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Changes Since IETF-99

- WG document sources now at https://github.com/ace-wg/ace-dtls-profile
- new text to close issues as discussed during IETF99
- addressed issues raised in review from Hannes
- small editorial changes
- Implementation status (cf. ACE Wiki)
  - SICS
  - jimsch
  - planned: TZI
Issue #10

(a) authz-info vs. (b) psk_identity “shortcut”

- (a) is mandatory
- Client needs external knowledge for (b) or just tries
One or Two Profiles?

Open Question: Are PSK and RPK two different profiles or two modes of the same profile?

- Small editorial change required (see -02)
- Do we need explicit signaling?
  - e.g.: coap_dtls_rpk and coap_dtls_psk
  - could do without (AS-to-Client response contains sufficient hints)

Thoughts from WG?
Multiple options in `psk_identity`

- Changed text to use kid in the first place
  optionally try to process `psk_identity` as access token

Thoughts from the WG?
Next Steps

- Issue #13
  - Mandatory curves for RPK mode?
  - proposal: use Ed25519
- Upcoming version -03: use binary data in psk_identity
- Examples
- Need more reviews
- What else do you think is missing?