

The DNS Camel



Or

How many features load up this protocol?

Bert Hubert / bert.hubert@powerdns.com

| RFC | Type | Status | Title | Bgnd | Prot | Names | Ops | RR | Proxy | Stub | Auth | Res | Xfr | DDNS | DNSSEC |
|----------------------|---------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|-------|-----|----|-------|------|------|-----|-----|------|--------|
| 882 | | Obsolete | Domain Names - Concepts and Facilities | x | | x | x | | | | x | | | | |
| 883 | | Obsolete | Domain Names - Implementation and Specification | | x | | x | x | | | x | x | | | |
| 920 | | | Domain Requirements | | | | x | | | | | | | | |
| 973 | | Obsolete | Domain System Changes and Observations | | | x | | x | | | x | x | | | |
| 1032 | | | Domain Administrators Guide | | | | x | | | | | | | | |
| 1033 | | | Domain Administrators Operations Guide | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 1034 | Standard | | Domain Names - Concepts and Facilities | x | | x | x | | | x | x | x | | | |
| 1035 | Standard | | Domain Names - Implementation and Specification | | x | x | | x | | | x | x | x | | |
| 1101 | | | DNS Encoding of Network Names and Other Types | | | | x | | | | | | | | |
| 1123 | Standard | | Requirements for Internet Hosts - Application and Support | x | | | | | | | x | x | | | |
| 1178 | Informational | | Choosing a Name for Your Computer | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 1183 | Experimental | | New DNS RR Definitions | | | | | | x | | | | | | |
| 1348 | Experimental | Obsolete | DNS NSAP RRs | | | | | | x | | | | | | |
| 1401 | Informational | | Correspondence between the IAB and DISA on the use of DNS throughout the Internet | x | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1535 | Informational | | A Security Problem and Proposed Correction With Widely Deployed DNS Software | | | | | | | | | x | | | |
| 1536 | Informational | | Common DNS Implementation Errors and Suggested Fixes | | | | | | | x | | x | | | |
| 1537 | Informational | Obsolete | Common DNS Data File Configuration Errors | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 1591 | Informational | | Domain Name System Structure and Delegation | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 1611 | Historic | Historic | DNS Server MIB Extensions | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 1612 | Historic | Historic | DNS Resolver MIB Extensions | | | | | x | | | | | | | |

| RFC | Type | Status | Title | Bgnd | Prot | Names | Ops | RR | Proxy | Stub | Auth | Res | Xfr | DDNS | DNSSEC |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|-------|-----|----|-------|------|------|-----|-----|------|--------|
| 1637 | Experimental | Obsolete | DNS NSAP Resource Records | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 1664 | Experimental | Obsolete | Using the Internet DNS to Distribute RFC1327 Mail Address Mapping Tables | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 1706 | Informational | | DNS NSAP Resource Records | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 1712 | Experimental | | DNS Encoding of Geographical Location | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 1713 | Informational | | Tools for DNS Debugging | | | | x | | | | | | | | |
| 1794 | Informational | | DNS Support for Load Balancing | x | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1876 | Experimental | | A Means for Expressing Location Information in the Domain Name System | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 1886 | Proposed | Obsolete | DNS Extensions to support IP version 6 | | | | x | x | | | | | | | |
| 1912 | Informational | | Common DNS Data File Configuration Errors | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 1982 | Proposed | | Serial Number Arithmetic | | x | | x | | | | | | | | |
| 1995 | Proposed | | Incremental Zone Transfer in DNS | | x | | | | | | x | | x | | |
| 1996 | Proposed | | A Mechanism for Prompt Notification of Zone Changes (DNS NOTIFY) | | x | | | | | | x | | x | | |
| 2010 | Informational | Obsolete | Operational Criteria for Root Name Servers | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 2052 | Experimental | Obsolete | A DNS RR for specifying the location of services (DNS SRV) | | | | | | x | | | | | | |
| 2065 | Proposed | Obsolete | Domain Name System Security Extensions | x | | | x | x | | | x | x | | | x |
| 2100 | Informational | April 1st | The Naming of Hosts | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2136 | Proposed | | Dynamic Updates in the Domain Name System (DNS UPDATE) | | x | | | | | | x | | | | x |
| 2137 | Proposed | Obsolete | Secure Domain Name System Dynamic Update | | x | | | | | | x | | | | x |
| 2163 | Proposed | | Using the Internet DNS to Distribute MIXER Conformant Global Address Mapping (MCGAM) | | | | | | x | | | | | | |
| 2168 | Experimental | Obsolete | Resolution of Uniform Resource Identifiers using the Domain Name System | | | | | | x | | | | | | |

