

TEAS WG
Internet Draft
Intended status: standard track
Expires: December 28, 2018

Young Lee
Dhruv Dhody
Huawei

Daniele Ceccarelli
Ericsson

Jeff Tantsura
Nuage

Giuseppe Fioccola
Telecom Italia

Qin Wu
Huawei

June 29, 2018

Traffic Engineering and Service Mapping Yang Model

draft-lee-teas-te-service-mapping-yang-09

Abstract

This document provides a YANG data model to map service model (e.g., L3SM) and Traffic Engineering model (e.g., TE Tunnel or ACTN VN model). This model is referred to as TE service Mapping Model. This model is applicable to the operation's need for a seamless control and management of their VPN services with TE tunnel support.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents

at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 29, 2018.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	3
2. TE-Service Mapping Model.....	4
2.1. VN/Tunnel Selection Requirements.....	5
2.2. Availability Requirements.....	6
3. L3VPN Architecture in ACTN context.....	6
4. YANG Data Tree.....	9
5. Yang Data Model.....	10
6. Security.....	17
7. IANA Considerations.....	18
8. Acknowledgements.....	18
9. References.....	18
9.1. Informative References.....	18
10. Contributors.....	19
Authors' Addresses.....	20

1. Introduction

Data models are a representation of objects that can be configured or monitored within a system. Within the IETF, YANG [RFC6020] is the language of choice for documenting data models, and YANG models have been produced to allow configuration or modeling of a variety of network devices, protocol instances, and network services. YANG data models have been classified in [Netmod-Yang-Model-Classification] and [RFC8309].

[RFC4110] provides a framework for Layer 3 Provider-Provisioned Virtual Private Networks (PPVPNs). [L3SM-YANG] provides a L3VPN service delivery YANG model for PE-based VPNs. The scope of this draft is limited to a set of domain under the same network operators to deliver services requiring TE tunnels.

[ACTN-VN-YANG] describes how customers or end to end orchestrators can request and/or instantiate a generic virtual network service. [ACTN-Applicability] describes a connection between IETF YANG model classifications to ACTN interfaces. In particular, it describes the customer service model can be mapped into the CMI (CNC-MDSC Interface) of the ACTN architecture.

While IP/MPLS PNC is responsible for provisioning the VPN service on the PE nodes, the MDSC can coordinate how to map the VPN services with TE tunnels. This is consistent with the two of the core functions of the MDSC specified in [ACTN-Frame]:

- . Customer mapping/translation function: This function is to map customer requests/commands into network provisioning requests that can be sent to the Physical Network Controller (PNC) according to business policies provisioned statically or dynamically. Specifically, it provides mapping and translation of a customer's service request into a set of parameters that are specific to a network type and technology such that network configuration process is made possible.
- . Virtual service coordination function: This function translates customer service-related information into virtual network service operations in order to seamlessly operate virtual networks while meeting a customer's service requirements. In the context of ACTN, service/virtual service coordination includes a number of service orchestration functions such as multi-destination load balancing, guarantees of service quality, bandwidth and throughput. It also includes notifications for service fault and performance degradation and so forth.

The YANG model described in this document provides an ACTN TE-service mapping model that enables a seamless service mapping across L1/2/3 VPN, ACTN VN and TE-Topology/TE-tunnel models at the controllers.

2. TE-Service Mapping Model

The role of TE-service Mapping model is to create a mapping relationship between service models and TE models so that VN/VPN service instantiation would be seamlessly provided by the underlying TE networks. It also allows for the customers to access operational state information as to how their services are instantiated with the underlying TE topology or TE tunnels. This mapping will facilitate a seamless service management operation with underlay-TE network visibility.

Figure 1 shows TE-Service Mapping Model's scope.




Figure 1. TE-Service Mapping

As seen in Figure 1, the TE-Service Mapping Model provides a mapping between the LxSM and the ACTN VN YANG model which allows customers (internal or external) to create a VN that meets the customer's service objective with various constraints via TE-topology model [TE-topo]. The model also supports a direct mapping between LxSM to TE-topology or TE-tunnel.

The TE-service model developed in this document can also be extended to support other services beyond L3SM, L2SM and L1CSM.

