Note thee well

Verily, what follows is a reminder of ye IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. Forsooth, this dispensation endeavours only to point thee in the just and righteous direction. Ware thee, exceptions may apply. Ye IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; we entreat thee to read it with care.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, thee agreeth to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If thou is aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are beholden to thee or thine sponsor, thee disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity thou acknowledgest that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that thou providest to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, thou agrees to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
- BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
- BCP 78 (Copyright)
- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
Agenda

Administrivia 5 Chairs
API update 20 Tommy Pauly/Darshak Thakore
RFC 7710: to bis or not to bis 20 Chairs
Architecture (update) 20 Kyle Larose
Status

Two WG drafts

- draft-ietf-capport-api
- draft-ietf-capport-architecture
7710bis?
7710 bis

1. The purpose of the URI
   a. point to the API
   b. point to a login page

2. Signal no captive portal (urn:ietf:params:capport:unrestricted?)

3. Address literal requirement

4. Any other issues?

7710bis, or update draft?

Volunteer?