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The problem

• The YANG extension statement `yang-data` in the module `ietf-restconf` can be used to define a data structure that is not intended to be part of a datastore.

• Original use case was RESTCONF resources and errors, but since then it has been used to define file formats, contents of protocol messages etc.

• However, this solution has a couple of limitations:
  – The structure cannot be augmented
  – The structure must define exactly one container
  – Creates a dependency to RFC 8040 RESTCONF
The solution

A separate document that defines two new extension statements:

- **yang-data** – intended to replace `ietf-restconf:yang-data`. Semantics the same, but removes the limitation that the top-level node must be a single container.

- **augment-yang-data** – can be used to augment a structure defined with `yang-data`. 
Open Issue #1

Should we also define a new statement `uses-yang-data` that can be used just like normal `uses`, in all places where `uses` can be used?

Drawback: we get two ways of defining reusable structures, grouping and `yd:yang-data`.

**Proposal**: Do not define such a statement. Normal groupings can be defined and used within `yd:yang-data`, if necessary.
Open Issue #2

Currently there is no way to define special <error-info> content for an rpc/action. `yd:yang-data` could be used to define the structure. But should we also define a new extension that can be used in rpc/action to refer to such a structure?

```yang
yd:yang-data my-error-info { ... }
rpc my-rpc {
  input { ... }
  output { ... }
  yd:map-error-info my-error-info;
}
```

Even if this is a good idea, it expands the scope of the document. If we add this, should we also do something for `error-app-tag`?

Can we assume that all protocols used with YANG has an `error-info` field in their rpc reply?
Next Steps

- Fix some inconsistent terminology, and any other comments after this meeting, and then publish -02.
- Ask for WGLC on -02.