Moreover, the TE-Service Mapping model provides additional service parameters and policies that are not included in the respective service models such as L3SM [RFC8299], L2SM [L2SM-YANG] and L1CSM [L1CSM-YANG]. For example, how VN/TE tunnel should be created (e.g., with an isolation level) for a certain service instance is described in the TE-Service Mapping model.

2.1. VN/Tunnel Selection Requirements

In some cases, under the confines of service policy, TE network slicing isolation requirement may need to be supported for the VPN service. This may occur when there are no suitable existing TE tunnels that can support VPN service requirements. Or the operator would like to dynamically create and bind tunnels to the VPN, which could not be shared for network slicing.

To summarize there are three modes of VN/Tunnel selection operations to be supported, but not limited to:

- o New VN/Tunnel Binding - Customer could request an L3VPN service [L3SM-YANG] with a new VN/Tunnel not shared with other existing services. This is to meet VPN isolation requirement. Further the mapping yang model described in Section 5 of this document is used to set this mapping between the L3VPN service and the ACTN VN. Note that this could be done dynamically. The VN (and TE tunnels) could be bound to the L3VPN and not used for any other VPN.

Under this mode, the following sub-categories can be supported:

1. Hard Isolation with deterministic characteristics: Customer would request an LxVPN service using a set of TE Tunnels with a deterministic characteristics requirement (e.g., no latency variation) and that cannot be not shared with other LxVPN services nor compete for bandwidth with other Tunnels.
2. Hard Isolation: This is similar to the above case without deterministic characteristics requirements.
3. Soft Isolation: Customer would request an LxVPN service using a set of MPLS-TE tunnel which cannot be shared with other LxVPN services.

- o VN/Tunnel Sharing - Customer could request an L3VPN service [L3SM-Yang], and with this model as input, the PNC configures the different network elements to deliver the service. Each network element would select a tunnel based on the configuration. With this mode, new tunnels (or VN) are not created for each VPN. Thus, the tunnels can be shared across multiple VPN. Further the mapping yang model described in Section 5 of this document is used to get the mapping between the L3VPN and the tunnels in use. No modification is allowed when an existing tunnel is selected.
- o VN/Tunnel Modify - This mode allows the modification of the properties of the existing VN/tunnel (e.g., bandwidth) when VN/Tunnel Selection Mode is applied.

Other mode of VN/Tunnel selection operations could be easily added to the current model in the future.

2.2. Availability Requirement

Availability is another LxVPN's service requirement or intent that needs to be conveyed by the TE & Service Mapping model. Availability is a probabilistic measure of the length of time a VPN/VN or network slice instance is functioning. The following level SHOULD be supported.

- o 99.9999%
- o 99.999%
- o 99.99%
- o 99.9%
- o 99%

The availability level will need to be translated into network specific policy such as protection/reroute policy associated with a VN or Tunnel, which is not in the scope of this draft.

3. L3VPN Architecture in ACTN context

Figure 1 shows the architectural context of this document.




Figure 1: L3VPN Architecture from the IP+Optical Network Perspective

There are three main entities in the architecture.

- . MDSC: This entity is responsible for coordinating a L3VPN service request (expressed in L3SM) with the IP PNC and the Transport PNC. One of the key responsibilities of the MDSC for TE services is to coordinate with both the IP PNC and the Transport PNC for the mapping of L3VPN Service Model and ACTN VN model. With the VN/TE-tunnel binding case, the MDSC will need to coordinate with the Transport PNC to dynamically create the TE-tunnel(s) in the Transport network as needed. These tunnels are added as links in

the IP Layer topology. The MDSC coordinates with IP PNC to create the TE-tunnel(s) in the IP layer, as part of the ACTN VN creation.

- . IP/MPLS PNC: This entity is responsible for device configuration to create PE-PE L3VPN tunnels for the VPN customer and for the configuration of the L3VPN VRF on the PE nodes. Each network element would select a tunnel based on the configuration.
- . Transport PNC: This entity is responsible for device configuration for TE tunnels in the transport networks.

