DMARC Working Group

IETF 101, London





Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process), BCP 25 (Working Group processes, Anti-Harassment Procedures), BCP 54 (Code of Conduct), BCP 78 (Copyright), BCP 79 (Patents, Participation), https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/ (Privacy Policy)



Agenda

- 17:40 < 5 min Introduction and administration
- 17:45 15 min ARC protocol status and remaining document issues
- 18:00 15 min Status of other documents and issue discussion
- 18:15 25 min Next steps

Status of other documents and issues

- draft-ietf-dmarc-arc-usage Recommended Usage of ARC
- draft-ietf-dmarc-arc-multi Using Multiple Signing Algorithms with ARC
- draft-ietf-dmarc-rfc7601bis Updates to Authentication-Results



Next steps, Including:

- path toward a Proposed Standard version of DMARC
- protecting against abuse of last-level public domains (such as gov.uk) and first-level NXDOMAIN org domains (junk.gov.uk)
- diagnostic report that would have some additional information (such as sending address) and URLs without going quite as far as a forensic report - something between the aggregate and forensic levels
- draft-akagiri-dmarc-virtual-verification DMARC verification without record definitions





