## Well-Known Community Policy Behavior

Jay Borkenhagen, Randy Bush, Serpil Bayraktar, Ron Bonica draft-ymbk-grow-wkc-behavior-00

IETF 101

# Well-Known Communities and the "Set" Syntax

- "A BGP speaker receiving a route with the COMMUNITIES path attribute may modify this attribute according to local policy" -RFC 1997
- All BGP implementations which we know provide a syntax to "set" communities
  - Understood to mean "remove all communities and replace with the specified set of communities"
- Vendor implementations of the "set" syntax differ

## Vendor Implementations Of The "Set" Syntax

- All but one vendor Replace <u>all</u> communities, including well-known communities, with the specified set
- One vendor Replaces all communities, including wellknown communities, with the specified set
  - Except, for a select sub-set of well-known communities, do not remove or modify unless they are in the specified set
  - If you need to remove a community, an explicit "delete" syntax is available

### State of Affairs

Operators may not be not be advertising the set of communities that they mean to advertise

Operators may be advertising communities they did not intend to advertise

### Recommendations

- Vendors SHOULD NOT change current behavior
  - A change would be disruptive

But this is under discussion. Do operators know of this behavior and use it?

### Recommendations

Vendors SHOULD share the behavior of their implementation for inclusion in this document When new well-known communities are defined, the "set" syntax should treat them exactly as the do other communities

That is, the "set" syntax SHOULD operate on them exactly as they would operate on a community that is not well-known



Adopt as WG item