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Multi-Vendor Interoperability Test Areas

- Data Center Interconnection
- Software Defined Networking (SDN)
- Core Network Simplification
- Clock Synchronization
- Microwave

SDN Controllers/Multi-vendor Management

Service Provider Core

NFV-Enabled Data Center

Mobile Backhaul & Business VPN Edge/CPEs

EVPN, Segment Routing, Microwave
March 5-16: Hot Staging at EANTC, Berlin with 21 vendors, 75 engineers
IETF drafts:

- draft-bashandy-rtgwg-segment-routing-ti-lfa
- draft-bashandy-rtgwg-segment-routing-uloop

We evaluated vendor readiness in a Segment Routing enabled network, in both options SR-MPLS and SRv6 data-plane.

We tested 12 vendor combinations and used IS-IS with SR extensions in all test runs.
We set up a ring topology and sent bidirectional traffic between Traffic Generators (TG) 1 and 2. Upon an emulated link failure (LoS) we tested:

- **FRR/LFA**: Unicast traffic from TG2 to TG1 was rerouted through Node 3. Only Node 1 SID was required to guarantee a LFA.
- **TI-LFA**: Unicast traffic from TG 1 to TG2 was rerouted through Node 3, requiring Node 3 & Node 4 SIDs insertion into the packets.
We added a cross link between Nodes 1 and 3 to the existing topology and we configured a Shared Risk Link Group (SRLG) as depicted in the diagram.

Upon an emulated link failure (LoS) we tested:

- TI-LFA + SRLG: Unicast traffic from TG 1 to TG2 was rerouted through Node 3 requiring Node 3 & Node 4 SIDs insertion into the packets. Link 2 was not considered for the alternate path calculation.
Segment Routing – Fast Reroute & TI-LFA
Findings

- All tested vendors supported FRR/LFA
- About half of them supported TI-LFA, where pushing an additional SID for Node 2 was required
- Only one vendor supported TI-LFA with SRv6 data-plane
- Only one vendor was able to test TI-LFA with SRLG constraints for calculations
- Test equipment supported all combinations but they could not be used as transit nodes
MPLS+SDN+NFV World Congress 2018

Detailed white paper with all results will be published on April 10th
www.eantc.de/en/showcases/mpls_sdn_2018

In addition to rtgwg, drafts of other IETF WGs were covered:
- 6man (for SRv6)
- mpls (for LSP ping / traceroute)
- spring (for PCE)