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Overview

• Feedback @ IETF-99 suggested that a more generic token/challenge mechanism could be used for Service Provider code token challenge (draft-ietf-acme-service-provider)
• Alternative proposal in draft-peterson-acme-authority-token (slightly different perspective)
• Minimal changes to existing WG document
Changes to draft-ietf-acme-service-provider-02

- Added text about the lifetime of the service provider code token
- Changed “sub” field in JWT token to be a string and not an array of strings.
Mechanism effectively the same as draft-acme-service-provider:
- Rather than a Service Provider Code, a more generic name is assigned ("entityCode").
- Acquisition mechanism and validation mechanism follows the same control flow.
- The entity requesting a certificate has a relationship with an administrative authority which assigns a unique code to the entity.
- The token for the challenge response is issued by the administrative authority with whom the Certification Authority (CA) also has a trust relationship.
  - The entity code is included as part of the token that the administrative authority issues.

* Other terms considered: “serviceCode” or “authCode”
draft-barnes-acme-service-provider-code

- Defines the specific usage of the mechanism defined in draft-barnes-acme-token-challenge to support Service Provider codes
- If generic mechanism progresses, this document is starting point for updates required for draft-ietf-acme-service-provider
Architecture for token challenge
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JWT Header:
- **alg**: Defines the algorithm used in the signature of the token. *For Service Provider Code tokens, the algorithm MUST be “ES256”.*
- **typ**: Set to standard "JWT" value.
- **x5u**: Defines the URL of the certificate of the STI-PA Administrative Authority validating the token.

JWT Payload:
- **sub (*) Entity code token value being validated in the form of an ASCII string.**
- **iat**: DateTime value of the time and date the token was issued.
- **nbf**: DateTime value of the starting time and date that the token is valid.
- **exp**: DateTime value of the ending time and date that the token expires.
- **fingerprint**: (Certificate) key fingerprint of the ACME credentials the *Entity* used to create an account with the CA.

“fingerprint” is of the form:
base64url(JWK_Thumbprint(accountKey))
* Changed from array of strings to a single string (sufficient for ATIS-1000080)
No impacts to token acquisition
Certificate Acquisition

No changes to overall challenge response flow
Discussion points

1. **Identifier defined in draft-peterson-acme-authority-token introduces a slightly different model:**
   - Token relates to authority and not specific entity/service provider to whom code/token are assigned.
   - An authority would assign unique tokens to unique entities for which it has assigned a unique identifier.

2. **STIR TNAuthList includes both TNs and Service Provider Codes**
   - Service Provider codes are significantly different in structure and use than TNs

3. **Challenge type is no longer specific to Service Provider Codes**
   - Fairly simple approach but genericity requires consideration of other practical use cases prior to publication
     - Could slow down progression of this document (implementations already done and underway using service provider code)