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Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF’s patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

• By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.

• If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.

• As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.

• Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.

• As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

• BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
• BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
• BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
• BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
• BCP 78 (Copyright)
• BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
• https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/ (Privacy Policy)
Administrative Tasks

Bluesheets
We need volunteers to be:
• Two note takers
• One jabber scribe

Jabber: xmpp:teep@jabber.ietf.org?join
MeetEcho: https://www.meetecho.com/ietf101/teep
Agenda

1. Agenda bashing, Logistics -- Chairs (5 mins)
2. Review of charter milestones -- Chairs (5 mins)
3. Recap of problem statement – Chairs (15 mins)
4. Use Cases – Chairs (30 mins)
5. Architecture – Hannes (20 mins)
6. Open Trust Protocol – Ming (20 mins)
7. Architectural Questions – Chairs (20 mins)
8. Wrap-up – Chairs (5 mins)
Milestones *(need to be fixed)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Milestone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mar 2018</td>
<td>Adopt an Architecture document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 2018</td>
<td>Adopt a solution document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 2018</td>
<td>Progress Solution document to the IESG for publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 2018</td>
<td>Begin WGLC for Architecture document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2019</td>
<td>Progress Architecture document to the IESG for publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 2019</td>
<td>Begin WGLC for Solution document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion: message format

• Should the group define one or more message formats?
  • What formats should be considered?
  • What format should be the mandatory-to-implement?
Discussion: Transport protocols

• Should TEEP define multiple transports (e.g. HTTPs, CoAP, etc)?
  • Which ones should be considered?
  • What transport should be the mandatory-to-implement?
Discussion: OTrP draft ready for adoption

• Should the group adopt draft-pei-opentrustprotocol-06.txt as the TEEP Protocol WG draft?