| RFC | Type | Status | Title | Bgnd | Prot | Names | Ops | RR | Proxy | Stub | Auth | Res | Xfr | DDNS | DNSSEC |
|----------------------|---------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|-------|-----|----|-------|------|------|-----|-----|------|--------|
| 2181 | Proposed | | Clarifications to the DNS Specification | | x | x | | | | | x | x | | | |
| 2182 | BCP | | Selection and Operation of Secondary DNS Servers | | | | x | | | | | | | | |
| 2230 | Informational | | Key Exchange Delegation Record for the DNS | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 2308 | Proposed | | Negative Caching of DNS Queries (DNS NCACHE) | | | | | | | | | x | | | |
| 2317 | BCP | | Classless IN-ADDR.ARPA delegation | | | | x | | | | | | | | |
| 2535 | Proposed | Obsolete | Domain Name System Security Extensions | | | | | x | | | x | x | x | | x |
| 2536 | Proposed | | DSA KEYS and SIGs in the Domain Name System (DNS) | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 2537 | Proposed | Obsolete | RSA/MD5 KEYS and SIGs in the Domain Name System (DNS) | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 2538 | Proposed | Obsolete | Storing Certificates in the Domain Name System (DNS) | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 2539 | Proposed | | Storage of Diffie-Hellman Keys in the Domain Name System (DNS) | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 2540 | Experimental | | Detached Domain Name System (DNS) Information | | x | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2541 | Informational | Obsolete | DNS Security Operational Considerations | | | | x | | | | | | | | |
| 2606 | BCP | | Reserved Top Level DNS Names | | | | x | | | | | | | | |
| 2671 | Proposed | Obsolete | Extension Mechanisms for DNS (EDNS0) | | x | | | x | | | x | x | | | |
| 2672 | Proposed | Obsolete | Non-Terminal DNS Name Redirection | | | | | x | | | x | x | | | |
| 2673 | Historic | Obsolete | Binary Labels in the Domain Name System | | x | | | | | | x | x | | | |
| 2782 | Proposed | | A DNS RR for specifying the location of services (DNS SRV) | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 2825 | Informational | | A Tangled Web: Issues of I18N, Domain Names, and the Other Internet protocols | x | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2826 | Informational | | IAB Technical Comment on the Unique DNS Root | x | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2845 | Proposed | | Secret Key Transaction Authentication for DNS (TSIG) | | x | | | x | | | x | x | | | |

| RFC | Type | Status | Title | Bgnd | Prot | Names | Ops | RR | Proxy | Stub | Auth | Res | Xfr | DDNS | DNSSEC |
|----------------------|---------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|-------|-----|----|-------|------|------|-----|-----|------|--------|
| 4034 | Proposed | | Resource Records for the DNS Security Extensions | | | | | x | | | | | | | x |
| 4035 | Proposed | | Protocol Modifications for the DNS Security Extensions | | x | | | | | | x | x | | | x |
| 4074 | Informational | | Common Misbehavior Against DNS Queries for IPv6 Addresses | | | | | | | | x | | | | |
| 4159 | BCP | | "Deprecation of "ip6.int" | x | | | x | | | | | | | | |
| 4185 | Informational | | National and Local Characters for DNS Top Level Domain (TLD) Names | x | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4255 | Proposed | | Using DNS to Securely Publish Secure Shell (SSH) Key Fingerprints | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 4339 | Informational | | IPv6 Host Configuration of DNS Server Information Approaches | x | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4343 | Proposed | | Domain Name System (DNS) Case Insensitivity Clarification | | | x | | | | | x | x | | | |
| 4367 | Informational | | What's in a Name: False Assumptions about DNS Names | x | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4398 | Proposed | | Storing Certificates in the Domain Name System (DNS) | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 4408 | Experimental | | Sender Policy Framework (SPF) for Authorizing Use of Domains in E-Mail, Version 1 | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 4431 | Informational | | The DNSSEC Lookaside Validation (DLV) DNS Resource Record | | | | | x | | | | | | | x |
| 4470 | Proposed | | Minimally Covering NSEC Records and DNSSEC On-line Signing | | | | x | | | | x | | | | x |
| 4471 | Experimental | | Derivation of DNS Name Predecessor and Successor | | | x | | | | | | | | | |
| 4472 | Informational | | Operational Considerations and Issues with IPv6 DNS | | | | x | | | | | | | | |
| 4509 | Proposed | | Use of SHA-256 in DNSSEC Delegation Signer (DS) Resource Records (Rrs) | | | | | x | | | | | | | x |
| 4592 | Proposed | | The Role of Wildcards in the Domain Name System | x | | | | | | | x | x | | | |
| 4635 | Proposed | | HMAC SHA TSIG Algorithm Identifiers | | | | | | | x | x | x | | | |
| 4641 | Informational | Obsolete | DNSSEC Operational Practices | | | | x | | | | | | | | x |
| 4697 | BCP | | Observed DNS Resolution Misbehavior | | | | | | | | | x | | | |

