification [[RFC5965](#)].

In the first MIME part of the feedback report message, the end user or the email client can add information to indicate why the message is not considered as spam -- for example, because the originator or its domain is well known.

[3.](#) Example

In the example, Joe, a pharmaceuticals sales representative, has received a message about discount pharmaceuticals. Because that is a frequent subject of spam email, the message has been marked as spam -- incorrectly, in this case. Joe has reported it as "not-spam", and this is an example of the report, shortened (the "[...etc...]" part)

for presentation here.

Note that the message is DKIM-signed [[RFC6376](#)], a good security practice as suggested in [RFC 5965 section 8.2](#) [[RFC5965](#)].

```
DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; s=abuse; d=example.com;
  c=simple/simple; q=dns/txt; i=abusedesk@example.com;
  h=From:Date:Subject:To:Message-ID:MIME-Version:Content-Type;
  bh=iF4dMNYs/KepE0HuwfukJCDyjkduUzZFiaHq09DMIPU=;
  b=e+BF8DCHFGqCp7/pExleNz7pVaLEoT+uWj/8H9DoZpxFI1vNnCTDu14w5v
    ze4mqJklududVI0JspsYHTYeomhPkLCV4F95GfwpM5W+ziU0v7AySTfygPW
    EerczqZwAK88//oaYCFXq3XV9T/z+zLLp3rrirKGmCMCPPcbdSGv/Eg=
From: <abusedesk@example.com>
Date: Thu, 8 Mar 2005 17:40:36 EDT
Subject: FW: Discount on pharmaceuticals
To: <abuse@example.net>
Message-ID: <20030712040037.46341.5F8J@example.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

Li & Leiba

Expires March 25, 2012

[Page 4]

Internet-Draft

Email Feedback Type: not-spam

September 2011

```
Content-Type: multipart/report; report-type=feedback-report;
  boundary="part1_13d.2e68ed54_boundary"
```

```
--part1_13d.2e68ed54_boundary
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

This is an email abuse report for an email message received from IP 192.0.2.1 on Thu, 8 Mar 2005 14:00:00 EDT. For more information about this format please see <http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5965>
Comment: I sell pharmaceuticals, so this is not spam for me.

```
--part1_13d.2e68ed54_boundary
Content-Type: message/feedback-report
```

```
Feedback-Type: not-spam
User-Agent: SomeGenerator/1.0
Version: 1
```

```
--part1_13d.2e68ed54_boundary
Content-Type: message/rfc822
```


[5.](#) IANA Considerations

Registration is requested for the newly defined feedback type name: "not-spam", according to the instructions in [section 7.3](#) of the base specification [[RFC5965](#)].

Please add the following to the "Feedback Report Type Values" registry:

Feedback Type Name: not-spam

Description: Indicates that the entity providing the report does not consider the message to be spam. This may be used to correct a message that was incorrectly tagged or categorized as spam.

Published in: this document

Status: current

[6.](#) Acknowledgements

The authors would like thank Murray S. Kucherawy and Bert Greevenbosch for their discussion and review, and J.D. Falk for suggesting some explanatory text.

[7.](#) References

[7.1.](#) Normative References

[RFC5965] Shafranovich, Y., Levine, J., and M. Kucherawy, "An Extensible Format for Email Feedback Reports", [RFC 5965](#), August 2010.

[7.2.](#) Informative References

[I-D.ietf-marf-as]

Falk, J., "Creation and Use of Email Feedback Reports: An Applicability Statement for the Abuse Reporting Format (ARF)", [draft-ietf-marf-as-00](#) (work in progress), September 2011.

[OMA-SpamRep-RD]

Open Mobile Alliance, "Mobile Spam Reporting Requirements", OMA-RD-SpamRep-V1_0 20101123-C, November 2010.

[RFC6376] Crocker, D., Hansen, T., and M. Kucherawy, "DomainKeys Identified Mail (DKIM) Signatures", [RFC 6376](#), September 2011.

Authors' Addresses

Kepeng Li
Huawei Technologies
Huawei Base, Bantian, Longgang District
Shenzhen, Guangdong 518129
P. R. China

Phone: +86-755-28974289
Email: likepeng@huawei.com

Barry Leiba
Huawei Technologies

Phone: +1 646 827 0648
Email: barryleiba@computer.org
URI: <http://internetmessagingtechnology.org/>