High-Level Control Flows

1. Customer asks for a L3VPN between CE1 and CE2 with TE constraints using L3SM model. The customer can provide tunnel creation policy where it allows dynamic VN/TE tunnel creation or not. Under this policy, dynamic VN/TE tunnels can be created when there are no proper VN/TE-tunnels that can support L3VPN tunnels or when there is a strict isolation requirement for the VPN service, e.g., no sharing with other tunnels is allowed.
2. The MDSC determines if it needs to create a new VN, and if that is the case, ACTN VN YANG [ACTN-VN-YANG] is used to configure a new VN based on this VPN and map the VPN service to ACTN VN. In case an existing tunnel is to be used, each device will select which tunnel to use and populates this mapping information.
3. The MDSC interacts with both the IP/MPLS PNC and the Transport PNC to create a PE-PE tunnel in the IP network mapped to a TE tunnel in the transport network by providing the inter-layer access points and tunnel requirements. The specific service information are passed to the IP/MPLS PNC for the actual VPN configuration and activation.
 - a. The Transport PNC creates the corresponding TE tunnel matching with the access point and egress point.
 - b. The IP/MPLS PNC maps the VPN ID with the corresponding TE tunnel ID to bind these two IDs.
4. The IP/MPLS PNC creates/updates a VRF instance for this VPN customer. This is not in the scope of this document..

4. YANG Data Tree

```

module: ietf-te-service-mapping
  +--rw te-service-mapping
    +--rw service-mapping
      +--rw mapping-list* [map-id]
        +--rw map-id          uint32
        +--rw map-type?      map-type
        +--rw (service)?
          +--:(l3vpn)
            | +--rw l3vpn-ref?      -> /l3:l3vpn-svc/vpn-services/vpn-
service/vpn-id
          +--:(l2vpn)
            | +--rw l2vpn-ref?      -> /l2:l2vpn-svc/vpn-services/vpn-
service/vpn-id
          +--:(l1vpn)
            | +--rw l1vpn-ref?      -> /l1:l1cs/service/service-
list/subscriber-l1vc-id
            +--rw (te)?
              +--:(actn-vn)
                | +--rw actn-vn-ref?  -> /vn:actn/vn/vn-list/vn-id
              +--:(te-topo)
                | +--rw vn-topology-id?  te-types:te-topology-id
                | +--rw abstract-node?   -> /nw:networks/network/node/node-
id
              +--:(te-tunnel)
                +--rw te-tunnel-list*  te:tunnel-ref
      +--rw site-mapping
        +--rw mapping-list* [map-id]
          +--rw map-id          uint32
          +--rw (service)?
            +--:(l3vpn)
              | +--rw l3vpn-ref?      -> /l3:l3vpn-svc/sites/site/site-id
            +--:(l2vpn)
              | +--rw l2vpn-ref?      -> /l2:l2vpn-svc/sites/site/site-id
            +--:(l1vpn)
              | +--rw l1vpn-ref?      -> /l1:l1cs/access/uni-list/UNI-ID
          +--rw (te)?
            +--:(actn-vn)
              | +--rw actn-vn-ref?    -> /vn:actn/ap/access-point-
list/access-point-id
            +--:(te)
              +--rw ltp?              te-types:te-tp-id

