Note Well (1)

• Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:
  – The IETF plenary session
  – The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
  – Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
  – Any IETF working group or portion thereof
  – Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
  – The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
  – The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function
Note Well (2)

• All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 8179.

• Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 8179 for details.

• A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

• A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.
IPR Rules

Susan Hares
IPR

- **IETF RFC for IPR 8189**
  - IPR Disclosures by author of contribution
  - IPR Disclosures regarding others contributions by others – if you patent covers,
  - Voluntary disclosures that you know of IPR
  - RFC6701 : sanctions for violators of disclosure

- **Timing of disclosures**
  - When: As soon as “reasonably possible after contribution is submitted (RFC8179, Section 5.2.1)
  - Revisions: When technology is changed
  - Purpose: WG can make in informed decision on Technology
  - No disclosures: ADs and IESG has ability to sanction

- **IPR and Licensing information**
  - Not required that licensing is in IPR declaration
  - RFC 8189 suggests that terms are “reasonable and non-discriminatory” terms
  - **Licensing information is not to be discussed in WG**
Late IPR Disclosure

• draft-ietf-idr-bgp-optimal-route-reflection

• WG is asked whether this late disclosure is a problem.
  – https://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/idr/current/msg18796.html
  – https://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/idr/current/msg18801.html
IPR in IDR and Trust

• IDR calls for IPR disclosures at
  – WG Adoption of individual contribution
  – WG LC

• IDR deals in a high level of trust
  – If the IDR chairs can trust you will submit IPR promptly, we’ll do IPR calls in parallel to IDR calls
  – Chairs would like to continue with this approach

• Trust but Verify (fidete, sed verificate)
  – Other WGs, do not let a draft go to WG adoption or WG LC before all IPR is filed (1 week before WG LC)
  – If you wish us to go to this method, let us kow.
Questions