BFD IETF-101

Jeffrey Haas jhaas@juniper.net

Reshad Rahman < rrahman@cisco.com >

Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- *By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- •If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- •As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- •Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- •As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- *BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
- *BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
- *BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- *BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
- *BCP 78 (Copyright)
- *BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
- •https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/ (Privacy Policy)



Welcome to our new Area Director, Martin



Document Status

- BFD Multipoint and Active Tail have been submitted to IESG.
- BFD Yang is finishing very last bits of revision and will be submitted to IESG likely during meeting.
- Adopted BFD for VxLAN
- Ready for Last Call (starts after IETF):
 - BFD Secure Sequence Numbers
 - BFD Stability
 - Optimizing BFD Authentication

RFC 5884 -bis

- We had substantial discussion related to the bootstrapping considerations for RFC 5884.
- They're probably within the scope of an Errata, but the text is perhaps enough to demand a -bis.
- Traditionally the original authors get first right of refusal to do the update.
- See also related presentations by Greg Mirsky later.

Documents to Watch Elsewhere

- draft-chen-bfd-unsolicited (will be presented)
- draft-ietf-idr-rs-bfd
- draft-ietf-rtgwg-vrrp-bfd-p2p
- draft-mirsky-mpls-p2mp-bfd
- draft-mirsky-bfd-mpls-demand
- draft-mirsky-spring-bfd

Presentations

- BFD Yang Module Update (Reshad, 5 min)
- BFD for VxLan (Greg, 5 min)
- BFD Performance Measurement (Mahesh, 10 min)
- Unsolicited BFD for Sessionless Applications
 (Robert, 10 min)

- Clarifications of BFD bootstrap by LSP ping over MPLS p2p LSP and interest in RFC 5884-bis (Greg, 15 min)
- BFD Demand mode in BFD over MPLS p2p LSP (Greg, 10min)
- Use of p2mp BFD over shared media (Greg, 10 min)
- BFD Encapsulated in Large Packets (Jeff, 5 min, time permitting)