

# draft-ietf-ospf-ospfv3-segment-routing-extensions-11

Peter Psenak ([ppsenak@cisco.com](mailto:ppsenak@cisco.com))

C. Filsfils([cfilsfil@cisco.com](mailto:cfilsfil@cisco.com))

S. Previdi([stefano.previdi@net](mailto:stefano.previdi@net))

H. Gredler([hannes@rtbrick.com](mailto:hannes@rtbrick.com))

R. Shakir([robjs@google.com](mailto:robjs@google.com))

J. Tantsura ([jefftant.ietf@gmail.com](mailto:jefftant.ietf@gmail.com))

W. Henderickx ([wim.henderickx@nokia.com](mailto:wim.henderickx@nokia.com))

# Changes from the previous version

- Clarified that this draft only specifies the SR extension for MPLS data plane.
  - SRv6 extension is specified in a different document.
  - Section 3.5 (SR-Forwarding Capabilities) has been removed.
- SID/Label Sub-TLV code point changed from 3 to 7
  - 3 has been defined in draft-ietf-ospf-ospfv3-lsa-extend- for Route Tag sub-TLV.
- SR Local Block Sub-TLV code point changed from 12 to 14
- SRMS Preference Sub-TLV code point changed from 13 to 15
  - These values are sharing the same registry with OSPFv2 and original values has been taken for other extensions.

# Changes from the previous version

- Section 6 (SID/Label Binding Sub-TLV) has been removed
  - similar to what has been done for OSPFv2 SR draft.
- Address Family in OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV has been defined for both IPv4 and IPv6 unicast.
  - IPv4 AF was added to support the IPv4 multi-instance case.
  - IPv4 taking the value 0 and IPv6 taking the value 1 (changed from 0).
  - Value 0 is now consistently used in both OSPFv2 and OSPFv3 SR drafts for IPv4 AF.

# Changes from the previous version

- Security Consideration section has been added
- References have been updated
- Lots of comments that were addressed in OSPFv2 SR draft during the RTGDIR, OPSDIR, GENART, etc., reviews have been incorporated.
- OSPFv2 and OSPFv3 drafts are now back in sync

# Next Steps ...

- Ready for WG Last Call