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Agenda

• This draft aims to provide guidelines for IODEF implementation
  • About representations of common security indicators
  • About use-cases so far
• Show status of the draft
• Show updates from previous(-10) draft
• Show To-Do lists
Draft Status

• Currently in AUTH48 state
  • Fixing editorial mistakes in communication with RFC editors

• To be RFC8274 soon
Updates from Previous(-010) Draft (1/2)

- Secdir review (from Catherine Meadows)
  - Fixed editorial mistakes

- Genart review (from Meral Shirazipour)
  - Fixed editorial mistakes

- Opsdir review (from Qin Wu)
  - Fixed editorial mistakes

- Review from Benoit Claise
  - Pointed out the inconsistency of “xml:lang” attribute, which is optional in the RFC7970 document but is mandatory in the RFC7970 schema
  - To be fixed in RFC7970 errata (from the discussion in IETF99 mile session)

- Review from Suresh Krishnan
  - Fixed IP address notation from RFC1918 private address to example IP address

- Review from Ben Campbell
  - Pointed out the confusing usage of 2119 normative languages and BCP manner
    - Fixed normative languages to Informational draft tones with lower case languages, and removed references to RFC2119
  - Fixed editorial mistakes
Updates from Previous(-010) Draft (2/2)

- Review from Warren Kumari
  - Fixed editorial mistakes
  - Added a minimal IANA considerations section

- Review from Adam Roach
  - Modified the diagram in section 3.1 (more clear)
  - Add figure numbers
  - Added a minimal IANA considerations section
  - Fixed IP address notation from RFC1918 private address to example IP address
  - Fixed long lines
  - Fixed editorial mistakes

- Comments from Kathleen Moriarty, Nancy Cam-Winget, and Takeshi Takahashi
  - Stay as Information or to be BCP?
     -> Stay as Informational

- Review from RFC Editors
  - Fixing editorial mistakes
To-Do Lists

• To pass AUTH48 state after fixing editorial mistakes

• Thank you to all reviewers, chairs, ADs, and WG members!