```

5. Yang Data Model

The YANG code is as follows:

```
<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-te-service-mapping@2018-04-05.yang"
```

```
module ietf-te-service-mapping {  
  
    namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-te-service-mapping";  
  
    prefix "tm";  
  
    import ietf-l3vpn-svc {  
        prefix "l3";  
    }  
  
    import ietf-l2vpn-svc {  
        prefix "l2";  
    }  
  
    import ietf-l1csm {  
        prefix "l1";  
    }  
  
    import ietf-te-types {  
        prefix "te-types";  
    }  
  
    import ietf-network {  
        prefix "nw";  
    }  
  
    import ietf-te {  
        prefix "te";  
    }  
  
    import ietf-actn-vn {  
        prefix "vn";  
    }  
  
    organization
```

```
"IETF Traffic Engineering Architecture and Signaling (TEAS)
Working Group";

contact
  "Editor: Young Lee <leeyoung@huawei.com>
    Dhruv Dhody <dhruv.ietf@gmail.com>";
description
  "This module contains a YANG module for the mapping of
  service (e.g. L3VPN) to the TE tunnels or ACTN VN.";

revision 2018-04-05 {
  description
    "initial version.";
  reference
    "TBD";
}

/*
 * Identities
 */
identity service-type {
  description
    "Base identity from which specific service types are
    derived.";
}

identity l3vpn-service {
  base service-type;
  description
    "L3VPN service type.";
}

identity l2vpn-service {
  base service-type;
  description
    "L2VPN service type.";
}

identity l1vpn-service {
  base service-type;
  description
    "L1VPN connectivity service type.";
}
/*
 * Enum
```

```

    */
    typedef map-type {
        type enumeration {
            enum "new" {
                description
                    "The new VN/tunnels are binded to the service";
            }
            enum "select" {
                description
                    "The VPN service selects an existing tunnel with no
modification";
            }
            enum "modify" {
                description
                    "The VPN service selects an existing tunnel and allows
to modify the properties of the tunnel (e.g., b/w) ";
            }
        }
        description
            "The map-type";
    }

    /*
    * Groupings
    */
    grouping service-ref{
        description
            "The reference to the service.";
        choice service {
            description
                "The service";
            case l3vpn {
                leaf l3vpn-ref {
                    type leafref {
                        path "/l3:l3vpn-svc/l3:vpn-services/"
                            + "l3:vpn-service/l3:vpn-id";
                    }
                    description
                        "The reference to L3VPN Service Yang Model";
                }
            }
            case l2vpn {
                leaf l2vpn-ref {
                    type leafref {
                        path "/l2:l2vpn-svc/l2:vpn-services/"

```



```

    }
  }
  case llvpn {
    leaf llvpn-ref {
      type leafref {
        path "/l1:llcs/l1:access/l1:uni-list/"
          + "l1:UNI-ID";
      }
      description
        "The reference to L1VPN Connectivity Service Yang
Model";
    }
  }
}

grouping te-ref {
  description
    "The reference to TE.";
  choice te {
    description
      "The TE";
    case actn-vn {
      leaf actn-vn-ref {
        type leafref {
          path "/vn:actn/vn:vn/vn:vn-list/vn:vn-id";
        }
        description
          "The reference to ACTN VN";
      }
    }
    case te-topo {
      leaf vn-topology-id {
        type te-types:te-topology-id;
        description
          "An identifier to the TE Topology Model
where the abstract nodes and links of
the Topology can be found for Type 2
VNS";
      }
      leaf abstract-node {
        type leafref {

```

```

        path "/nw:networks/nw:network/nw:node/"
        + "nw:node-id";
    }
    description
    "a reference to the abstract node in TE
    Topology";
}
}
case te-tunnel {
    leaf-list te-tunnel-list {
        type te:tunnel-ref;
        description
        "Reference to TE Tunnels";
    }
}
}
}
}
grouping te-endpoint-ref {
    description
    "The reference to TE endpoints.";
    choice te {
        description
        "The TE";
        case actn-vn {
            leaf actn-vn-ref {
                type leafref {
                    path "/vn:actn/vn:ap/vn:access-point-list"
                    + "/vn:access-point-id";
                }
                description
                "The reference to ACTN VN";
            }
        }
        case te {
            leaf ltp {
                type te-types:te-tp-id;
                description
                "Reference LTP in the TE-topology";
            }
        }
    }
}
}

```

```
    }
    grouping service-mapping {
      description
        "Mapping between Services and TE";
      container service-mapping {
        description
          "Mapping between Services and TE";

        list mapping-list {
          key "map-id";
          description
            "Mapping identified via a map-id";
          leaf map-id {
            type uint32;
            description
              "a unique mapping identifier";
          }
          leaf map-type {
            type map-type;
            description
              "Tunnel Bind or Tunnel Selection";
          }
          uses service-ref;

          uses te-ref;
        }
      }
    }
  }
  grouping site-mapping {
    description
      "Mapping between VPN access site and TE
      endpoints or AP";
    container site-mapping {
      description
        "Mapping between VPN access site and TE
        endpoints or AP";
      list mapping-list {
        key "map-id";
        description
          "Mapping identified via a map-id";
        leaf map-id {
          type uint32;
          description
            "a unique mapping identifier";
        }
      }
    }
  }
}
```

```
        "a unique mapping identifier";
    }
    uses site-ref;

    uses te-endpoint-ref;
}

}

/*
 * Configuration data nodes
 */
container te-service-mapping {
    description
        "Mapping between Services and TE";

    uses service-mapping;

    uses site-mapping;
}

}
```

