

ippm
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: September 4, 2018

B. Weis
F. Brockners
C. Hill
S. Bhandari
V. Govindan
C. Pignataro
Cisco
H. Gredler
RtBrick Inc.
J. Leddy
Comcast
S. Youell
JMPC
T. Mizrahi
Marvell
A. Kfir
B. Gafni
Mellanox Technologies, Inc.
P. Lapukhov
Facebook
M. Spiegel
Barefoot Networks
March 03, 2018

GRE Encapsulation for In-situ OAM Data
draft-weis-ippm-ioam-gre-00

Abstract

In-situ Operations, Administration, and Maintenance (IOAM) records operational and telemetry information in the packet while the packet traverses a path between two points in the network. This document outlines how IOAM data fields are encapsulated in GRE.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any

time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 4, 2018.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction 2
- 2. Conventions 3
 - 2.1. Requirements Language 3
 - 2.2. Abbreviations 3
- 3. In-situ OAM Metadata Transport in GRE 3
- 4. Security Considerations 5
- 5. IANA Considerations 5
- 6. References 5
 - 6.1. Normative References 5
 - 6.2. Informative References 6
- Appendix A. Example GRE-IOAM Payloads 6
 - A.1. Example GRE-IOAM Tracing Payloads 6
- Authors' Addresses 6

1. Introduction

In-situ Operations, Administration, and Maintenance (IOAM) records operational and telemetry information in the packet while the packet traverses a path between two points in the network. This document outlines how IOAM data fields are encapsulated in the Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE) [RFC2784].

2. Conventions

2.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2.2. Abbreviations

Abbreviations used in this document:

E2E:	Edge-to-Edge
GRE:	Generic Routing Encapsulation
IOAM:	In-situ Operations, Administration, and Maintenance
OAM:	Operations, Administration, and Maintenance
POT:	Proof of Transit

3. In-situ OAM Metadata Transport in GRE

GRE encapsulation is defined in [RFC2784]. IOAM encapsulation in GRE follows the GRE header.

IOAM data fields are carried in GRE using a Protocol Type value of TBD_IOAM. An IOAM header is added containing the different IOAM data fields defined in [I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data]. In an administrative domain where IOAM is used, insertion of the IOAM protocol header in GRE is enabled at the GRE tunnel endpoints, which also serve as IOAM encapsulating/decapsulating nodes by means of configuration.

second IOAM option will contain the EtherType or IP protocol Number indicating the type of the data packet.

4. Security Considerations

This document describes the encapsulation of IOAM data fields in GRE. Security considerations of the specific IOAM data fields for each case (i.e., Trace, Proof of Transit, and E2E) are described in defined in [I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data].

As this document describes new protocol fields within the existing GRE encapsulation, these are similar to the security considerations of [RFC2784].

IOAM data transported in an OAM E2E header SHOULD be integrity protected (e.g., with IPsec ESP [RFC4303]) to detect changes made by a device between the sending and receiving OAM endpoints.

5. IANA Considerations

A new EtherType value is requested to be added to the [ETYPES] IANA registry. The description should be "In-situ OAM (IOAM)".

6. References

6.1. Normative References

[ETYPES] "IANA Ethernet Numbers",
<<https://www.iana.org/assignments/ieee-802-numbers/ieee-802-numbers.xhtml>>.

[I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data]
Brockners, F., Bhandari, S., Pignataro, C., Gredler, H., Leddy, J., Youell, S., Mizrahi, T., Mozes, D., Lapukhov, P., Chang, R., and d. daniel.bernier@bell.ca, "Data Fields for In-situ OAM", draft-ietf-ippm-ioam-data-01 (work in progress), October 2017.

[IP-PROT] "IANA Protocol Numbers",
<<https://www.iana.org/assignments/protocol-numbers/protocol-numbers.xhtml>>.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

[RFC2784] Farinacci, D., Li, T., Hanks, S., Meyer, D., and P. Traina, "Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE)", RFC 2784, DOI 10.17487/RFC2784, March 2000, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2784>>.

[RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

6.2. Informative References

[RFC4303] Kent, S., "IP Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP)", RFC 4303, DOI 10.17487/RFC4303, December 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4303>>.

Appendix A. Example GRE-IOAM Payloads

A.1. Example GRE-IOAM Tracing Payloads

TBD

Authors' Addresses

Brian Weis
Cisco Systems, Inc.
170 W. Tasman Drive
San Jose, California 95134-1706
USA

Phone: +1-408-526-4796
Email: bew@cisco.com

Frank Brockners
Cisco Systems, Inc.
Hansaallee 249, 3rd Floor
DUESSELDORF, NORDRHEIN-WESTFALEN 40549
Germany

Email: fbrockne@cisco.com

Craig Hill
Cisco Systems, Inc.
13600 Dulles Technology Drive
Herndon, Virginia 20171
United States

Email: crhill@cisco.com

Shwetha Bhandari
Cisco Systems, Inc.
Cessna Business Park, Sarjapura Marathalli Outer Ring Road
Bangalore, KARNATAKA 560 087
India

Email: shwethab@cisco.com

Vengada Prasad Govindan
Cisco Systems, Inc.

Email: venggovi@cisco.com

Carlos Pignataro
Cisco Systems, Inc.
7200-11 Kit Creek Road
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
United States

Email: cpignata@cisco.com

Hannes Gredler
RtBrick Inc.

Email: hannes@rtbrick.com

John Leddy
Comcast

Email: John_Leddy@cable.comcast.com

Stephen Youell
JP Morgan Chase
25 Bank Street
London E14 5JP
United Kingdom

Email: stephen.youell@jpmorgan.com

Tal Mizrahi
Marvell
6 Hamada St.
Yokneam 20692
Israel

Email: talmi@marvell.com

Aviv Kfir
Mellanox Technologies, Inc.
350 Oakmead Parkway, Suite 100
Sunnyvale, CA 94085
U.S.A.

Email: avivk@mellanox.com

Barak Gafni
Mellanox Technologies, Inc.
350 Oakmead Parkway, Suite 100
Sunnyvale, CA 94085
U.S.A.

Email: gbarak@mellanox.com

Petr Lapukhov
Facebook
1 Hacker Way
Menlo Park, CA 94025
US

Email: petr@fb.com

Mickey Spiegel
Barefoot Networks
2185 Park Boulevard
Palo Alto, CA 94306
US

Email: mspiegel@barefootnetworks.com