| RFC | Type | Status | Title | Bgnd | Prot | Names | Ops | RR | Proxy | Stub | Auth | Res | Xfr | DDNS | DNSSEC |
|----------------------|---------------|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|-------|-----|----|-------|------|------|-----|-----|------|--------|
| 7671 | Standard | | The DNS-Based Authentication of Named Entities (DANE) Protocol: Updates and Operational Guidance | x | | | x | x | | | | | | | |
| 7686 | Standard | | The ".onion" Special-Use Domain Name | x | | | x | | | | | | | | |
| 7706 | Informational | | Decreasing Access Time to Root Servers by Running One on Loopback | x | | | x | x | | | | | | | |
| 7719 | Informational | | DNS Terminology | x | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7766 | Standard | | DNS Transport over TCP - Implementation Requirements | x | | | | | | | | | | | |

185 RFCs

2781 pages / 166891 lines

888233 words

This is 2 times “The C++ Programming Language” (4th ed)

Good words on this are in RFC 8324

In the field stub resolver

```
char resppacket[512];

unsigned int ip_address;


char *ptr=resppacket+12;


/* receive */


while(!(*ptr==0xc0 && *(ptr+1)==0x0c)) ptr++;


memcpy(&ip_address, ptr+6, 4);
```


Did not read 1 of those 2781 pages











Implementors

- We should be AWED by the quality of open source implementations
 - a. bind, knot, kresd, unbound, NSD, there is SO much great software out there
 - b. Perhaps one of the best served protocols on the internet!
- Very gifted programmers, among the smartest in the world
- So far, they (we) have been able to implement most things, eventually correctly
- **For us, saying “no, this is too complicated” is very hard**
 - a. **Pride**
 - b. **“One of the other implementations will do it”**
 - c. **Always fun to work on new challenges**
- We do not have well developed “product management”
 - a. Any individual committer can decide “cool feature, let’s do it”

Operators

- ccTLD/gTLD operators are conservative, but can roll out new features
- Commercial access provider operators are
 - a. On call 24/7
 - b. Being measured solely on availability, performance
 - c. May actually be penalized by their governments if they do the right thing
- Typically resource constrained, understaffed
- Have no “buy in” from the rest of the access provider to work on privacy enhancing features
 - a. In fact...
- Weakly represented in the standards making process
 - a. With some notable exceptions
- Typically turn off anything that could cause problems at 3AM

Standardizers

- Like implementers, among the smartest people in the world
- Share enthusiasm for hard challenges
- On a mission to turn the internet into “how things SHOULD be and what the code MUST do to achieve that”
- Try very hard to think of everything
- **Typically not on call 24/7**
- **Undervalue operational trade-offs**
- Simultaneously optimists (on what can be achieved) and pessimists (how folks will mess it up unless everything pinned down by standard)

Unexpected interaction of features

- DNAME needs DNSSEC special casing
- EDNS Client Subnet leads to zero cache hit rates
 - And associated, non-standardized, workarounds
- Qname minimization turns out to need a ton of probing
- Outbound TLS usage leads to ton of probing
- DNS cookies lead to ton of probing
- Multiple answers/qtypes lead to ton of probing
- **Most features are not orthogonal to the other features**

Net result

- Push to enhance DNS further and further from standards community
- Little push-back from implementation community
- Commercial operational community very weakly represented “and they don’t want anything new anyhow”
- **Proposed features that SHOULD make the internet better are very likely to be accepted and implemented**
 - With little open discussion on how hard this will be
- Given relatively constant base of developers, increase in feature volume will mean **decrease in quality**
- Eventually, glut of features will cause stasis

Proposal

- Think long and hard who wants a feature and who would benefit
- Conversely, who would bear the costs?
 - In terms of development, operational stability/quality impact, downstream complexity
- Involve development community more comprehensively
 - It is not enough for ‘bert’ or ‘wouter’ or ‘ondrej’ to feel that it could in theory be done
- Developer community develop some spine & “product management”
- Work ever harder to involve operational community
 - Not easy for them to come to IETF and similar venues
 - Not authorized to speak
 - No travel budget
- Thank you.