COMS BoF

Addressing the Questions

Chairs

What is the problem space?

- Focus on function!
- What do the proponents want to achieve in an operational network?
  - Do we understand what they want to do?
  - Does it make sense to do that?
  - Do we also want to do that?
  - Is it clear what is in scope and what is out of scope?

What is the proposed architecture?

- Focus on high-level architecture
- Do we understand what architecture was proposed?
- Does anyone disagree strongly with this architecture?

What interfaces might we work on?

- This approaches the question : what can be specified?
- Did we get a clear picture of which interfaces?
  - What are they between?
  - What are they for?
  - What are the functional primitives?
  - Are they "internal" or "external" interfaces?

What are the deliverables?

- Can you list the work items and deliverables?
- Which ones come first?
- Can you draw a line between "must have" and "can live without for now"?

How does this proposed work relate to other work?

- Consider IETF and non- IETF work
- Are there existing components that already exist and can be re-used?
  - "Re-use" means include inside the architecture
  - Protocols, data models, logical components
- How does other work interface to this work?
  - "Interface to" means it is on the edge of the picture
  - Logical components, protocols, data models

"Top-down" or "bottom-up"?

- "Top-down" means working from a high-level, wide-ranging view of service objectives towards network and device management
- "Bottom-up" means starting with network components and building upwards by combining features
- How are the proponents proposing we work on this?
- Is this consistent with the way the IETF works?

Where to do the work

- Should some or all of the work be located in another body?
  - Which? Why?
- Should some or all of the work be developed as OpenSource?
  - What? Why?
- Is any of this clearly IETF work?
  - Which bits? Why?

Closing comments from the floor

The AD Shall Speak