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draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6830bis

• Since IETF100
  – draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6830bis-08
  – draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6830bis-09
  – draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6830bis-10
  – draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6830bis-11
  – draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6830bis-12 (today)
• Removed 'Solicit-Map Request’ (to 6833bis)
• Section 'Routing Locator Reachability': Removed bullet point 2 (ICMP Network/Host Unreachable), 3 (hints from BGP), 4 (ICMP Port Unreachable), 5 (receive a Map-Reply as a response) and RLOC probing
• Updated section 'Router Locator Selection' stating that the data-plane MUST follow what's stored in the map-cache (priorities and weights).
• Removed sections 16, 17 and 18 (Mobility, Deployment and Traceroute considerations). This text must be placed in a new OAM document.
Remove references to research work for any protocol mechanisms.
RFC2119 and IDNITS compliant
Many editorial changes
Removed 4342 from IANA section and move to RFC6833 IANA section.
Removed PA and PI definitions from Definition of Terms section.
• Added clarity to definitions in the Definition of Terms section from various commenters.
• Add more details in section 5.3 about DSCP processing during encapsulation and decapsulation.
draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6830bis-12 (today)

- Clarified that a new nonce is required per RLOC.
- Removed 'Clock Sweep' section. This text must be placed in a new OAM document.
- Some references changed from normative to informative
Since IETF100

- draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6833bis-07
- draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6833bis-08
- draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6833bis-09 (yesterday)
• Added port 4342 to IANA Considerations section.
• Added several mechanisms \textit{(from 6830bis)} regarding Routing Locator Reachability.
• Added Solicit-Map Request algorithm.
• Added RLOC-probing algorithm.
draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6833bis

• Added definitions for Map-Request and Map-Reply messages.
• IDNITS compliant
• **Many** editorial changes to clarify text including some capitalized MAY, MUST, SHOULD
• Generalize text when referring to the format of an EID-prefix. Can use other AFIs than IPv4 and IPv6.
• Don't make DDT references when the mapping transport system can be of any type and the referenced text is general to it.
draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6833bis

• Make it more clear what messages use source-port 4342 and which ones use destination-port 4342.
• Make it clear that "encapsualted" for a control message is an ECM-based message.
• Make it more clear in a couple of places that RLOCs are used to locate ETRs more so than for Map-Server Map-Request forwarding.