<CODE ENDS>

6. Security

The configuration, state, and action data defined in this document are designed to be accessed via a management protocol with a secure transport layer, such as NETCONF [RFC6241]. The NETCONF access control model [RFC6536] provides the means to restrict access for particular NETCONF users to a preconfigured subset of all available NETCONF protocol operations and content.

A number of configuration data nodes defined in this document are writable/deletable (i.e., "config true") These data nodes may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments.

7. IANA Considerations

This document registers the following namespace URIs in the IETF XML registry [RFC3688]:

```
-----  
URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-te-service-mapping  
Registrant Contact: The IESG.  
XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.  
-----
```

This document registers the following YANG modules in the YANG Module

Names registry [RFC7950]:

```
-----  
name:          ietf-te-service-mapping  
namespace:     urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-te-service-mapping  
reference:     RFC XXXX (TDB)  
-----
```

8. Acknowledgements

We thank Diego Caviglia and Igor Bryskin for useful discussions and motivation for this work.

9. References

9.1. Informative References

- [RFC4110] R. Callon and M. Suzuki, "A Framework for Layer 3 Provider-Provisioned Virtual Private Networks (PPVPNs)", RFC 4110, July 2005.
- [RFC6020] M. Bjorklund, Ed., "YANG - A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6020, October 2010.

- [RFC8309] Q. Wu, W. Liu and A. Farrel, "Service Models Explained", RFC 8309, January 2018.
- [Netmod-Yang-Model-Classification] D. Bogdanovic, B. Claise, and C. Moberg, "YANG Module Classification", draft-ietf-netmod-yang-model-classification, work in progress.
- [Netconf] Enns, R., Ed., Bjorklund, M., Ed., Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., and A. Bierman, Ed., "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6241.
- [Restconf] A. Bierman, M. Bjorklund, and K. Watsen, "RESTCONF Protocol", draft-ietf-netconf-restconf, work in progress.
- [ACTN-Frame] D. Cecarelli and Y. Lee, "Framework for Abstraction and Control of Traffic Engineered Networks", draft-ietf-teas-actn-framework, work in progress.
- [TE-Topology] X. Liu, et. al., "YANG Data Model for TE Topologies", draft-ietf-teas-yang-te-topo, work in progress.
- [TE-Tunnel] T. Saad (Editor), "A YANG Data Model for Traffic Engineering Tunnels and Interfaces", draft-ietf-teas-yang-te, work in progress.
- [ACTN-VN-YANG] Y. Lee (Editor), "A Yang Data Model for ACTN VN Operation", draft-lee-teas-actn-vn-yang, work in progress.
- [RFC8299] Q. Wu, S. Litkowski, L. Tomotaki, and K. Ogaki, "YANG Data Model for L3VPN service delivery", RFC 8299, January 2018.
- [L2SM-YANG] B. Wen, et al, "A YANG Data Model for L2VPN Service Delivery", draft-ietf-l2sm-l2vpn-service-model, work in progress.
- [L1CSM-YANG] G. Fioccola, et al, "A Yang Data Model for L1 Connectivity Service Model (L1CSM)", draft-ietf-ccamp-l1csm-yang, work in progress.

10. Contributors

Authors' Addresses

Young Lee
Huawei Technologies
5340 Legacy Drive
Plano, TX 75023, USA
Phone: (469)277-5838

Email: leeyoung@huawei.com

Dhruv Dhody
Huawei Technologies

Email: dhruv.ietf@gmail.com

Daniele Ceccarelli
Ericsson
Torshamnsgatan, 48
Stockholm, Sweden

Email: daniele.ceccarelli@ericsson.com

Jeff Tantsura
Huawei

Email: jefftant@gmail.com

Giuseppe Fioccola
Telecom Italia
Email: giuseppe.fioccola@telecomitalia.it

Qin Wu
Huawei
Email: bill.wu@huawei.com

