

# An MPLS-Based Forwarding Plane for Service Function Chaining

draft-farrel-mpls-sfc-02

Stewart Bryant <stewart.bryant@gmail.com>

Adrian Farrel <afarrel@juniper.net>


John Drake <jdrake@juniper.net>

IETF-100, Singapore, November 2017

# Where To Have This Discussion?

- SFC WG has developed problem statement and architecture for SFC
  - We re-use these
- SFC chartered to work on “generic encapsulation” that is “agnostic to the layer at which it is applied”
  - Has developed the NSH
- This work is specific to an MPLS forwarding plane and uses an MPLS encapsulation
  - In this meeting we present in MPLS and SFC
    - Need review from experts
      - Want to be sure MPLS parts work
      - Want to be sure SFC parts work
    - Some functions need specific MPLS extensions
  - Let the chairs and ADs work out where the work belongs

# Recall the SFC Architecture



- Packets flow from source to destination
- Packets are classified onto a Service Function Path (SFP)
- SFP traverses a series of Service Function Forwarders (SFFs)
- Each SFF delivers packets on the SFP to a specific Service Function Instance (SFI)
- SFC Proxy may be placed between SFF and SFI

# Objectives / Non-objectives

1. Not trying to replace or obsolete NSH
2. Looking at a specific environment where deployed MPLS routers can serve as SFFs
  - No change to forwarding plane
  - Able to forward SFC packets “at line speed”
3. Support both modes of MPLS forwarding
  - Label swapping (also known as traditional MPLS)
  - Label stacking (also known as MPLS Segment Routing)
  - (Advanced function: allow “mix” of swapping and stacking)
4. Aim to get high level of SFC functionality
  - Possible that some features will be sacrificed in compromise with desire to achieve points 2 and 3
  - Must support SFC architecture (RFC 7665)
  - Should support metadata
  - Try to integrate with control plane solutions
    - draft-ietf-bess-nsh-bgp-control-plane

# Overview of Solution

- Basic building block is a two-label unit
  - Labels included as Label Stack Entries
  - Neither of the labels can be in the range 0..15
    - I.e., must not overlap with Special Purpose Label values
  - This two-label unit is used differently for label swapping and label stacking

SFC Context Label
Service Function Label

# MPLS Label Swapping

- Tunnels between SFFs “as normal”
  - Of course, we are interested in MPLS as the transport
- SPI and SI used “as normal” for NSH
  - Some limitation as SPI is constrained here to 20 bits


- MPLS-SFC processing...

Tunnel Labels
SFC Context Label = SPI
Service Function Label = SI
Payload

- Labels are looked up and acted on by SFF to determine next hop
  - Maybe forward to SFI or SFC proxy
  - Maybe forward to next SFF
- In some cases action can be achieved simply through SPI
- In other cases need the two label context
  - SI is updated before further forwarding (it’s a swap)
  - SPI and SI set during classification
    - Potentially also during re-classification

# Label Stacking (MPLS-SR)

- Tunnel labels might not be needed
  - SFC Context Label can be the SID of the SFF



- MPLS-SR processing
  - Stack of two-label units
  - SFC Context Label
    - Tells you how to get to next SFF (tunnel of SR)
  - SF Label identifies the SF given the SFC context
  - Pop the two-label unit before forwarding to next SFF

# What About Metadata?

- MPLS encapsulation not well suited for carrying “arbitrary” metadata
- We define an Extended Special Purpose Label
  - This three-label sequence can be included at the bottom of the label stack


15 = Extended Special Purpose Label Follows
Metadata Label Indicator (MLI)
Metadata Label

- Metadata label is an index into a store of metadata
  - Must also not use 0..15
- Store may be populated through management plane, control plane, or in-band (next slide)
  - This approach is not good for “per-packet metadata” (e.g., hashes)
  - Works fine for per-SFP or per-flow metadata



# In-Band Metadata Distribution

- Consider draft-farrel-sfc-convent
  - Defines use of NSH with Next Protocol == None
  - Can be used to send NSH packets along an SFP without carrying payload (but still carrying metadata)
  - This draft defines how to do this in MPLS



- Use an Extended Special Purpose Label
  - Hence, a three label sequence
- Placed at the bottom of the label stack
- Rest of stack exactly as for SFP
- Metadata carried as payload
  - Formatted as TLV
  - Type field defined by SFC WG for NSH
  - Metadata as defined by SFC WG

# Next Steps

- There are always things to polish, but...
  - This is now relative stable
  - Support for swapping and stacking in a common way took some effort, but has good benefits
- Fits with BESS control plane work
- To the authors it seems “obvious”
  - What do other people think?
- The authors think this is in charter for MPLS WG
  - Use of special purpose labels belongs in MPLS
  - But **obviously** it needs review by SFC WG
- Actions for chairs
  - Decide where this belongs
  - Think about adoption