# Extension for protecting (D)TLS handshakes against Denial of Service

draft-tiloca-tls-dos-handshake-01

Marco Tiloca, RISE SICS Ludwig Seitz, RISE SICS Maarten Hoeve, ENCS Olaf Bergmann, Universitaet Bremen TZI

IETF 100, TLS WG, Singapore, November 16<sup>th</sup>, 2017

### Motivation

- > Servers are vulnerable to Denial of Service against (D)TLS handshake
  - Attack: repeatedly send ClientHello messages to victim servers
  - Induce computation, handshake performance, and holding state open
- > Cookie exchange
  - Oriented to non on-path adversaries, complicates the attack performance
- > Servers still exposed to on-path adversaries
  - Minimally man-on-the-side (can read & inject; echo Cookies, IP spoofing)
  - Maximally full active (can also stop traffic, hold state open at later stages)
- > Attack impact
  - Depends on protocol version and used key establishment mode
  - Especially severe on resource-constrained DTLS servers in LLNs

### Goal and approach

> Counteract the attack also when mounted by on-path adversaries

- > New ClientHello extension "dos\_protection"
  - Intended for (D)TLS 1.2 and (D)TLS 1.3
  - Including a Handshake Token opaque to the client
  - The Handshake Token includes a Nonce and a MAC over the Nonce
- > A Trust Anchor (TA)
  - In a trust relation with the server
  - Provides the client with the Handshake Token
- > The server
  - Checks that the extension is fresh and the MAC is valid

- Continues with the handshake only in case of positive checks

### **Protocol overview**

> The server is associated with one TA only

- > The server and the TA share a long-term key K\_M
- > The TA has a pairwise counter z\_S per server
  - Initialized to 0 upon the server's registration at the TA
  - Used to build the nonce for the Handshake Token
- > The TA verifies the client to be authorized
  - Authorization enforced on the TA or through further trusted parties
- Communications with the TA must be secured
  - Specific means are out of scope

### **Protocol overview**



### **Client to TA**

- > The client contacts the TA
  - Ask to start a new (D)TLS session with the server S

#### > The TA

- Uses the counter z\_S as token\_nonce
- Computes a MAC as HMAC(K\_M, H(token\_nonce))
- Builds the Handshake Token as {token\_nonce, MAC}
- Provides the Handshake Token to the client
- Increments the counter  $z_S$
- > The Handshake Token is opaque to the client
  - The specific semantic is only between the server and the TA

### **Client to Server**

- > The client
  - Prepares a "dos\_protection" extension including the Handshake Token
  - Includes the extension in the ClientHello message
  - Finalizes the ClientHello and starts the handshake with the server

- > The server
  - Checks that the extension is fresh, relying on token\_nonce
  - Recomputes the MAC for comparison with the received one
  - In case of negative match, the server aborts the handshake

### Additional points (1/2)

#### > Session resumption

- This extension is not strictly needed for resumption
- The server uses the existing association to assert client's validity
- Anti-replay checks can rely on the Client Hello Recording mechanism
- Based on Section 7.4.1.4 of RFC5246
  - Clients asking for resumption SHOULD use the same extensions
  - The server would not process the extensions unless relevant
- > The TA can provide also Resumption Tokens to the client
  - Used for ClientHello messages sent for session resumption
  - The server does not perform a replay check based on such tokens

### Additional points (2/2)

- > Replay-check based on the token\_nonce
  - A method relying on a sliding window is described in Section 7
  - The window size trades detection accuracy with memory overhead
- > Upon a wrap-around of counter z\_S
  - Avoid reusing {K\_M, Nonce} pairs on the TA
  - The TA MUST revoke K\_M and provide the server with a new one

#### > Rate limit to nonce releases

- Prevent a client from quickly consuming a server's nonce space
- Preserve the TA's capability to serve other clients

### Related document in ACE

> Framework for authentication and authorization in the IoT

- Based on building blocks including OAuth 2.0 and CoAP
- Actors involved are Authorization Server, Client, and Resource Server
- Profiles define the use of concrete transport and security protocols

#### > DTLS profile of ACE (\*)

- Client and Server establish a DTLS channel
- Vulnerability to DoS against DTLS handshake is acknowledged
- Reference to this approach as possible counteraction
- The ACE Authorization Server acts also as Trust Anchor

#### (\*) draft-ietf-ace-dtls-authorize-02

IETF 100 | Singapore | TLS WG | 2017-11-16 | Page 10

### Status and next steps

- Major changes from version -00
  - Same overall approach, with greatly simplified design
  - Improved threat model and security considerations
  - Updates mostly based on a review from Eric Rescorla

> Further comments and feedback are welcome!

- > Implementation for DTLS 1.2 in Californium/Scandium
  - Proof-of-concept existing and aligned with version -00
  - To be aligned with current design in version -01

## Thank you! Comments/questions?

https://gitlab.com/crimson84/draft-tiloca-tls-dos-handshake/