

draft-ietf-mile-iodef- guidance-11 (to be RFC8274)

Panos Kampanakis <pkampana@cisco.com>

Mio Suzuki <mio@nict.go.jp>

IETF100 Singapore

Agenda

- This draft aims to provide guidelines for IODEF implementation
 - About representations of common security indicators
 - About use-cases so far
- Show status of the draft
- Show updates from previous(-10) draft
- Show To-Do lists

Draft Status

- Currently in AUTH48 state
 - Fixing editorial mistakes in communication with RFC editors
- To be RFC8274 soon

Updates from Previous(-010) Draft (1/2)

- Secdir review (from Catherine Meadows)
 - Fixed editorial mistakes
- Genart review (from Meral Shirazipour)
 - Fixed editorial mistakes
- Opsdir review (from Qin Wu)
 - Fixed editorial mistakes
- Review from Benoit Claise
 - Pointed out the inconsistency of “xml:lang” attribute, which is optional in the RFC7970 document but is mandatory in the RFC7970 schema
-> To be fixed in RFC7970 errata (from the discussion in IETF99 mile session)
- Review from Suresh Krishnan
 - Fixed IP address notation from RFC1918 private address to example IP address
- Review from Ben Campbell
 - Pointed out the confusing usage of 2119 normative languages and BCP manner
 - Fixed normative languages to Informational draft tones with lower case languages, and removed references to RFC2119
 - Fixed editorial mistakes

Updates from Previous(-010) Draft (2/2)

- Review from Warren Kumari
 - Fixed editorial mistakes
 - Added a minimal IANA considerations section
- Review from Adam Roach
 - Modified the diagram in section 3.1 (more clear)
 - Add figure numbers
 - Added a minimal IANA considerations section
 - Fixed IP address notation from RFC1918 private address to example IP address
 - Fixed long lines
 - Fixed editorial mistakes
- Comments from Kathleen Moriarty, Nancy Cam-Winget, and Takeshi Takahashi
 - Stay as Information or to be BCP?
-> Stay as Informational
- Review from RFC Editors
 - Fixing editorial mistakes

To-Do Lists

- To pass AUTH48 state after fixing editorial mistakes
- Thank you to all reviewers, chairs, ADs, and WG members!