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Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:
By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.

If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.

As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.

Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.

As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:
• BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
• BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
• BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
  BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
• BCP 78 (Copyright)
• BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
• https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/ (Privacy Policy)
Administrative Tasks

• Blue sheets
• Jabber scribe
• Note taker
1. **Note well, logistics and introduction** (chairs, 5 min)

2. **Hackathon Report(s)** (Kaname Nishizuka/Jon Shallow, 20 min)

3. **Additional Implementation Reports** (25 min)
   - (a) Arbor Networks Report (Andrew Mortensen*)
   - (b) NCC Group Report (Jon Shallow)
   - (c) open mic

4. **Protocol drafts** (30 min)
   - (a) draft-ietf-dots-signal-channel-17 (Mohamed Boucadair)
   - (b) draft-ietf-dots-data-channel-13 (Mohamed Boucadair)

5. **Architecture** *(draft-ietf-dots-architecture-05)* (Andrew Mortensen*, 10 min)

6. **Use Cases** *(draft-ietf-dots-use-cases-09)* (Daniel Migault, 15 min)

7. **Open Mic** (15 min)

8. **Closing** (chairs, 5 min)

* Remote Presenters
Draft Status Since IETF 100

- Virtual Interim Meeting on February 7, 2018
- WGLC of requirements
- New implementation from Arbor Networks
## Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 2017</td>
<td>Requirements document to WGLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 18-23, 2018</td>
<td>IETF 101 (London)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2018</td>
<td>Architecture document to WGLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2018</td>
<td>Use case document to WGLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 20 – April 3, 2018</td>
<td>2\textsuperscript{nd} WGLC on draft-ietf-dots-requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week of April 23 – 28, 2018</td>
<td>DOTS Virtual Interim Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 14-20, 2018</td>
<td>IETF 102 (Montreal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2018</td>
<td>Data channel document to WGLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2018</td>
<td>Signal channel document to WGLC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Legend

- **Official Milestone**
- **Meeting**
- **Review Activity**
1. **Note well, logistics and introduction** (chairs, 5 min)

2. **Hackathon Report(s)** (Kaname Nishizuka/Jon Shallow, 20 min)

3. **Additional Implementation Reports** (25 min)
   - (a) Arbor Networks Report (Andrew Mortensen*)
   - (b) NCC Group Report (Jon Shallow)
   - (c) open mic

4. **Protocol drafts** (30 min)
   - (a) draft-ietf-dots-signal-channel-17 (Mohamed Boucadair)
   - (b) draft-ietf-dots-data-channel-13 (Mohamed Boucadair)

5. **Architecture** *(draft-ietf-dots-architecture-05)* (Andrew Mortensen*, 10 min)

6. **Use Cases** *(draft-ietf-dots-use-cases-09)* (Daniel Migault, 15 min)

7. **Open Mic** (15 min)

8. **Closing** (chairs, 5 min)

---

* Remote Presenters
Use Case Consensus

• Hum #1
  – Is there objection to removing the HOMENET Use Case?

• Hum #2
  – Option #1: Use Cases per -10
  – Option #2: Revised structure per presentation