

Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS)
Profile for Authentication and Authorization for
Constrained Environments (ACE)
draft-ietf-ace-dtls-authorize-02

S. Gerdes, O. Bergmann, C. Bormann, **G. Selander**, L. Seitz

IETF100, 2017-11-14, Singapore

Changes Since IETF-99

- ▶ WG document sources now at <https://github.com/ace-wg/ace-dtls-profile>
- ▶ new text to close issues as discussed during IETF99
- ▶ addressed issues raised in review from Hannes
- ▶ small editorial changes
- ▶ Implementation status (cf. ACE Wiki)
 - ▶ SICS
 - ▶ jimsch
 - ▶ planned: TZI

Issue #10

(a) authz-info vs. (b) psk_identity “shortcut”

- ▶ **(a)** is mandatory
- ▶ Client needs external knowledge for **(b)** or just tries

One or Two Profiles?

Open Question: Are PSK and RPK two different profiles or two modes of the same profile?

- ▶ Small editorial change required (see -02)
- ▶ Do we need explicit signaling?
 - ▶ e.g.: `coap_dtls_rpk` and `coap_dtls_psk`
 - ▶ could do without (AS-to-Client response contains sufficient hints)

Thoughts from WG?

Issue #14

Multiple options in `psk_identity`

- ▶ Changed text to use `kid` in the first place
optionally try to process `psk_identity` as access token

Thoughts from the WG?

Next Steps

- ▶ Issue #13
 - ▶ Mandatory curves for RPK mode?
 - ▶ proposal: use Ed25519
- ▶ Upcoming version -03: use binary data in `psk_identity`
- ▶ Examples
- ▶ Need more reviews
- ▶ What else do you think is missing?