draft-tenoever-hrpc-political-04

On the Politics of Standards

How this fits in hrpc

Protocol impacts (RFC8280)

Dynamics impacting protocols (and architecture) development (this document)

What

Describing the political
aspects of protocols

Why

- Internet is part of the basic infrastructure of information societies

Why

- Internet is part of the basic infrastructure of information societies

- Protocols that are being developed in the IETF influence information societies

Why

- Internet is part of the basic infrastructure of information societies

- Protocols that are being developed in the IETF influence information societies

- Part of this process is political (which includes economics), but this is not described in RFCs

Why

- Internet is part of the basic infrastructure of information societies
- Protocols that are being developed in the IETF influence information societies
- Part of this process is political (which includes economics), but this is not described in RFCs
- We need to take this into account if we want to understand our own decision making

Why

- Internet is part of the basic infrastructure of information societies

- Protocols that are being developed in the IETF influence information societies

- Part of this process is political (which includes economics), but this is not described in RFCs

- We need to take this into account if we want to understand our own decision making

- Making this explicit helps us to understand and take it into account (also if this is sometimes uncomfortable)

Consolidation

Internet Architecture Board

4 Mar 2018

One of the tasks of the Internet Architecture Board is to look at trends affecting the Internet. Recently, we've been discussing traffic flows and popular applications on the Internet, and the role of smaller vs. larger players in the Internet ecosystem.

Changes -03 & -04

- Typos

Changes -03 & -04

- Typos
- Improvements on political stances based on off-list discussions

Changes -03 & -04

- Typos

- Improvements on political stances based on off-list discussions
    - Added position: 'All protocols are political sometimes'
        - law of unintended consequences

Changes -03 & -04

- Typos
- Improvements on political stances based on off-list discussions
    - Added position: 'All protocols are political sometimes'
        - law of unintended consequences
- Improved examples in the field of competition

Changes -03 & -04

- Typos
- Improvements on political stances based on off-list discussions
    - Added position: 'All protocols are political sometimes'
        - law of unintended consequences
- Improved examples in the field of competition
- Added more dynamics about Standard development in general, and IETF in specific

Quo vadis?

- Anything missing?
- RG adoption?